



GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

Prisons - Recommendation of the Jail Advisory Committee of Central Prison & Correctional Home, Thiruvananthapuram - Premature release of prisoners - Orders issued.

HOME (B) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(Ms)No.63/2026/HOME Dated, Thiruvananthapuram, 10-03-2026

Read: Letter No.WP1-23184/2024/PrHQ, dated 09.10.2024, 29.03.2025, 11.08.2025 & 20.09.2025 and Letter No. KPCS/887/2025-WP1, dated 29.09.2025, 29.03.2025, 11.08.2025 of the Director General, Prisons and Correctional Services.

ORDER

As per rule 462 and 463 of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, Advisory Committees are constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, Prison for Women and High Security Prison to make recommendations to the Government for the premature release of prisoners.

2. The Jail Advisory Committee of Central Prison & Correctional Home, Thiruvananthapuram, which met on 17.08.2024, recommended 37 prisoners for premature release. The Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services, as per the letters read above, has forwarded the minutes of the meeting of the Advisory Committee, along with all supporting documents in respect of the prisoners who have been recommended for premature release.

3. During the period in which the matter was being examined, 7 prisoners had been released after completing their sentence.

4. Government have examined the matter in detail. Regarding prisoners C.No. 4536 Hashim and C.No. 5223 Freddy @ Ferry, who are undergoing multiple life sentences under Section 302 IPC, it is noted that in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court-II, Thiruvananthapuram, in Sessions Case 16/1998 dated 28.09.2001, it is

stated that "any remission or commutation, if any, granted by the appropriate authority, in respect of the earlier imprisonment for life will not be available for the sentence of imprisonment for life awarded in this case". In view of the above remarks of the Court, these two prisoners will not be entitled to the benefit of Section 467 BNSS and separate release order is required for each conviction. As they have not completed 14 years of imprisonment in each case, and since remission applied to a first life sentence does not automatically extend to a subsequent life sentence, the Government can only consider premature release for their first conviction at this stage. Between these two prisoners, the probation report of C.No. 5223 Freddy @ Ferry is not favourable. Among the other prisoners included in the recommendation, the probation reports of C.No. 6103 Ajith, C.No. 9164 Ajayan, and C.No. 4622 Kunjappan are also not favourable. Hence they are not considered for premature release. Consequently, after considering the aforementioned legal position and relevant reports, the Government are pleased to order the premature release of the following Twenty Six (26) prisoners of the Central Prison & Correctional Home, Thiruvananthapuram, by remitting their remaining period of substantive sentence, in exercise of the powers conferred under Article 161 of the Constitution of India and subject to the conditions laid down in Rule 470 of the Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, and on condition that the release will be effected only on payment of fine, if any, or after undergoing the default sentence:

- 1) C.No. 619 Andrews
- 2) C.No. 687 Thankamani
- 3) C.No. 1762 Sivan Pillai
- 4) C.No. 2056 Krishna Pillai
- 5) C.No. 3146 Raju
- 6) C.No. 3391 Sasi
- 7) C.No. 3573 Ajith Kumar
- 8) C.No. 3597 Sebastian
- 9) C.No. 3852 Babu Kumar
- 10) C.No. 4080 Raveendran Asari
- 11) C.No.4228 Suseelan
- 12) C.No.4459 Manoj
- 13) C.No.4536 Hashim (*Only in the first case. His incarceration shall continue regarding the second life sentence*)
- 14) C.No.5237 Ramasubramanian
- 15) C.No.5258 Younus
- 16) C.No.5705 Balakrishnan
- 17) C.No.5739 Rajendran

- 18) C.No.6593 Ayyappan
- 19) C.No.6596 Ghausjan
- 20) C.No.6597 Manoj
- 21) C.No.7181 Abhilash
- 22) C.No.1455 Majeed
- 23) C.No.2751 Bhaskaran Nadar
- 24) C.No.2874 Devarajan
- 25) C.No.2242 Murali
- 26) C.No.5586 Murugan

(By order of the Governor)
BISHWANATH SINHA
ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY

Additional Chief Secretary to Hon'ble Governor, Lok Bhavan,
Thiruvananthapuram
The State Police Chief, Thiruvananthapuram
The Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services,
Thiruvananthapuram (with case files)
Superintendent, Central Prison & Correctional Home, Thiruvananthapuram
General Administration (SC) Department
Law Department (Vide OPN-E1/653/2024-LAW dated 12.02.2025)
Information Officer, Web & New Media division, I&PRD
Stock file.

Forwarded /By order

Signed by

Amina B

Section Officer

Date: 11-03-2026 10:55:16

85



345

രഹസ്യം

കേരള സർക്കാർ
മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗത്തിന്റെ നടപടിക്കുറിപ്പുകൾ

തീയതി : 28-01-2026

ഫയൽ നം. ബി1/353/2024/ആഭ്യന്തരം.

ഇനം നം: 3584

വിഷയം : ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ് - ജയിലുകൾ - തിരുവനന്തപുരം സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 17.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപഭരണ സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം അന്തേവാസികൾക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത്.

20/1/26

തീരുമാനം : പ്രൊബേഷൻ ഓഫീസർ ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യാത്ത തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കേണ്ടതില്ലായെന്ന ഭേദഗതിയോടെ കുറിപ്പിലെ നിർദ്ദേശം അംഗീകരിച്ചു.

(ഒപ്പ്)
പിണറായി വിജയൻ
മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി
(ശരിപ്പകർപ്പ്)

ഡോ. എ. ജയതിലക്
ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി

അവതീക്ഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി, ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ്.

8A

കേരള സർക്കാർ
(ശ്രീ. പിണറായി വിജയൻ മന്ത്രിസഭ)
മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പ്

1. ഫയൽ നമ്പർ	ബി1/353/2024/ആഭ്യന്തരം
2. വകുപ്പ്	ആഭ്യന്തര (ബി) വകുപ്പ്
3. വിഷയം	ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ് - ജയിലുകൾ- തിരുവനന്തപുരം സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 17.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം അന്വേഷണസംഘങ്ങൾക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് -സംബന്ധിച്ച്
4. മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിൽ സമർപ്പിക്കാനുള്ള മുഖ്യമന്ത്രിയുടെ ഉത്തരവ് തീയതി	03.06.2025
5. (i) ഇത് സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യതയുള്ളതാണോ ? (ii) ഇത് സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യതയുള്ളതാണെങ്കിൽ ധനവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ ?	അല്ല ബാധകമല്ല
6. മറ്റേതെങ്കിലും വകുപ്പുമായി ആലോചിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ, ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ?	ഉണ്ട്, നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധമായി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.
7. കുറിപ്പ് സമർപ്പിച്ച അഡീഷണൽ സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീമതി സിന്ധു. റ്റി. എസ്
8. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീ. ബിശ്വനാഥ് സിൻഹ
9. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	16.01.2026
10. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ഡോ. എ. ജയതിലക്
11. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	17.01.2026
12. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച മന്ത്രിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീ. പിണറായി വിജയൻ
13. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് മന്ത്രി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	20.01.2026
14. കുറിപ്പിന്റെ പകർപ്പുകൾ സമർപ്പിച്ച തീയതി	20.01.2026
15. മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗം തീരുമാനമെടുത്ത തീയതി	
16. തീരുമാനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച സർക്കാർ ഉത്തരവ് / കത്തിന്റെ നമ്പരം തീയതിയും	

മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പ്

തിരുവനന്തപുരം സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 17.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം അന്തേവാസികൾക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് സംബന്ധിച്ചുള്ളതാണ് ഈ കുറിപ്പ്.

2. 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗ്ഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടത്തിലെ ചട്ടം 462, 463 പ്രകാരം തടവുകാരുടെ അകാല വിടുതൽ സംബന്ധിച്ച ശുപാർശകൾ സർക്കാരിന് സമർപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനായി എല്ലാ സെൻട്രൽ ജയിലുകളിലും തുറന്ന ജയിലുകളിലും വനിതാ ജയിലുകളിലും വനിതാ തുറന്ന ജയിലിലും, അതീവ സുരക്ഷാ ജയിലിലും ഉപദേശക സമിതികൾ രൂപീകരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. തിരുവനന്തപുരം സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി 17.08.2024 ന് യോഗം ചേർന്ന് 37 തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാല വിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് ശുപാർശ ചെയ്തു.

3. അകാല വിടുതലിന് ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യപ്പെട്ട 37 തടവുകാരുടെ കേസ് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു.:

ക്രമ നം.	കൺവിക്ട് നം. & പേര് (വയസ്സ്)	കുറ്റകൃത്യം	ശിക്ഷ	പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ട്	പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട്	30.06.2024 തീയതിയിൽ പൂർത്തീകരിച്ച യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ്	30.06.2024 തീയതിയിൽ ഇളവ് ഉൾപ്പെടെ പൂർത്തീകരിച്ച ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ്	ഫൈൻ അടച്ചതിന്റെ വിവരം
1	സി.നം. 619 ആൻഡ്രൂസ് വയസ്സ്: 63	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 11 മാസം 06 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 09 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
2	സി.നം. 687 തങ്കമണി വയസ്സ് : 75	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത 2 പെൺകുട്ടികളെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (376, 354 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 02 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 5 മാസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
3	സി.നം.1762 ശിവൻ പിള്ള വയസ്സ് : 58	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 6 മാസം 24 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 3 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
4	സി.നം.2056 കൃഷ്ണപിള്ള വയസ്സ് : 74	മൂന്നര വയസ്സുള്ള കുഞ്ഞിനെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 6 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 8 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
5	സി.നം.3146 രാജു വയസ്സ് : 51	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (376 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 11 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 9 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

6	സി.നം.3391 ശശി വയസ്സ് : 63	ഒരു സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 07 മാസം 26 ദിവസം	18 വർഷം 27 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
7	സി.നം.3573 അജിത്ത് കുമാർ വയസ്സ് : 65	വിൽപ്പന നടത്തിയ അരിഷ്ടം കുടിച്ച് 2 പേർ മരിക്കുകയും 11 പേർക്ക് കാഴ്ച നഷ്ടപ്പെടുകയും ചെയ്തു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (57(എ)(ii) Abkari Act)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 03 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 05 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	പിഴ ഇല്ല.
8	സി.നം.3597 സെബാസ്റ്റ്യൻ വയസ്സ് : 46	സ്വന്തം തർക്കത്തിന്റെ തുടർച്ചയായുള്ള കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 5 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	18 വർഷം 10 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	പിഴ ഇല്ല.
9	സി.നം. 3852 ബാബുകുമാർ	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 06 മാസം 04 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 11 മാസം 4 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
10	സി.നം. 4080 രവീന്ദ്രൻ ആശാരി വയസ്സ്: 73	മാതാവിനെയും മറ്റൊരു സ്ത്രീയെയും കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 7 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 04 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
11	സി.നം.4228 സുശീലൻ വയസ്സ് : 57	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 05 മാസം 09 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 01 മാസം 09 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
12	സി.നം.4459 മനോജ് വയസ്സ് : 54	ഭവനഭേദനം നടത്തി സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം	20 വർഷം 08 മാസം 04 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
13	സി.നം.4536 ഹാഷിം വയസ്സ് : 53	ഒരു പോലീസ് ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥൻ ഉൾപ്പെടെ 2 പേരെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 392 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	27 വർഷം 6 മാസം	37 വർഷം 3 മാസം 24 ദിവസം	പിഴ ഇല്ല.
14	സി.നം. 5223 ഫ്രെഡി @ ഫെറി	ഒരു പോലീസ് ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥൻ ഉൾപ്പെടെ 2 പേരെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 392 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	27 വർഷം 19 ദിവസം	37 വർഷം 26 ദിവസം	പിഴ ഇല്ല.
15	സി.നം.5237 രാമസുബ്രമ ണ്യൻ വയസ്സ് : 77	ഭാര്യയോടും കുടുംബത്തോടുമുള്ള വൈരാഗ്യത്താൽ ഒരു സ്ത്രീയെയും 3 കുട്ടികളെയും കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	22 വർഷം 11 മാസം 05 ദിവസം	29 വർഷം 03 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
16	സി.നം.5258 യൂനസ്സ്	6 മാസം പ്രായമുള്ള സ്വന്തം കുഞ്ഞിനെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 08 മാസം 12 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 05 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
17	സി.നം.5705 ബാലകൃഷ്ണൻ വയസ്സ് : 56	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 10 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 11 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

