



## GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

### Abstract

Prisons - Recommendation of the Jail Advisory Committee of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri - Premature release of prisoners - Orders issued.

---

### HOME (B) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(Ms)No.58/2026/HOME Dated, Thiruvananthapuram, 09-03-2026

---

Read :- Letter No. WP1-22893/2024/PrHQ, dated 01.10.2024, 02.05.2025, 13.05.2025, 03.09.2025 and letter No. KPCS/3041/2025-WP1 dated 03.10.2025, 11.11.2025 of the Director General, Prisons and Correctional Services.

### ORDER

As per rule 462 and 463 of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, Advisory Committees are constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, Prison for Women and High Security Prison to make recommendations to the Government for the premature release of prisoners.

2. The Jail Advisory Committee of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri, which met on 16.08.2024 recommended fifty seven (57) prisoners for premature release. The Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services, as per the letter read above, has forwarded the minutes of the meeting of the Advisory Committee, along with all supporting documents in respect of the prisoners who have been recommended for premature release.

3. Government have examined the matter in detail and are pleased to order the premature release of the following 53 (Fifty Three) prisoners of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri, excluding C.No. 3063 Karthikeyan and C.No. 3793 Sasi, considering the adverse probation reports, and C.No. 2825 Chenniyappan (deceased), and C.No. 2761 Rajan (already released), by remitting their remaining period of substantive sentence, in exercise of the powers conferred under Article

161 of the Constitution of India and subject to the conditions laid down in rule 470 of the Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, and on condition that the release will be effected only on payment of fine, if any, or after undergoing the default sentence;

1. C No. 2277 Balan S/o Vaman
2. C No. 2510 Murugan S/o Subayya
3. C No.2521 Shafi S/o Maidheen
4. C No.2583 Gopakumar S/o Chellappan Assari
5. C No.2601 Linu Jacob S/o Ponnachan
6. C No.2672 Vimalraj S/o Viswasam
7. C No.3075 Ajay Johns S/o Nesamani
8. C No.2790 George Chacko S/o Varghese Chacko
9. C No.2789 Joseph Chacko S/o Varghese Chacko
10. C No.2829 Shiburaj S/o Balakrishnan
11. C No.2837 Prakasan S/o Chakrapani
12. C No.3772 Anson S/o Thomas
13. C No.3065 Anpurajan S/o Jayaraj
14. C No.3269 Anand Sabariraj S/o Irudhayaraj
15. C No.3078 Joy S/o Kuttappan
16. C No.3081 Soman S/o Narayanan
17. C No.3177 Babu S/o Bahuleyan
18. C No.3179 Manikkuttan S/o Parameswaran
19. C No.3184 Varghese S/o Chacko
20. C No.3188 Antony S/o Devasya
21. C No.3206 Kunjumon S/o Varkey
22. C No.3231 Rajan S/o Appukuttan
23. C No.3286 Ramachandran S/o Bhaskaran
24. C No.3323 Suresh S/o Krishnan
25. C No.3381 Raju S/o Anthonis
26. C No.3558 Rajan S/o Chellayya
27. C No.3574 Biju S/o Soman
28. C No.3590 Joy S/o Varghese
29. C No. 3609 Krishnankutty S/o Janardhanan Pillai
30. C No.3623 Nagaraj S/o Chellapandy
31. C No.3629 Ajith Kumar S/o Gopi
32. C No.3654 Manoj Kumar S/o Chandan Malgi
33. C No.3736 Vinu S/o Sasi
34. C No.3773 Harikrishnan S/o Madhavan

35. C No.2346 Joseph S/o Varghees
36. C No.3755 Shamsuddin S/o Aliyarkutty
37. C No.2351 Muhammad Haneefa S/o Meeran Pillai
38. C No.2838 Viswakumar S/o Raghavan
39. C.No 3074 Thankappan Kani S/o Rajan Kani
40. C.No 3111 Subramaniyan S/o Velu
41. C.No. 3178 Jose S/o Chacko
42. C.No. 3221 Abdul Karim S/o Maideen Kannu
43. C.No. 3768 Suresh Kumar S/o Surendran
44. C.No. 2899 Jose S/o Mathew
45. C.No.3135 Baby S/o Kuriakose
46. C.No. 3220 Thomas S/o Devassya
47. C.No. 3428 Ayyappadas S/o Velayudhan
48. C.No. 3523 Joy S/o Thomas
49. C.No. 2787 Jomon S/o Kuttappan
50. C.No. 2810 Suresh S/o Raju
51. C No. 2811 Rajesh S/o Raju
52. C.No. 3348 Suresh S/o Ashokan
53. C.No. 3684 Vijayan S/o Pappu

(By order of the Governor)  
**BISHWANATH SINHA**  
**ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY**

Additional Chief Secretary to Hon'ble Governor, Lok Bhavan,  
Thiruvananthapuram.

The State Police Chief, Thiruvananthapuram.

The Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services,  
Thiruvananthapuram (with case files)

Superintendent, Open Prison & Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri

General Administration (SC) Department

Law Department (Vide OPN-E1/614/2024-LAW dated 19.12.2024 &  
OPN-E1/625/2025-LAW dated 29.10.2025)

Information Officer, Web & New Media division, I&PRD

Stock file.

Forwarded /By order

Signed by

Amina B

Section Officer

Date: 10-03-2026 11:23:23

69

695



രഹസ്യം

**കേരള സർക്കാർ**  
**മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗത്തിന്റെ നടപടിക്കുറിപ്പുകൾ**

**തീയതി : 28-01-2026**

ഫയൽ നം. ബി1/338/2024/ആഭ്യന്തരം.

ഇനം നം: 3585

വിഷയം : ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ് - ജയിലുകൾ - നെട്ടുകാൽത്തേരി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ ട്വെന്റി ഓക്ടബർ 16.08.2024 തീയതിയിലെ ജയിൽ ഉപഭോഗ സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത്.

തീരുമാനം : കുറിപ്പിലെ നിർദ്ദേശം (1) അംഗീകരിച്ചു.

(ഒപ്പ്)  
പിണറായി വിജയൻ  
മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി  
(ശരീഷകർഷ്)

ഡോ. എ. ജയതിലക്  
ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി

30/1/26

അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി, ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ്.

68

601

3585

**കേരള സർക്കാർ**  
**(ശ്രീ. പിണറായി വിജയൻ മന്ത്രിസഭ)**  
**മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പ്**

1. ഫയൽ നമ്പർ	ബി1/338/2024/ആഭ്യന്തരം
2. വകുപ്പ്	ആഭ്യന്തര (ബി) വകുപ്പ്
3. വിഷയം	ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ് - ജയിലുകൾ- നെടുങ്കാൽത്തേരി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 16.08.2024 തീയതിയിലെ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് -സംബന്ധിച്ച്
4. മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിൽ സമർപ്പിക്കാനുള്ള മുഖ്യമന്ത്രിയുടെ ഉത്തരവ് തീയതി	18.06.2025
5. (i) ഇത് സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യതയുള്ളതാണോ ? (ii) ഇത് സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യതയുള്ളതാണെങ്കിൽ ധനവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ ?	അല്ല  ബാധകമല്ല
6. മറ്റേതെങ്കിലും വകുപ്പുമായി ആലോചിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ; ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ?	ഉണ്ട്, നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധമായി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.
7. കുറിപ്പ് സമർപ്പിച്ച ജോയിന്റ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീമതി സിന്ധു. റ്റി. എസ്
8. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീ. ബിശ്വനാഥ് സിൻഹ
9. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	12.01.2026
10. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ഡോ. എ. ജയതിലക്
11. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	15.01.2026
12. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച മന്ത്രിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീ. പിണറായി വിജയൻ
13. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് മന്ത്രി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	20.01.2026
14. കുറിപ്പിന്റെ പകർപ്പുകൾ സമർപ്പിച്ച തീയതി	20.01.2026
15. മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗം തീരുമാനമെടുത്ത തീയതി	
16. തീരുമാനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച സർക്കാർ ഉത്തരവ് / കത്തിന്റെ നമ്പരം തീയതിയും	

**മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പ്**

നെടുങ്കാൽത്തേരി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 16.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശിപാർശ പ്രകാരം അന്തേവാസികൾക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് സംബന്ധിച്ചുള്ളതാണ് ഈ കുറിപ്പ്.

2) 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗ്ഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടത്തിലെ ചട്ടം 462, 463 പ്രകാരം തടവുകാരുടെ അകാല വിടുതൽ സംബന്ധിച്ച ശിപാർശകൾ സർക്കാരിന് സമർപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനായി എല്ലാ സെൻട്രൽ ജയിലുകളിലും തുറന്ന ജയിലുകളിലും വനിതാ ജയിലുകളിലും വനിതാ തുറന്ന ജയിലിലും, അതീവ സുരക്ഷാ ജയിലിലും ഉപദേശക സമിതികൾ രൂപീകരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. നെടുങ്കാൽത്തേരി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി 16.08.2024 ന് യോഗം ചേർന്ന് 57 തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാല വിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തു.

3) ശിപാർശ ചെയ്യപ്പെട്ട തടവുകാരുടെ കേസ് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു.:

ക്രമ നം	സി.നം. & പേര് (വയസ്സ്)	കുറ്റകൃത്യം	ശിക്ഷ	പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ട്	പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട്	31.05.2024 തീയതിയിൽ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയ യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ്	31.05.2024 തീയതിയിൽ ഇളവ് ഉൾപ്പെടെ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയ ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ്	ഹൈൻ സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരം
1	2277 ബാലൻ (57)	ഏഴം മൂന്നരവയസ്സു വീതം പ്രായമുള്ള സ്വന്തം പെൺമക്കളെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	23 വർഷം 1 മാസം 12 ദിവസം	29 വർഷം 3 മാസം 5 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
2	2510 മുരഗൻ (60)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 03 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 05 മാസം 09 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
3	2521 ഷാഫി (52)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 04 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 11 മാസം