18	സി.നം.5739 രാജേന്ദ്രൻ വയസ്സ് : 68	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 03 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 02 മാസം 12 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
19	സി.നം.6103 അജിത്ത് വയസ്സ് : 50	മോഷണ ശ്രമത്തിനിടെ സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	28 വർഷം 02 മാസം 12 ദിവസം	37 വർഷം 11 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
20	സി.നം.6593 അയ്യപ്പൻ വയസ്സ് : 55	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	21 വർഷം 8 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	27 വർഷം 2 മാസം 04 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
21	സി.നം.6596 ഗൗസ്ജാൻ വയസ്സ് : 60	പീഡന ശ്രമത്തിനിടെയുണ്ടാ യ സ്ത്രീയുടെ കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 06 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 05 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
22	സി.നം.6597 മനോജ് വയസ്സ് : 45	മുൻവൈരാഗ്യത്തോ ടെയുള്ളകൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 07 മാസം 1 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 04 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	പിഴ ഇല്ല
23	സി.നം.7181 അഭിലാഷ് വയസ്സ് : 54	ഗർഭിണിയായിരുന്ന ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 10 മാസം 26 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 07 മാസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
24	സി.നം.9164 അജയൻ വയസ്സ് : 43	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാ ത്ത കുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ച് കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	21 വർഷം 02 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 06 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
25	സി.നം.1455 മജീദ് വയസ്സ് : 68	മുൻവൈരാഗ്യത്തോ ടെയുള്ള കൊലപാതക ശ്രമം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (307 IPC) ഒരു വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (324 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	9 വർഷം 5 മാസം 3 ദിവസം	10 വർഷം 8 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
26	സി.നം.2751 ഭാസ്കരൻ നാടാർ വയസ്സ് : 74	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാ ത്ത സ്വന്തം മകളെ നിരവധി തവണ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (376 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	08 വർഷം 6 മാസം	09 വർഷം 6 മാസം 3 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
27	സി.നം.2874 ദേവരാജൻ വയസ്സ് : 70	ബധിരയും മൂകയുമായ സ്ത്രീയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (376(2)(j) IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	08 വർഷം 5 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	09 വർഷം 06 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
28	സി.നം.2881 ശശി വയസ്സ് : 73	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാ ത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് 376(2)(i) IPC	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	08 വർഷം 04 ദിവസം 17 ദിവസം	09 വർഷം 05 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	മരണമട ഞ്ഞു.
29	സി.നം.4587 സലീം വയസ്സ് : 73	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാ ത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു	5 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് 452 IPC	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	02 വർഷം 11 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	03 വർഷം 05 മാസം 04 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാ ക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിത നായി
30	സി.നം.5454 ശിവദാസൻ	മുൻവൈരാഗ്യത്തോ ടെ മാർകായുധം	4 വർഷം വെറും തടവ്	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 05 മാസം	01 വർഷം 07 മാസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാ

	വയസ്സ് : 81	ഉപയോഗിച്ച് ആക്രമിച്ചു	(308 IPC)			05 ദിവസം	26 ദിവസം	ക്കീ ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
31	സി.നം.2242 മുരളി വയസ്സ് : 62	ഭവനഭേദനം നടത്തി ബുദ്ധിമാന്ദ്യം ഉള്ള കുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (376(2)(i) IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	10 വർഷം 05 മാസം 04 ദിവസം	11 വർഷം 06 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
32	സി.നം.4622 കഞ്ഞപ്പൻ വയസ്സ് : 63	മുൻവൈരാഗ്യത്തോടെ ആസിഡ് ആക്രമണം നടത്തി	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (307 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	05 വർഷം 01 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	05 വർഷം 06 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
33	സി.നം.5586 മുരുകൻ വയസ്സ് : 62	പെൺകുട്ടിയെ ഉപദ്രവിച്ച് പരിക്കേൽപ്പിച്ചു.	8 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (307 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	06 വർഷം 4 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	06 വർഷം 07 മാസം 09 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
34	സി.നം. 5347 ജയപ്രകാശ് വയസ്സ്: 62	മുൻവൈരാഗ്യത്തോടെ പുരുഷനെ ആക്രമിച്ച് മാരകമായി പരിക്കേൽപ്പിച്ചു	4 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (307 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 7 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	01 വർഷം 09 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
35	സി.നം.5700 സുന്ദരേശൻ വയസ്സ്: 66	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയുടെ സ്ത്രീത്വത്തെ അപമാനിച്ചു.	3 വർഷം വെറും തടവ് (u/s 7 r/w 8 of POCSO Act)	ലഭ്യമല്ല	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 08 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	01 വർഷം 10 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
36	സി.നം.5869 ഉണ്ണി വയസ്സ് : 54	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത ആൺകുട്ടിയെ ലൈംഗികമായി പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	3 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (u/s 7 r/w 8 of POCSO Act)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 08 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	01 വർഷം 09 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
37	സി.നം.5983 തോമസ് വയസ്സ് : 65	മോഷണശ്രമത്തിനിടെ ഒരു പുരുഷനെ ആക്രമിച്ച് മാരകമായി പരിക്കേൽപ്പിച്ചു.	7 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (392 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	02 വർഷം 02 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	02 വർഷം 03 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി

4. മേൽപ്പറഞ്ഞ ഓരോ തടവുകാരന്റെയും അകാല വിടുതലിനുള്ള അർഹത സംബന്ധിച്ച് നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധം I ആയും പ്രസ്തുത ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട 9 തടവുകാരുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ കൂടുതൽ വ്യക്തമായ അഭിപ്രായം ആരാഞ്ഞതിനെ തുടർന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് ലഭ്യമാക്കിയ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധം II ആയും ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.

5. വിവിധ കേസുകളിൽ IPC 302 വകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരം ജീവപര്യന്തം തടവിന് ശിക്ഷിക്കപ്പെട്ട സി.നം.4080 രവീന്ദ്രൻ ആശാരി, സി.നം. 4536 ഹാഷിം, സി.നം. 5223 ഹൈദി @ ഫെറി എന്നീ തടവുകാരുടെ വിഷയത്തിൽ ഓരോ കേസിലും പ്രത്യേക വിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവ് ആവശ്യമാണെന്നും ഹാഷിം, ഹൈദി @ ഫെറി എന്നിവർക്ക് BNSS 467

വകുപ്പിന്റെ ആനുകൂല്യം ലഭിക്കില്ലായെന്നും നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്. സി.നം.4080 രവീന്ദ്രൻ ആശാരിയുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ, ആകെ 3 ജീവപര്യന്തം ശിക്ഷകളിൽ രണ്ടാമത്തെ കേസിലെ ജീവപര്യന്ത ശിക്ഷ concurrent ആക്കി കോടതി വിധിയുള്ളതാണ്. നിലവിൽ ഈ അന്തേവാസി 20 വർഷത്തിൽ കൂടുതൽ ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇയാളുടെ കേസിൽ BNSS 467(2) വകുപ്പിന്റെ ആനുകൂല്യം ലഭിക്കുമെന്നതിനാൽ ഓരോ കേസിലും പ്രത്യേകം വിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവ് ആവശ്യമില്ല. സി.നം. 4536 ഹാഷിം, സി.നം.5223 ഹൈദ്രി @ ഫെറീ എന്നിവർ ഒരേ കുറ്റകൃത്യത്തിലെ പ്രതികളാണ്. ഓരോ കേസിലും 14 വർഷം വീതം ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടില്ലാത്തതിനാലും ആദ്യ കേസിലെ ശിക്ഷയിലുൾപ്പെടുത്താനും രണ്ടാമത്തെ കേസിലെ ശിക്ഷയ്ക്ക് ലഭിക്കുകയില്ലായെന്ന കോടതി വിധിയുള്ളതിനാലും ഈ രണ്ട് പേരുടെ വിഷയത്തിൽ, ആദ്യത്തെ കേസിൽ മാത്രം വിടുതൽ നൽകുന്ന കാര്യം പരിഗണിക്കാവുന്നതാണ്.

6. ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട സി.നം.1455 മജീദ്, ബഹു. അഡീഷണൽ സെഷൻസ് കേസരിയുടെ SC No. 60/1991 നമ്പർ കേസിൽ ഇന്ത്യൻ ശിക്ഷാ നിയമം 302 വകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരം ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവിന് ശിക്ഷിക്കപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നതും ജയിൽ മോചിതനായ പ്രതി നിലവിൽ ഈ കേസിൽ സാക്ഷിമൊഴി നൽകിയ കമാർ എന്ന വ്യക്തിയെ ആക്രമിച്ച് കൊലപ്പെടുത്താൻ ശ്രമിച്ചതിന് ബഹു. തിരുവനന്തപുരം അഡീഷണൽ സെഷൻസ് കോടതിയുടെ SC 355/2015 നമ്പർ കേസിൽ ഇന്ത്യൻ ശിക്ഷാ നിയമം 307, 324 വകുപ്പുകൾ പ്രകാരം ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവിനും 25000/- രൂപ പിഴയ്ക്കും, പിഴ ഒടുക്കിയില്ലെങ്കിൽ ഒരു വർഷം തടവിനും, ശിക്ഷിക്കപ്പെട്ട് 19.04.2017 തീയതി മുതൽ ജയിൽ ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിച്ച് വരികയുമാണ്. ബഹു. ഹൈക്കോടതി ശിക്ഷ ശരിവെച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും 30.06.2024 തീയതി പ്രാബല്യത്തിൽ 9 വർഷം, 5 മാസം, 3 ദിവസവും ഇളവുകൾ ഉൾപ്പെടെ 10 വർഷം, 8 മാസം, 18 ദിവസവും ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയതിനാൽ 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടങ്ങളിലെ ചട്ടം 464 (iv) പ്രകാരമാണ് ഇയാളുടെ കേസ് അകാലവിടുതലിന് പരിഗണിച്ചതെന്നും ജയിൽവകുപ്പ് മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇളവുൾപ്പെടെ 10 വർഷം ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതിനാൽ പ്രസ്തുത ചട്ട പ്രകാരം അകാലവിടുതലിന് അർഹനാണെന്നു കാണാവുന്നതാണ്.

7. അകാലവിടുതലുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട്, കുറ്റകൃത്യത്തിന്റെ സ്വഭാവം മാത്രം പരിഗണിച്ച് തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ നിഷേധിക്കുന്നതിനെതിരായി ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതി WP(Crl) 520/22 നമ്പർ കേസിൽ, Joseph Vs State of Kerala, 21.09.2023 തീയതിയിലെ വിധിന്യായത്തിൽ നിരീക്ഷണം നടത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്. പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ പ്രസക്തഭാഗങ്ങൾ ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു.

".....33. Classifying to use a better word, typecasting convicts, through guidelines which are inflexible, based on their crime committed in the distant past can result in the real danger of overlooking the reformatory potential of each individual convict. Grouping types of convicts, based on the offences they were found to have committed, as a starting point, may be justified. However, the prison laws in India - read with Articles 72 and 161 encapsulate a strong underlying reformatory purpose. The practical impact of a

guideline, which bars consideration of a premature release request by a convict who has served over 20 or 25 years, based entirely on the nature of crime committed in the distant past, would be to crush the life force out of such individual, altogether. Thus, for instance, a 19 or 20 year old individual convicted for a crime, which finds place in the list which bars premature release, altogether, would mean that such person would never see freedom, and would die within the prison walls. There is a peculiarity of continuing to imprison one who committed a crime years earlier who might well have changed totally since that time. This is the condition of many people serving very long sentences. They may have killed someone (or done something much less serious, such as commit a narcotic drug related offences or be serving a life sentence for other non-violent crimes) as young individuals and remain incarcerated 20 or more years later. Regardless of the morality of continued punishment, one may question its rationality. The question is, what is achieved by continuing to punish a person who recognises the wrongness of what they have done, who no longer identifies with it, and who bears little resemblance to the person they were years earlier? It is tempting to say that they are no longer the same person. Yet, the insistence of guidelines, obdurately, to not look beyond the red lines drawn by it and continue in denial to consider the real impact of prison good behavior, and other relevant factors (to ensure that such individual has been rid of the likelihood of causing harm to society) results in violation of Article 14 of the Constitution. Excluding the relief of premature release to prisoners who have served extremely long periods of incarceration, not only crushes their spirit, and instils despair, but signifies society's resolve to be harsh and unforgiving. The idea of rewarding, a prisoner for good conduct is entirely negated.

34. In the petitioner's case, the 1958 Rules are clear a life sentence, is deemed to be 20 years of incarceration. After this, the prisoner is entitled to premature release. 28 The guidelines issued by the NHRC pointed out to us by the counsel for the petitioner, are also relevant to consider that of mandating release, after serving 25 years as sentence (even in heinous crimes). At this juncture, redirecting the petitioner who has already undergone over 26 years of incarceration (and over 35 years of punishment with remission), before us to undergo, yet again, consideration before the Advisory Board, and thereafter, the state government for premature release – would be a cruel outcome, like being granted only a salve to fight a raging fire, in the name of procedure. The grand vision of the rule of law and the idea of fairness is then swept away, at the altar of procedure which this court has repeatedly held to be a "handmaiden of justice".

35. Rule 376 of the 2014 Rules prescribes that prisoners shall be granted remission for keeping peace and

good behaviour in jail. As per the records produced by the State, the petitioner has earned over 8 years of remission, thus demonstrating his good conduct in jail. The discussions in the minutes of the meetings of the Jail Advisory Board are also positive and find that he is hardworking, disciplined, and a reformed inmate. Therefore, in the interest of justice, this court is of the opinion, that it would be appropriate to direct the release of the petitioner, with immediate effect. It is ordered accordingly.

36. The writ petition, thus, stands allowed in the above terms. Pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

8. പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ, ബഹു കേരളാ ഹൈക്കോടതി മുമ്പാകെ ശ്രീമതി പ്രസന്ന, ശ്രീമതി ബിന്ദു പ്രകാശൻ എന്നിവർ യഥാക്രമം ഫയൽ ചെയ്ത W.A.No. 1245/24, W.A No.2137/24 എന്നിവയിന്മേലും ശ്രീ ബാലു ഫയൽ ചെയ്ത WP(Crl) 1215/24-ലും സമാന വിധത്തിലുള്ള വിധിന്യായം ബഹു. കോടതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും ഈ വിധിന്യായങ്ങൾ കൂടി കണക്കിലെടുത്തുകൊണ്ട് നെടുങ്കാൽത്തേരി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ അന്തേവാസിയും മേൽകേസിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടതുമായ സി.നം.2761 രാജൻ, ചീമേനി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ അന്തേവാസിയായിരുന്ന സി.നം. 321 പ്രകാശൻ എന്നിവർക്ക് 15.09.2025 തീയതിയിലെ സ.ഉ(കൈ)നം.171/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം പ്രകാരവും വിയ്യൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ അന്തേവാസിയായ സി.നം. 6036 ബാലു, സി.നം.4028 റിജോ എന്നിവരുൾപ്പെടെയുള്ള നാലുപേർക്ക് 31.10.2025 തീയതിയിലെ സ.ഉ(കൈ)നം.206/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം പ്രകാരവും അകാല വിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി.

9. കുറ്റവാളികളുടെ മേൽ ചുമത്തുന്ന പിഴ ശിക്ഷ (fine sentence) സംബന്ധിച്ച് Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024 നമ്പർ കേസിലെ ഉത്തരവിൽ ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതിയുടെ നിരീക്ഷണങ്ങളുടെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന അഭിപ്രായം ലഭ്യമാക്കിയിട്ടുണ്ട്:

" Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs. Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024 എന്ന കേസിൽ അകാല വിടുതലിനായി പരിഗണിക്കുന്ന തടവുകാർക്ക് ശിക്ഷയോടൊപ്പം ഫൈൻ കൂടി വിധിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതാണെങ്കിൽ ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കിയത് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ കൂടി പരിശോധിക്കേണ്ടതാണെന്ന് വിധിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി. ആയത് ഭരണവകുപ്പ് ഉറപ്പ് വരുത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

ബിൽക്കിസ് യാക്കൂബ്, കേസിൽ ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച ഉത്തരവിലെ 54ാം ഖണ്ഡികയിൽ പിഴയ്ക്കു പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ സംബന്ധിച്ച കാര്യങ്ങൾ വിശദീകരിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്. ഖണ്ഡിക 54 -3 ൽ " the sentence of imprisonment awarded to a person for committing an offence is distinct than the imprisonment ordered to be undergone on default of payment of fine. The latter is not a substantive sentence for commission of offence but is in the nature of penalty for default in payment of fine" എന്ന് വ്യക്തമാക്കുന്നു. സമാനമായ മറ്റൊരു കേസിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് തന്ന ഉപദേശത്തിൽ ഇത് വിശദീകരിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്

10. ഇതിൻ പ്രകാരം ശിക്ഷാ ഇളവിന് പരിഗണിക്കുന്ന തടവുകാർ ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കുന്നതുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട് വ്യക്തത വരുത്തുവാൻ ജയിൽ മേധാവിയോട് ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്തതിന് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷാ കാലാവധി കൂടി കണക്കിലെടുത്തല്ല ജയിൽ ഉപദേശകസമിതികൾ BNSS 475 (CrPC 433 A) പ്രകാരം നിഷ്കർഷിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള മിനിമം കാലാവധിയായ 14 വർഷം കണക്കാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതെന്നും Set off കാലാവധി ഉൾപ്പെടെയുള്ള യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷ (Substantive Sentence) മാത്രമാണ് ഇതിനായി കണക്കാക്കുന്നതെന്നും ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി ശുപാർശ അനുസരിച്ച് വിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം, അന്തേവാസികൾ പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കിയെന്ന് ഉറപ്പുവരുത്തി മാത്രമാണ് വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്നും പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്ത തടവുകാരെ പിഴക്ക് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷ കൂടി അനുഭവിച്ച ശേഷം മാത്രമാണ് വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്നും ജയിൽ മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

11. ഈ ശുപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട അന്തേവാസികളിൽ 5 പേർക്ക് പിഴ ചുമത്തിയിട്ടില്ലാത്തതാണ്. ഇവരിൽ സി.നം. 5983 തോമസ് ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽമോചിതനായിട്ടുണ്ട്. 32 പേർക്ക് ഫൈൻ ചുമത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്. ഇവരിൽ ഒരാൾ മരണമടഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും 5 പേർ ശിക്ഷപൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽമോചിതരായിട്ടുള്ളതുമാണ്. ബാക്കിയുള്ള 26 പേരിൽ 4 പേരെഴികെ മറ്റുള്ളവർ അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം ജയിൽ വേജസിൽ നിന്നും പിഴത്തുക അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതായും, 4 പേർ മതിയായ വേജസ് ഇല്ലാത്തതിനാലും കുടുംബാംഗങ്ങൾക്ക് (പിഴത്തുക അടയ്ക്കാനുള്ള) സാമ്പത്തിക സ്ഥിതി ഇല്ലായെന്നും പ്രൊബേഷണറി ഓഫീസർ റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളതായും ജയിൽ വകുപ്പ് മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇതിൻ പ്രകാരം അന്തേവാസികൾ പിഴത്തുക അടയ്ക്കുന്നതുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട വിവരങ്ങൾ ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു:

ക്രമ നം	കൺവിക്ട് നം. & പേര് (വയസ്സ്)	പിഴത്തുക സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ
1	സി.നം. 619, ആൻഡ്രൂസ്	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
2	സി.നം. 687, തങ്കമണി	പിഴത്തുക മകൻ അടയ്ക്കാമെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
3	സി.നം.1762, ശിവൻ പിള്ള	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
4	സി.നം.2056, കൃഷ്ണപിള്ള	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
5	സി.നം.3146, രാജു	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
6	സി.നം.3391, ശശി	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
7	സി.നം.3573, അജിത്ത് കുമാർ	പിഴ ഇല്ല
8	സി.നം.3597, സെബാസ്റ്റ്യൻ	പിഴ ഇല്ല

9	സി.നം. 3852, ബാബുകുമാർ	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
10	സി.നം. 4080, രവീന്ദ്രൻ ആശാരി	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
11	സി.നം.4228, സുശീലൻ	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
12	സി.നം.4459, മനോജ്	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
13	സി.നം.4536, ഹാഷീം	പിഴ ഇല്ല
14	സി.നം. 5223 ഫ്രെഡി @ ഫെറി	പിഴ ഇല്ല
15	സി.നം.5237, രാമസുബ്രമണ്യൻ	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
16	സി.നം.5258, യൂനസ്സ്	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
17	സി.നം.5705, ബാലകൃഷ്ണൻ	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
18	സി.നം.5739, രാജേന്ദ്രൻ	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
19	സി.നം.6103, അജിത്ത്	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
20	സി.നം.6593, അയ്യപ്പൻ	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
21	സി.നം.6596, ഗൗസ്ജാൻ	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
22	സി.നം.6597, മനോജ്	പിഴ ഇല്ല
23	സി.നം.7181, അഭിലാഷ്	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
24	സി.നം.9164, അജയൻ	പിഴ ഒടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള മതിയായ വേതനം വേജസിൽ ഇല്ല. പിഴ ഒടുക്കുവാൻ താൽപര്യമില്ലായെന്ന് ബന്ധുക്കൾ അറിയിച്ചതായി പ്രൊബേഷൻ ഓഫീസർ അറിയിച്ചു.
25	സി.നം.1455, മജീദ്	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
26	സി.നം.2751, ഭാസ്കരൻ നാടാർ	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
27	സി.നം.2874, ദേവരാജൻ	പിഴ ഒടുക്കുന്നതിന് മതിയായ വേജസ് ഇല്ല. ഏക ബന്ധുവായ സഹോദരിക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള സാമ്പത്തിക സ്ഥിതിയില്ലായെന്ന് പ്രൊബേഷൻ ഓഫീസർ റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്തു.
28	സി.നം.2881, ശശി	മരണമടഞ്ഞു
29	സി.നം.4587, സലീം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽമോചിതനായി.

30	സി.നം.5454, ശിവദാസൻ	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽമോചിതനായി.
31	സി.നം.2242, മുരളി	അകാലവിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം വേജസിൽ നിന്നും അടയ്ക്കുന്നതാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചു.
32	സി.നം.4622, കുഞ്ഞപ്പൻ	പിഴ ഒടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള മതിയായ വേതനം വേജസിൽ നിലവിലില്ല. കടുത്ത സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രതിസന്ധിയുള്ള കുടുംബമായതിനാൽ തുക അടയ്ക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള സാഹചര്യമില്ലായെന്ന് പ്രൊബേഷൻ ഓഫീസർ റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്തു.
33	സി.നം.5586, മുരുകൻ	പിഴ ഒടുക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള മതിയായ വേതനം വേജസിൽ നിലവിലില്ല. കടുത്ത സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രതിസന്ധിയുള്ള കുടുംബമായതിനാൽ തുക അടയ്ക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള സാഹചര്യമില്ലായെന്ന് പ്രൊബേഷൻ ഓഫീസർ റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്തു.
34	സി.നം. 5347, ജയപ്രകാശ്	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
35	സി.നം.5700, സുന്ദരേശൻ	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
36	സി.നം.5869, ഉണ്ണി	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
37	സി.നം.5983, തോമസ്	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി

12. തിരുവനന്തപുരം സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 17.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി ശുപാർശ സംബന്ധിച്ച വിഷയം, മരണമടഞ്ഞ/വിടുതൽ ചെയ്ത് പോയവരുമായ അന്തേവാസികളെ ഒഴിവാക്കി മന്ത്രിസഭയുടെ പരിഗണനയ്ക്ക് സമർപ്പിക്കുവാൻ ബഹു. മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി ഉത്തരവിട്ടു.

തിരുമാനിക്കേണ്ട വിഷയം

തിരുവനന്തപുരം സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 17.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശയും നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ ഉപദേശവും പരിഗണിച്ച് ഭരണഘടനയുടെ 161-ാം അനുച്ഛേദം പ്രദാനം ചെയ്യുന്ന അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ചും, 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗ്ഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടങ്ങളിലെ ചട്ടം 470 ലെ നിബന്ധനകൾക്ക് വിധേയമായും, മരണമടഞ്ഞ സി.നം. 2881 ശശി, ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതരായ സി.നം. 4587 സലീം, സി.നം. 5454 ശിവദാസൻ, സി.നം. 5347 ജയപ്രകാശ്, സി.നം. 5700 സുന്ദരേശൻ, സി.നം. 5869 ഉണ്ണി, സി.നം. 5983 തോമസ് എന്നിവരെ ഒഴിവാക്കി താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന അന്തേവാസികളിൽ സി.നം.4536 ഹാഷിം, സി.നം.5223 ഹൈദർ എന്നിവരെ ഒഴികെയുള്ള അന്തേവാസികളുടെ ശേഷിക്കുന്ന പ്രധാന ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ് (substantive sentence) ഇളവ് ചെയ്ത്, പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കിയിട്ടില്ലാത്ത അന്തേവാസികളുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ പിഴ അടച്ചുകഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ പിഴയ്ക്ക് പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിച്ച് കഴിഞ്ഞ് മാത്രമോ ആയിരിക്കും വിടുതൽ എന്ന വ്യവസ്ഥയിൽ,

അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിനും സി.നം.4536 ഹാഷിം, സി.നം.5223 ഫ്രെഡി എന്നിവരുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ ആദ്യത്തെ കേസിൽ മാത്രം വിടുതൽ നൽകുന്നതിനും ബഹു. ഗവർണ്ണർക്ക് ഉപദേശം നൽകാമോ ?

- 1) സി.നം. 619 ആൻഡ്രൂസ്
- 2) സി.നം. 687 തങ്കമണി
- 3) സി.നം.1762 ശിവൻ പിള്ള
- 4) സി.നം.2056 കൃഷ്ണപിള്ള
- 5) സി.നം.3146 രാജു
- 6) സി.നം.3391 ശശി
- 7) സി.നം.5573 അജിത്ത് കുമാർ
- 8) സി.നം.3597 സെബാസ്റ്റ്യൻ
- 9) സി.നം. 3852 ബാബുകുമാർ
- 10) സി.നം. 4080 രവീന്ദ്രൻ ആശാരി
- 11) സി.നം.4228 സുശീലൻ
- 12) സി.നം.4459 മനോജ്
- 13) സി.നം.4536 ഹാഷിം (ആദ്യത്തെ കേസിൽ മാത്രം)
- 14) സി.നം. 5223 ഫ്രെഡി @ഫെറി (ആദ്യത്തെ കേസിൽ മാത്രം)
- 15) സി.നം.5237 രാമസുബ്രമണ്യൻ
- 16) സി.നം.5258 യൂനസ്സ്
- 17) സി.നം.5705 ബാലകൃഷ്ണൻ
- 18) സി.നം.5739 രാജേന്ദ്രൻ
- 19) സി.നം.6103 അജിത്ത്
- 20) സി.നം.6593 അയ്യപ്പൻ
- 21) സി.നം.6596 ഗൗസ്ജാൻ
- 22) സി.നം.6597 മനോജ്
- 23) സി.നം.7181 അഭിലാഷ്
- 24) സി.നം.9164 അജയൻ
- 25) സി.നം.1455 മജീദ്
- 26) സി.നം.2751 ഭാസ്കരൻ നാടാർ
- 27) സി.നം.2874 ദേവരാജൻ

28) സി.നം.2242 മുരളി

29) സി.നം.4622 കുഞ്ഞപ്പൻ

30) സി.നം.5586 മുരുകൻ

ANNEXURE -I

1. As per rule 462 of Kerala Prison and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014 Jail Advisory Committees are constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, High Security Prison and Prison for Women to make recommendation for the premature release of prisoners. The Advisory Committee, Central Prison and Correctional Home, Thiruvananthapuram held on 17.08.2024. recommended 37 prisoners for granting premature release.

2. Section 77 of the THE KERALA PRISONS AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES (MANAGEMENT) ACT, 2010 confers Government the power of granting premature release to prisoners either suo moto or on the recommendation of the Advisory Committee. **As per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs. Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024, the Court had stated that the appropriate Government is duty bound to consider the fact of payment of fine while granting remission of sentence to the prisoner who has been sentenced for payment of fine as well with his substantive sentence for imprisonment. As per the judgment, not only the government but the Advisory Board are also duty bound to verify whether the prisoner made the payment of fine before making the recommendation for premature release of prisoner to the Government. As such the AD may be informed to be vigilant with regard to verification of details regarding payment of fine by the prisoner before passing order of remission.**

3. A/D may be informed also to furnish details regarding payment of fine, the period of set off, if any, calculated along with the period of incarceration for premature release of a prisoner in each case for perusal of this department.

4. This Department has examined the recommendation of the Jail Advisory Board with all case files thoroughly. On the basis of a thorough examination of the details regarding the prisoners available in the file, the Administrative Department may be informed as follows:

1.C No.619 ANDREWS @ FRANCIS S/o MARIYADAS, Age: 63

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
The Prosecution case is that on 13.04.2000 due to previous animosity Andrews murdered his wife Ambili by	The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended for his release. But the Police Report is adverse.

<p>strangulating her neck by using a towel.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.1125/2000 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court- II, Thiruvananthapuram and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and pay fine of Rs.5,000/- u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. RC No.02/2011 (CrI.A.87/2007) dated 15.11.2011</p>	<p>Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 11 months and 06 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Dist & Sessions Court- II, Thiruvananthapuram in SC No.1125/2000 and the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. RC No.02/2011 (CrI.A.87/2007) dated 15.11.2011 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p><u>However, there are no records in the file regarding payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</u></p> <p><u>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there are no other legal impediments in granting premature release to the prisoner .</u></p>
---	---

2. C No.687 THANKAMANI S/o NARAYANAN, Age :75

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that A1 Thankamani was the manager cum tutor and A2 Stephan Joseph was the Physical Education teacher of Model residential School established in Idukki for SC/ST students. During the year 2021, they committed rape on 2 minor girls several times.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 1st accused</p>	<p>"The District Probation Officer, Idukki recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per section 467(2) of BNSS where a person who is already undergoing a sentence of imprisonment for life is sentenced on a subsequent conviction to imprisonment for a term or imprisonment for life, the subsequent sentence shall run concurrently with such</p>

in SC.No.486/2003 of the Sessions Court, Thodupuzha. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.1,00,000/- i/d RI for one year u/s 376(2)(b)& 2(c) IPC, RI for 1 year and to pay fine of Rs.2,500/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 354 IPC vide judgment dated 05.06.2006. Substantive sentences to run concurrently. Set off allowed for a period of 51 days.

He was also the 1st accused in SC.No.475/2003 of the Sessions Court, Thodupuzha. In this case also he was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.50,000/- i/d RI for one year u/s 376(2)(b)&2(c) IPC and RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 3(1) (xii) of SC/ST Act and RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 3(2) (v) of SC/ST(prevention of atrocities) Act vide judgment dated 08.07.2009.

As per judgement the accused is not entitled to set off for the period during which he was undergoing imprisonment after conviction in SC 486/2003 between the period from 5.6.2006 to 8.7.2009. Set off allowed for the period during which he was in judicial custody as under trial prisoner. sentences shall run concurrently.

The judgment of the convicting Court in SC 486/2003 was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No. 1099/2006 dated 15.06.2009.

previous sentence.

The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 02 months and 16 days as on 30.06.2024.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.486/2003, SC.No. 475/2003 and in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1099 of 2006 dated 15.06.2009 contain any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of Sri.Thankamani.

There are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict. A/D may verify the same.

The Jail Advisory Board has recommended for his release on the ground that the prisoner has undergone more than 18 years of incarceration, his age being 75 years and his family acceptance and report of the Probation Officer regarding his rehabilitation is possible. But he is seen sentenced for imprisonment for life by the Court u/s 376(2)(b) of the IPC in both cases. As per section 376(2)(b) of the IPC, the punishment for the same is rigorous imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than ten years, but which may extend to imprisonment for life, which shall mean imprisonment for the remainder of that person's natural life, and shall also be liable to fine. In such circumstances, A/D may take appropriate decision with regard to his premature release

3.C No.1762 SIVAN PILLAI S/o DAMODHARAN PILLAI, Age:58

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 16.10.2005 Sivan Pillai picked up a quarrel with his wife and he poured kerosene on her body and set fire with a match stick. She succumbed to the burn injuries.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.443/2006 of the Additional District & Sessions Court (Adhoc) -I, Pathanamthitta. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.35,000/- i/d RI for 9 months u/s 302 IPC and RI for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs 15,000/- i/d RI for 3 months u/s 498(A) IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Entitled to get set off.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1502/2007 dated 01.03.2012.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Pattanamthitta and the Police Report recommends his release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The convict has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 06 months and 24 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court, (Adhoc) -I, Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No.443/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1502 of 2007 dated 01.03.2012. contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Sivan Pillai.</p> <p>However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"><p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there are no other legal impediments in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Sivan Pillai.</p></div>

4.C No.2056 KRISHNA PILLAI S/o CHELLAPPAN PILLAI, Age: 74

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 03.12.2005 Krishna Pillai kidnapped a 3 years old nomadic child and committed rape and murdered her. The corpse was concealed in a heap of granite powder.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Alappuzha has recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p>

<p>He was the in SC.No.35/2007 of the Additional District & Sessions Court, (Adhoc) Fast Track Court -II, Pathanamthitta and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 6 years and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 366 IPC and RI for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs 15,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 376 IPC. Sentences to run concurrently. Entitled to get set off.</p>	<p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 06 months and 25 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>There are no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Krishna Pillai in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court, (Adhoc) Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No.35/2007 .</p> <p>However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Krishna Pillai.</p>
---	--

5.C No.3146 RAJU S/o PACHAN (A2), Age: 51

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Raju and Gopi committed rape on a minor girl (11 years old) on different occasions during the first half of the year 2003.</p> <p>The convict was the 2nd accused in SC.No.501/2005 of the Additional District & Sessions Court(Fast Track Court-I) Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 25,000/-i/d SI for 03 years u/s 376 IPC. Entitled to set off.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram has recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per Rule 464(iv) of the Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, life convicts of men and young offenders, except for cases which come under the purview of section 433A of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and cases which shall be considered after completing fourteen years of actual imprisonment shall be considered when ten</p>

<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2629/2008 dated 08.02.2013.</p>	<p>years of imprisonment, including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 11 months and 23 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court, Fast Track -I, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.501/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2629 of 2008 dated 08.02.2013 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Raju.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Raju.</p> </div>
--	--

6.C No.3391 SASI @ KAVI SASI S/o PODIYAN, Age: 63

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to previous enmity, Sasi, on 16.06.2008 committed murder of one Ratnamma near Gang Rest room building of Kollam Railway Station.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.462/2010 of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court (Adhoc-II) Kollam. He was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 10,000/-i/d RI for 06 months u/s 302 IPC. Entitled to get set off.</p> <p>From the contents of the file it is seen that the prisoner was also the accused in CC 847/2019 of the Hon'ble</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 7 months and 26 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble</p>

<p>JFCM-I Court Kollam and he was convicted and sentenced to rigorous Imprisonment for 7 months u/s 324 & 354 IPC and simple imprisonment for 3 months u/s 323 IPC.(since copy of the judgment is not produced with the case file for perusal.)</p> <p>The judgment in SC.No.462/2010 of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court(Adhoc-II) Kollam was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.457/2013 dated 23.07.2019.</p>	<p>Additional District & Sessions Court(Adhoc-II) Kollam in Sessions Case No.462/2010 and the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.457/2013 dated 23.07.2019 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Sasi.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that on verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Sasi</p>
---	---

7.C No.3573 AJITHKUMAR S/o JANARDHANAN (A1), Age: 65

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case relates to the Hooch tragedy case at Karunagappally where the arishta sold from the ayurveda dispensary of A1 resulted in the death of 2 persons and 11 persons lost eyesight. There were 5 accused in all.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.868/2003 of the Additional District & Sessions Court-II, Kollam. He was convicted and sentenced to RI for 10 years u/s 304 part II IPC and RI for 7 years u/s 325 IPC and RI for 3 years u/s 201 r/w 34 IPC and RI for Life u/s 57 (A)(ii) Abkari Act and RI for 3 years u/s 55(a) (b) and (i) and Sec. 58(A) of the Abkari Act and Fine of Rs. 1 Lakh u/s 55 (a) (b) and (i) of Abkari Act i/d SI for 1 year and SI for 3 months u/s 6 of Poisons Act.</p> <p>(copy of the judgment is not seen</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam and Police has recommended his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464(1)(iv) of the Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, stipulates that Life convicts of men and young offenders, except for cases which come under the purview of section 433A of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and cases which shall be considered after completing fourteen years of actual imprisonment shall be considered when ten years of imprisonment, including remission is completed.</p> <p>Rule 464(1)(viii) of the Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014 provides that male prisoners above 65 years and for female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973,</p>

<p>produced with the case file for perusal. Hence AD may verify whether the sentences run concurrently or consecutively and any other impediments)</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was partly allowed and held that that conviction u/s 304 Part II IPC and 57 A(ii) & section 58 A of the Abkari Act are confirmed and set aside the conviction and sentence u/s 325 and 201 r/w 34 IPC and 55(a)(b) and (i) of Abkari Act by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1166/2008 dated 17.12.2009.</p> <p>The Judgment of the Appellate Court was confirmed by the Supreme Court vide judgment in Crl.A. No.1180/2010 dated 28.1.2016.</p>	<p>sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered, on condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court is not available. Hence it is not clear whether there is any stipulation in the convicting court judgment against his release. A/D may verify the same. There are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict and on verification of whether any stipulations are there in the convicting court judgment against his release, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Ajithkumar.</p>
--	--

8.C No.3597 K.D SEBASTIAN S/o DEVASIA, Age: 71

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on account of the enmity due to division of family property, on 16.03.2004 Sebastian and two others assaulted one Scaria and ingested acid into his mouth. Later Scaria succumbed to death.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 2nd accused in SC.No.70/2006 of the Additional Sessions Court, Pathanamthitta and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and SI for 6 months u/s 323 IPC. Set off allowed. sentence shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed by upheld the conviction of 2nd accused and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Pathanamthitta and Police have recommended his release. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 05 months and 13 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No.70/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2491 of 2009 dated 20.09.2014</p>

No.2491/2009 dated 20.09.2014.	<p>contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.K.D Sebastian.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--------------------------------	---

9.C No.3852 BABUKUMAR S/o APPU

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that there were four accused in the murder case of Ambika. On 19.02.1996 Babukumar administered a pesticide mixed in liquor to Ambika in disguise of medicine for stomach-ache. She died on 20.02.1996 while undergoing treatment in Medical College Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.375/1997 of the Additional District and Sessions Court, Fast Track -II, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 25, 000/- i/d RI for 3 years u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2123/2004 dated 13.03.2008.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram and Police were recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 06 months and 04 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court, Fast Track -II, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.375/1997 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2113 of 2004 dated 13.08.2008 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Babukumar.</p> <p>However, there are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <div data-bbox="710 1747 1316 1948" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Babukumar.</p> </div>

12.C No. 4459 MANOJ S/o SREEDHARAN, Age:54

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 03.07.2006 Manoj entered into the house of Ramabhadran and his wife Vasanthi with a stick and she succumbed to the injuries on the spot.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.1161/2007 of the Additional District and Sessions Court, Fast Track -I, Thiruvananthapuram. He was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 5000/- i/d RI for one month u/s 302 IPC and RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- i/d RI for one month u/s 449 IPC and SI for one year u/s 324 IPC. Sentences shall run concurrently.Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Thiruvananthapuram recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>The judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court, Fast Track -I, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.1161/2007 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Manoj.</p> <p>There are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner .</p> </div>

13.C No. 4536 HASHIM S/o MUHAMMED JAMAL(A2), Age: 53

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused is one among the four persons involved in the murder of on Binulal, a private videographer and Vijayan, a police officer. The prisoner is the 2nd accused in SC.No.296/1996 of the Additional District & Sessions Court-II, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 14 years</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be</p>

<p>u/s 392 IPC. Sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was partly allowed and sentence imposed u/s 392 IPC is reduced to imprisonment for 10 years by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.795/1998 dated 21.12.1998 (SC.No.296/1996)</p> <p>The prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.16/1998 of the District & Sessions Court, Thiruvananthapuram. He was convicted and sentenced to an imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 10 years u/s 392 IPC and RI for 1 month u/s 341 IPC</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was partly allowed sentence imposed u/s 392 IPC is reduced to imprisonment for 10 years by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.795/1998 dated 21.12.1998 (SC.No.296/1996)</p>	<p>released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 27 years, 06 months and 0 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>In the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, -II, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case 16/1998 dated 28.09.2001 , <u>it is stated that any remission or commutation, if any, granted by the appropriate authority, in respect of the earlier imprisonment for life will not available for the sentence of imprisonment for life awarded in this case.</u> Hence, it is seen that the cases of the prisoner has to be considered individually and separate orders of remission is thus necessary. In view of the above remarks of the Court, the prisoner will not be entitled to the benefit of Section 467 BNSS.</p>
---	---

14.C No. 5223 FERRY @ FREDDY S/o FRANCIS (A3)

<p>The Prosecution case is that Ferry @ Freddy is the third accused among the four accused involved in the murder case of one Binulal, a private videographer and Vijayan, a police officer on duty in two different incidents within a span of one hour on 10.07.1996 night in-between Thampanoor – East fort area.</p> <p>On 10.07.1996 while Binulal was coming out of Chithira bar, Thakaraparampu, Ferry along with others tried to rob him and others stabbed on chest, abdomen and back of Binulal. He was later taken by police party to Medical College</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, and Police have not recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 27 years, 19 days as on 30.06.2024.</p>
--	---

Hospital

Thiruvananthapuram, where he was declared dead.

Facts of the other case is that on 10.07.1996 Ferry along with others snatched valuables from Ramayyan putting him under fear of death. On seeing this Police party rushed into the scene. Murugan, the 1st accused in stabbed Vijayan of Police Party, when they tried to catch them and he succumbed to the injuries

The prisoner was the 3rd accused in SC.No.296/1996 of the Additional District & Sessions Court, Thiruvananthapuram. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 14 years u/s 392 IPC. vide judgment dated 22.08.1998. set off allowed. substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Pages 82 and 83 of the judgment are illegible, hence it is difficult to ascertain the directions made by the Hon'ble court in that pages.

The prisoner was also the 4th accused in SC.No.16/1998 of the District & Sessions Court, Thiruvananthapuram. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 10 years u/s 392 IPC and RI for 1 month u/s 341 IPC

The judgment of the convicting court was partly allowed and the sentence imposed u/s 392 IPC is reduced to imprisonment for 10 years by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.795/1998 dated 21.12.1998 (SC.No.296/1996)

In the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, -II, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case 16/1998 dated 28.09.2001, it is stated that any remission or commutation, if any, granted by the appropriate authority, in respect of the earlier imprisonment for life will not be available for the sentence of imprisonment for life awarded in this case'. Hence, it is seen that the cases of the prisoner has to be considered individually and separate orders of remission is thus necessary. In view of the above remarks of the Court, the prisoner will not be entitled to the benefit of Section 467 BNSS.

15.C No. 5237 RAMASUBRAMANIAN @ MANI S/o SUBBAYYA NADAR, Age: 77

The Prosecution case is that due to previous enmity, on 08.08.1999 Ramasubramanian made entry into the house of Sabitha Beevi and committed murder of Sabitha Beevi and her children Jasmin, Jiyas and Navas.

The judgment in SC.No.973/2001 of First Additional Sessions Judge, Kollam and the Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.410/2003 of High Court dated 05.10.2004 are not available with the case file.

The District Probation Officer, Tenkasi has recommended for his release. Superintendent of Police, Tenkasi District have not given any adverse remarks regarding his release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

Since copies of the Judgment of Sessions Court & High Court is not enclosed, it cannot be ascertained whether there is any impediment in the Judgment of the lower court and the High Court against premature release of the accused. It is seen from the contents of the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Crl Appeal No. 22/2005 that the accused was sentenced to death penalty by the First Additional Sessions Judge, Kollam in its Judgment in SC 973/2001 which was confirmed in appeal by the High Court in Crl Appeal No. 410/2003.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 22 years, 11 months and 5 days as on 30.06.2024.

However, AD may consider the sentences imposed by the Lower Court and High Court and the nature of the offence committed by the prisoner before issuing orders in this case.

16.C No. 5258 YONNUS S/o UMMER HAJI

The Prosecution case is that on 26.11.2002 due to enmity with his wife and her family members the prisoner committed murder of his 6 months aged son.

The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

<p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.264/2003 of First Additional Sessions Fast Track Court -I (Adhoc), Manjeri and convicted and sentenced. sentence portion in the judgment given as follows:-</p> <p>In the result,accused is sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- .In the event of remission,commutation etc and on default to pay fine,he shall undergo additional term of imprisonment of two years u/s 302 of IPC. set off is not allowed on the ground that since life imprisonment implies the remaining part of life.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.783/2005 dated 18.08.2009.</p>	<p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 08 months and 12 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>The judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, Adhoc Manjeri in Sessions Case No. 264/2003 and in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.783 of 2005 dated 18.08.2009 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Yonnus.</p> <p>However, there are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p> </div>
---	---

17.C No. 5705 BALAKRISHNAN @ SOMAN S/o VELAYUDHAN ASSARI, Age: 56

<p>The Prosecution case is that, on 16.01.1995, Balakrishnan along with his brother Sreekumar (A2) manhandled and made his wife unconscious and then set fire on her body after pouring kerosene. She died on the scene of crime itself due to the severe burn injuries.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.197/1998 of Additional District & Sessions Court (Fast Track -I), Trivandrum convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 5,000/- i/d RI for 1</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 10 months and</p>
--	--

year u/s 302 r/w 34 IPC and RI for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs 2,000/- i/d RI for 4 months u/s 498(A) IPC. set off allowed. substantive sentences shall run concurrently. (copy of the judgment submitted with the case file is illegible.)

11 days as on 30.06.2024.

No adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Balakrishnan are seen in the Judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District and Sessions Court, (Fast Track -I, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.197/1998 .

There are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

18.C No. 5739 RAJENDRAN S/o CHANDRA KUMAR, Age:68

The Prosecution case is that, on 16.05.2001 the Rajendran forcibly thrust rice mixed with poison into the mouth of his wife, the deceased and compelled her to swallow the same by threatening the deceased that he would kill her younger son if she did not swallow. Under duress, she swallowed the poisoned food. She breathed her last on 18.05.2001 evening while treatment at Govt. Hospital Vandiperiyar

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.36/2005 of Sessions Court Thodupuzha. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs. 1,00,000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 302 IPC Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.565/2007 dated 01.08.2011.

The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 03 months and 03 days as on 30.06.2024.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court- Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.36/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.565 of 2007 dated 01.08.2011 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence

	<p>A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>
--	---

19.C No. 6103 AJITH @ AJI S/o THANKAPPAN. Age: 50

<p>The Prosecution case is that on 17.12.1991, Ajith trespassed into the house of the deceased Padmashi aged 65 years and stole her gold chain after murdering her.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.123/1993 of Additional Sessions Court Alappuzha convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs.2,000/- i/d RI for 3 months u/s 449 IPC and RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs.2,000/- i/d RI for 3 months u/s 392 IPC. set off allowed. substantive sentences shall run concurrently.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram and the Police do not recommended for his release. Jail Superintendent remarked that <i>he has absconded while released from Open Prison, Nettukaltheri, on leave on 21.06.2023 with direction to report back to prison on 08.07.2023. But did not return to prison. During that time he had involved in crime 1590/2023 (Alappuzha North P.S) u/s 294(b), 324, 394 IPC on the file of the Hon'ble CJM, Alappuzha and remanded to District Jail, Alappuzha. He was admitted in this prison on 24.12.2023. Jail Advisory Board have recommended his release.</i></p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 28 years, 02 months and 12 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Alappuzha in Sessions Case No.123/1993 dated 13.12.1995 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Ajith.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p>
--	--

	<p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Ajith.</p>
--	--

20.C No. 6593 AYYAPPAN S/o RAMAN. Age: 55

<p>The Prosecution case is that on 17.03.1997 Ayyappan quarreled with his wife Nirmala and inflicted cut injuries on her neck with a chopper on account of misunderstanding and lack of affection in not taking care of him and not cooperating with his affairs. Nirmala succumbed to injuries on the spot.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.128/1998 of Additional District & Sessions Court (Fast Track II), Palakkad and was convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 10,000/- i/d SI for 2 years u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board have recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 21 years, 08 months and 16 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, (Fast Track -II, Palakkad in Sessions Case No.197/1998 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Ayyappan.</p> <p>There are no records regarding payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p>	
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Ayyapan.</p> </td> </tr> </table>	<p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Ayyapan.</p>
<p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Ayyapan.</p>		

21.C No. 6596 GOUSJAN @ HOUJAN S/o MEHABOOB KHAN, Age:60

<p>The Prosecution case is that on 10.02.1998 Gousjan trespassed into the house of Sherin and attempted to commit rape on her. When she resisted, Gousjan inflicted several injuries on her. She succumbed to her injuries while undergoing treatment at the Government Medical College Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.541/2001 of Additional Sessions Court-I, Kollam. He was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.1,00,000/- i/d RI for 3 years u/s 302 IPC and RI for 5 years u/s 499 of IPC. The substantive sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The appeal was partly allowed. Fine amount has been reduced to 10,000/- i/d imprisonment for 6 months by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1163/2004 dated 27.03.2008.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer have recommended for his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board have recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 06 months and 10 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court- Kollam in Sessions Case No.541/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1163 of 2004 dated 27.03.2008 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Gousjan@ Houjan.</p> <p>There are no records regarding payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Gousjan@ Houjan.</p>
---	---

22.C No. 6597 MANOJ S/o KUTTAPPAN (A1), Age: 45

<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused Manoj & 2 others on account of previous animosity, on 03/06/2000 picked up a quarrel with one Rajan and the second accused stabbed the deceased using a knife and all the accused ran away from the scene. Rajan succumbed to</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer has recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an</p>
---	---

<p>injuries while undergoing treatment at Govt. Hospital Perumbavoor.</p>	<p>offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 07 months and 01 days as on 30.06.2024.</p>
<p>Prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.277/2003 of Additional Sessions Court, North Paravoor. The prisoner was convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC(copy of the judgment submitted with the case file is illegible)</p>	<p>The judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1724 of 2007 dated 28.11.2011 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Manoj. Since the copy of the judgment of lower court submitted with the case file is illegible, it is difficult to ascertain whether there are any adverse remarks in that judgment. Hence AD may verify the same.</p>
<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1724/2007 dated 28.11.2011.</p>	<p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, subject to the verification of the judgment of lower court there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

23.C No. 7181 ABHILASH S/o VELAPPAN, Age: 54

<p>The Prosecution case is that on 08.08.2005 Abhilash poured petrol over the body of his wife who was pregnant and set fire. She succumbed to the burn injuries on 09.08.2005 while undergoing treatment in MCH, Kozhikode.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p>
<p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.146/2008 of Sessions Court-, Wayanad, Kalpetta and was convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.25,000/- u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 10 months and 26 days as on 30.06.2024.</p>
<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1757/2010 dated 15.06.2015.</p>	<p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court- Wayanad in Sessions</p>

	<p>Case No.146/2008 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1757 of 2010 dated 15.06.2015 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Abhilash.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

24.C No. 9164 AJAYAN S/o RAVEENDRAN, Age: 43

<p>The Prosecution case is that on 08.10.2002 while Soorya, sixth standard student was returning home from school, Ajayan took her beneath the bridge and brutally raped and strangulated her to death and dumped her body in Pallikkal river.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.403/2004 of Additonal District & Sessions Court (Adhoc) Fast Track Court-I, Pathanamthitta. He was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.50,000/-i/d RI for 4 years u/s 302 IPC and RI for 7 years and to pay fine of Rs.50,000/- i/d RI for 4 years u/s 376 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1710/2005 dated 05.10.2009</p> <p>The judgment of the Trail Court and Appellate was confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide Judgment in .Crl. Appeal No.563/2014 dated 07.03.2014. The sentence was modified to the extent thatthe sentences awarded by the lower courts shall run concurrently.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer and the Police are adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release with the opinion of rehabilitating him with the assistance of accredited agencies/NGO's.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 21 years, 02 months and 23 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble District and Sessions Court (Adhoc) Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No.403/2004, Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1710 of 2005 dated 05.10.2009 and SLP.Crl.A No.9778/2013 of Hon'ble Supreme Court does not contain any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of Sri.Ajayan.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p>
--	--

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Ajayan.

25.C No. 1455 MAJEED S/o ABOOBACKER, Age: 68

The Prosecution case is that the accused stabbed Kumar (the victim, PW1) with the intention of killing him, due to enmity, on account of giving evidence against the prisoner in SC 60/1991 before the Hon'ble Sessions Court Thiruvananthapuram, in which he was convicted and sentenced to life imprisonment under Section 302 of the IPC.

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.355/2015 of Additional District & Sessions Court-V, Thiruvananthapuram and was convicted and sentenced RI for Life and to pay fine of Rs. 25,000/- i/d SI for 1 year and u/s 307 IPC and RI for 1 year u/s 324 IPC vide judgment dated 07.02.2017. The sentences shall run concurrently.

He was also the accused in SC.No.903/2011 of Additional Assistant Sessions Court-I, Thiruvananthapuram. In this case he was convicted and sentenced to RI for a period of 6 months and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/-i/d SI for 1 month u/s 324 IPC. The accused is entitled to set off.

The District Probation Officer has recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.

As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.

The judgments of the Hon'ble Additional District and Sessions Court Thiruvananthapuram in SC No.355/2015 and the District and Sessions Court-I, Thiruvananthapuram in SC.No.905/2011 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

Hence the A/D may be informed that there is no legal impediment in his premature release. However the prisoner is seen involved in a number of cases. that fact may also be considered by the

	AD.
--	-----

26.C No. 2751 BHASKARAN NADAR S/o KESAVAN NADAR, Age: 74

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused committed rape on his minor daughter aged 13 years many times during the period from 2013 to 2015.</p> <p>The was the accused in SC.No.388/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court for the cases Relating to atrocities and Sexual violence against Women and Children, Thiruvananthapuram and was convicted and sentenced to <u>Imprisonment for life which shall mean the imprisonment for the remainder of his natural life</u> and to pay fine of Rs. 50000/-i/d RI for 1year u/s 376(2)(i) IPC and RI for 6 months and to pay fine of Rs. 5000/- i/d RI for 1 month u/s 506(i) IPC and RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs. 25000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 9 r/w 10 of POCSO Act.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.36/2019 dated 03.11.2023.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his rehabilitation to probation home. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>The Jail Advisory Board had recommended his release only on the ground that the prisoner is aged 74 years. But he is seen sentenced for imprisonment for life by the Court u/s 376(2)(b) of the IPC in both cases. As per section 376(2) (b) of the IPC, the punishment for the same is rigorous imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than ten years, but which may extend to imprisonment for life, which shall mean imprisonment for the remainder of that person's natural life, and shall also be liable to fine. In such circumstances, A/D may take appropriate decision with regard to his premature release"</p>

27. C No. 2874 DEVARAJAN S/o ANANTHAKRISHNAN ACHARI, Age:70

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 10.11.2015 Devarajan committed rape on a mentally disabled deaf and dumb lady aged 78 years who belonged to scheduled caste.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.1070/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court, for the cases Relating to atrocities and Sexual violence against Women and Children, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs. 100000/-i/d RI for 2 years u/s 376(2)(j) of IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1149/2018 dated 07.02.2024.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 13 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>The prisoner has been sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 376(2)(j) of IPC and once an accused sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 376(2)(j) of IPC, as per the punishment part of the section 376(2) it shall mean the imprisonment for the remainder of his natural life. The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed by Hon'ble High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1149/2018 dated 07.02.2024. The Jail Advisory Board had recommended his release only on the ground that the prisoner is aged 70 years and suffering from old age ailments. In the circumstances, <u>A/D may take appropriate decision with regard to his premature release</u></p>

28.C No. 2881 SASI @ KAKKAKOTHI S/o SANKARAN, Age: 73

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 12.02.2016 he committed rape on a minor girl aged 14 years.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.301/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court, for the cases Relating to atrocities and Sexual violence against Women and Children, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.100000/- i/d RI for 2 years u/s 376(2)(i) IPC and RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs.25000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 450 IPC. Substantive sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.71/2019 dated 09.01.2024.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended for his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.</p> <p>The prisoner has been sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 376(2)(i) of IPC and once an accused sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 376(2)(i) of IPC, as per the punishment part of the section 376(2) it shall mean the imprisonment for the remainder of his natural life. The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed by Hon'ble High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.71/2019 dated 09.01.2024. The Jail Advisory Board had recommended his release only on the ground that the prisoner is aged 73 years and suffering from Larynx cancer and old age ailments. In the circumstances, <u>A/D may take appropriate decision with regard to his premature release.</u></p>

29.C No. 4587 SALIM @ MUNDA SALIM S/o SAID MUHAMMED, Age-73

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 19.10.2017 Salim committed house trespass and committed sexual assault on a minor girl.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.1814/2017 of the Fast Track Special Court Attingal and convicted and sentenced to RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs. 10,000/- i/d RI for 3 months u/s 452 IPC and RI for 4years and to pay fine of Rs.30,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 8 of POCSO Act. The substantive sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.</p> <p>The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 02 years, 11 months and 16 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court- (POCSO), Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.1814/2017 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Salim</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner .</p>

30.C No. 5454 SIVADASAN S/o NARAYANAN, Age: 81

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on account of animosity towards one Rajkumar in connection with dispute regarding a pathway, on 22.04.2017 the accused attacked Rajkumar with a tapping knife. The victim sustained injuries on the back of his head, rib area, and left leg.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.1225/2017 of the Hon'ble Assistant Sessions Court, Karunagapally convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment SI for 4 years and to pay fine of Rs.25,000/- i/d SI for 6 months u/s 308 IPC and pay fine of Rs.2,000/- i/d SI for 10 days u/s 506 IPC and pay fine of Rs.500 i/d SI for 10 days u/s 341 IPC.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.</p> <p>The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 01 year, 05 months and 05 days as on 30.06.2024. He has undergone imprisonment of 01 year, 07 months and 26 days including all kinds of remission as on 30.06.2024. Jail Superintendent reported that the prisoner is having old age ailments.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Assistant Session Court in Sessions Case No.1225/2017 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner .</p>

31. C No. 2242 MURALI S/o GOPI, Age: 62

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 27.01.2014 Murali committed tresspass and committed rape on a 20 year old severe mentally retarded girl.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.1358/2014 of the Addl. Sessions Court for the cases Relating to atrocities and Sexual violence against Women and Children, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life which shall mean imprisonment for the remainder of his natural life and to pay fine of Rs.50,000/- i/d RI for 2 years u/s 376(2)(1) IPC and RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs.20,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 450 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was modified to RI for 14 years and to pay fine of Rs.20,000/- u/s 376(2)(1) IPC and RI for 6 months and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- u/s 450 IPC. The sentences shall run concurrently and set off allowed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1305/2018 dated 30.01.2023</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 10 years, 05 months and 04 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court- POCSO, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.1358/2014 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1305 of 2018 dated 30.01.2013 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p>
	<p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner .</p>

32.C No. 4622 KUNJAPPAN S/o KUNJUKUTTAN, Age:63

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to previous animosity, on 09.03.2012, Kunjappan trespassed into the victim's house and poured acid over victim and his wife through the window of the room in which they were sleeping and caused serious burn injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.211/2018 of the Addl. Sessions Court -II-Kottayam(SPL) and was convicted and sentenced to Rigorous Imprisonment for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs.50,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 307 IPC and RI for 7 years and to pay fine of Rs.25,000/- i/d RI for 3 months u/s 458 IPC. The sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The report of the District Probation Officer and the Police are adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464(1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner He has undergone actual imprisonment of 05 years, 01 months and 16 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>The Judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court -II-Kottayam in Sessions Case No.211/2018 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Kunjappan.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p> </div>

33.C No. 5586 MURUKAN S/o VELU, Age: 62

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to previous enmity, on 31.01.2018 Murukan caused a deep cut injury on the head of a woman. Immediately, she was taken to the hospital and admitted there for 8 days.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended for his rehabilitation to a probation home. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and</p>

<p>He was the accused in SC.No.991/2018 of the Addl. Sessions Court -POCSO, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to RI for 8 years and to pay fine of Rs. 25,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 307 IPC. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 6 years, 04 months and 28 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court- POCSO, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.991/2018 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Murukesan.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Murukesan.</p> </div>
--	---

34.C No. 5347 JAYAPRAKASH S/o GOPALAN NAIR, Age: 62

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 04.04.2003, due to previous enmity, the accused assaulted one Sanalkumar with a sword causing grievous injuries.</p> <p>Copy of the judgment of the lower court not submitted for perusal. From the contents of the Judgment of the High Court it is seen that he prisoner was the accused in SC.No.223/2007 of the Addl. Sessions Court -(Adhoc-I), Kottayam and convicted and sentenced to Rigorous Imprisonment for 3 years</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including</p>

<p>and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 326 IPC and RI for 4 years and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 307 IPC.</p> <p>The sentence of the convicting Court was modified to RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.25,000/- i/d RI for 8 months u/s 307 IPC and RI for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d RI for 2 months u/s 326 IPC. The substantive sentences shall run concurrently and setoff allowed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2456/2007 dated 01.09.2022.</p>	<p>remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 1 years, 07 months and 14 days as on 30.06.2024. He has reported as undergone imprisonment of 1 years, 09 months and 21 days including remission as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>The Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2456 of 2007 dated 01.09.2022 contains no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Jayaprakash. As the copy of Judgment of the lower court is not included in the file, AD may verify whether there is any adverse remarks in the judgment of the lower court.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, and whether any embargo contained in the convicting court judgment, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p> </div>
--	--

35.C No. 5700 SUNDERESAN S/o KOCHUKUNJU, Age: 66

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 15.10.2022 the accused outraged the modesty of a 14 years old minor girl.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.2223/2022 of the Fast Track Special Court, Kottarakkara and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- i/d SI for 1 year u/s 7 r/w 8 of POCSO Act. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is not seen submitted. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>The Jail Superintendent has reported that the prisoner is aged 63, though the nominal roll states his age as 66.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those</p>

	<p>sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed.</p> <p>The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 1 years, 08 months and 18 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Fast Track Special Kottarakara in Sessions Case No.2223/2022 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Sunderesan.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. Sunderesan.</p>
--	---

36.C No. 5869 UNNI S/o SEKHARAN, Age:54

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 13.10.2022 the accused committed sexual assault on a 14 year old boy.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.215/2023 of the Fast Track Special Court, Karunagappally and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs. 10,000/- i/d RI for 4 months u/s 8 r/w 7 of POCSO Act. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed.</p> <p>The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 01 year, 08 months and 17 days as on</p>

	<p>30.06.2024.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Fast Track Special Karunagappally in Sessions Case No.215/2023 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Unni</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Unni.</p>
--	--

37.C No. 5983 THOMAS S/o VARGHESE (A3), Age: 65

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 05.04.2004, while Jacob, Manager of Baby Service Centre was climbing the steps to the Kodimatha branch of SBT to deposit that day's collection of ₹4,42,100/-, the accused attacked him with a sword, inflicting cut injuries on his hand and head and snatched the bag containing the cash.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 3rd accused in SC.No.262/2005 of the Fast Track Court(Adhoc)-II, Kottayam and was convicted and sentenced to Rigorous Imprisonment for 7 years u/s 392 r/w 120B IPC and RI for 1 year u/s 212 IPC. The sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was modified to rigorous Imprisonment for 3 years u/s 392 r/w 120B IPC and the punishment imposed for the offence u/s 212 is maintained by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.255/2006 dated 29.06.2018.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 02 years, 02 months and 13 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District and Sessions Court- Fast Track -I, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.262/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal</p>

	<p>No.255 of 2006 dated 29.06.2018 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Thomas.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by Rule 464((1) (v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

ANNEXURE- II

“ 1. A/D has re-transmitted the file to this department to re examine the details of prisoners in serial numbers 2,26,27,28 and 7 , 15, 17, 22 , 34 and to inform whether they are eligible or ineligible for premature release on the basis of available details of prisoners in the case file.

2. This Department has examined the recommendation of the Jail Advisory Board with all case files of C.No.687 Thankamani S/o Narayanan, C.No.3573 Ajithkumar S/o Janardhanan, C.No.5237 Ramasubramanian S/o Subbayya, C.No.5705 balakrishnan S/o Velayudhan, C.No. 6597 Manoj S/o Kuttappan, C.No. 2751 Bhaskaran Nadar S/o Kesavan Nadar, C.No. 2874 Devarajan S/o Ananthakrishnan Achari, C.No.2881 Sasi S/o Sankaran, and C.No. 5647 Jayaprakash S/o Gopalan Nair, thoroughly. On the basis of a thorough examination of the details regarding the prisoners available in the file, the Administrative Department may be informed as follows:

1. C No.687 THANKAMANI S/o NARAYANAN, Age :75

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that A1 Thankamani was the manager cum tutor and A2 Stephan Joseph was the Physical Education teacher of Model residential School established in Idukki for SC/ST students. During the year 2021, they committed rape on 2 minor girls several times.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.486/2003 of the Sessions Court, Thodupuzha. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.1,00,000/- i/d RI for one year u/s 376(2)(b)& 2(c) IPC, RI for 1 year and to pay fine of Rs.2,500/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 354 IPC vide judgment dated 05.06.2006. Substantive sentences to run concurrently. Set off allowed for a period of 51 days.</p> <p>He was also the 1st accused in SC.No.475/2003 of the Sessions Court, Thodupuzha. In this case also he was convicted and sentenced to</p>	<p>"The District Probation Officer, Idukki recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per section 467(2) of BNSS where a person who is already undergoing a sentence of imprisonment for life is sentenced on a subsequent conviction to imprisonment for a term or imprisonment for life, the subsequent sentence shall run concurrently with such previous sentence. As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 02 months and 16 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.486/2003, SC.No. 475/2003 and in the judgment of the Hon'ble High</p>

<p>imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.50,000/- i/d RI for one year u/s 376(2)(b)&2(c) IPC and RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 3(1) (xii) of SC/ST Act and RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 3(2) (v) of SC/ST(prevention of atrocities) Act vide judgment dated 08.07.2009.</p> <p>As per judgment the accused is not entitled to set off for the period during which he was undergoing imprisonment after conviction in SC 486/2003 between the period from 5.6.2006 to 8.7.2009. Set off allowed for the period during which he was in judicial custody as under trial prisoner. sentences shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court in SC 486/2003 was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No. 1099/2006 dated 15.06.2009.</p>	<p>Court in Crl. Appeal No.1099 of 2006</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

2.C No.3573 AJITHKUMAR S/o JANARDHANAN (A1), Age: 65

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case relates to the Hooch tragedy case at Karunagappally where the arishta sold from the ayurveda dispensary of A1 resulted in the death of 2 persons and 11 persons lost eyesight. There were 5 accused in all.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.868/2003 of the Additional District & Sessions Court-II, Kollam. He was convicted and sentenced to RI for 10 years u/s 304 part II IPC and RI for 7 years u/s 325 IPC and RI for 3 years u/s 201 r/w 34 IPC and RI for Life u/s 57 (A)(ii) Abkari Act and RI for 3 years u/s 55(a) (b) and (i) and Sec. 58(A) of the Abkari Act and</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam and Police has recommended his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464(1)(viii) of the Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014 provides that male prisoners above 65 years and for female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered, on condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.</p>

<p>Fine of Rs. 1 Lakh u/s 55 (a) (b) and (i) of Abkari Act i/d SI for 1 year and SI for 3 months u/s 6 of Poisons Act.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was partly allowed and held that that conviction u/s 304 Part II IPC and 57 A(ii) & section 58 A of the Abkari Act are confirmed and set aside the conviction and sentence u/s 325 and 201 r/w 34 IPC and 55(a)(b) and (i) of Abkari Act by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1166/2008 dated 17.12.2009.</p> <p>The Judgment of the Appellate Court was confirmed by the Supreme Court vide judgment in Crl.A. No.1180/2010 dated 28.1.2016.</p>	<p>The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 03 months and 15 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in SC.No.868/2003 of the Additional District & Sessions Court-II and High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal 1166/2008.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	---

3.C No. 5237 RAMASUBRAMANIAN @ MANI S/o SUBBAYYA NADAR, Age: 77

<p>The Prosecution case is that due to previous enmity, on 08.08.1999 Ramasubramanian made entry into the house of Sabitha Beevi and committed murder of Sabitha Beevi and her children Jasmin, Jiyas and Navas.</p> <p>The accused is convicted and sentenced to death u/s 302,392 and 449 IPC in SC 973/2001 warrant dt 29.01.2003 on the file of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions JudgeI, Kollam.</p> <p>The Hon'ble High Court of Kerala confirmed conviction and death sentence u/s 302 and 449 IPC and modified conviction and sentence u/s 392 IPC to as RI for 3 years u/s 380 IPC in Criminal Appeal No.410/2003 dtd: 05.10.2004.</p> <p>The Hon'ble Apex court as per order dtd 07.01.2005 in Crl. Appeal No.22/2005 (Special Leave</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Tenkasi has recommended for his release. Superintendent of Police, Tenkasi District have not given any adverse remarks regarding his release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment.The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 22 years, 11 months and 5 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in SC 973/2001 of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Judge I, Kollam. Since copies of the judgment of the High Court are not available for perusal, it cannot be ascertained whether there is any impediment in the judgment of the High</p>
--	---

<p>Petition Crl.Appeal No: 6213/2004) confirmed the conviction and death sentence is commuted to RI for life.</p> <p><u>the Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.410/2003 of High Court dated 05.10.2004 are not available with the case file.</u></p>	<p>Court against premature release of the accused.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

4.C No. 5705 BALAKRISHNAN @ SOMAN S/o VELAYUDHAN ASSARI, Age: 56

<p>The Prosecution case is that, on 16.01.1995, Balakrishnan along with his brother Sreekumar (A2) manhandled and made his wife unconscious and then set fire on her body after pouring kerosene. She died on the scene of crime itself due to the severe burn injuries.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.197/1998 of Additional District & Sessions Court (Fast Track -I), Trivandrum convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 5,000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 302 r/w 34 IPC and RI for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs 2,000/- i/d RI for 4 months u/s 498(A) IPC. set off allowed. substantive sentences shall run concurrently.)</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 10 months and 11 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District and Sessions Court (Fast Track-I, Thiruvananthapuram) in Sessions Case 197/1998.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	---

5.C No. 6597 MANOJ S/o KUTTAPPAN (A1), Age: 45

<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused Manoj & 2 others on account of previous animosity, on 03/06/2000 picked up a quarrel with one Rajan and the second accused stabbed the deceased using a knife and all the accused ran away</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer has recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on</p>
--	---

<p>from the scene. Rajan succumbed to injuries while undergoing treatment at Govt. Hospital Perumbavoor.</p> <p>Prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.277/2003 of Additional Sessions Court, North Paravoor. The prisoner was convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC)</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1724/2007 dated 28.11.2011.</p>	<p>conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 07 months and 01 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in the judgment of the Additional Sessions Court, North Paravoor in SC.No.277/2003 and Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1724 of 2007.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	--

6.C No. 2751 BHASKARAN NADAR S/o KESAVAN NADAR, Age: 74

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused committed rape on his minor daughter aged 13 years many times during the period from 2013 to 2015.</p> <p>The was the accused in SC.No.388/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court for the cases Relating to atrocities and Sexual violence against Women and Children, Thiruvananthapuram and was convicted and sentenced to <u>Imprisonment for life which shall mean the imprisonment for the remainder of his natural life</u> and to pay fine of Rs. 50000/- i/d RI for 1year u/s 376(2)(i) IPC and RI for 6 months and to pay fine of Rs. 5000/- i/d RI for 1 month u/s 506(i) IPC and RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs. 25000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 9 r/w 10 of POCSO Act.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.36/2019 dated 03.11.2023.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his rehabilitation to probation home. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 8 years, 06 months as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in the SC.No.388/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court and High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal</p>

	<p>No.36/2019.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by Rule 464 (1) (viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 , there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

7. C No. 2874 DEVARAJAN S/o ANANTHAKRISHNAN ACHARI, Age:70

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 10.11.2015 Devarajan committed rape on a mentally disabled deaf and dumb lady aged 78 years who belonged to scheduled caste.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.1070/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court, for the cases Relating to atrocities and Sexual violence against Women and Children, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs. 100000/-i/d RI for 2 years u/s 376(2)(j) of IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1149/2018 dated 07.02.2024.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year.The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 8 years, 05 months 25days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in the judgment of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court in SC.No.1070/2016 and High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1149/2018.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by Rule 464 (1) (viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 , there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

343

8.C No. 2881 SASI @ KAKKAKOTHI S/o SANKARAN, Age: 73

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 12.02.2016 he committed rape on a minor girl aged 14 years.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.301/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court, for the cases Relating to atrocities and Sexual violence against Women and Children, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.100000/- i/d RI for 2 years u/s 376(2)(i) IPC and RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs.25000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 450 IPC. Substantive sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.71/2019 dated 09.01.2024.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended for his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 8 years, 04 months 17 days as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in SC.No.301/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court, and High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.71/2019</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by Rule 464 (1) (viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 , there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p> <p>(In Note 39 of the A/D file, which pertains to Serial No. 28, records the death of the mentioned prisoner. A/D may verify)</p>

9.C No. 5347 JAYAPRAKASH S/o GOPALAN NAIR, Age: 62

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 04.04.2003, due to previous enmity, the accused assaulted one Sanalkumar with a sword causing grievous injuries.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended</p>

<p>He was the accused in SC.No.223/2007 of the Addl. Sessions Court -(Adhoc-I), Kottayam and convicted and sentenced to Rigorous Imprisonment for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.5,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 326 IPC and RI for 4 years and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 307 IPC.</p> <p>The sentence of the convicting Court was modified to RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs.25,000/- i/d RI for 8 months u/s 307 IPC and RI for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d RI for 2 months u/s 326 IPC. The substantive sentences shall run concurrently and setoff allowed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2456/2007 dated 01.09.2022.</p>	<p>his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 1 years, 07 months and 14 days as on 30.06.2024. He has reported as undergone imprisonment of 1 years, 09 months and 21 days including remission as on 30.06.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks regarding his premature release are seen in SC.No.223/2007 of the Addl. Sessions Court -(Adhoc-I), Kottayam and High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2456/2007</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 , there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	---

3. Even if there are no legal impediments for the premature release of above prisoners, it is pointed out that the A/D may also consider the serious nature of crimes involved, in some of the above cases, especially against women/child before taking final decision in this regard. ”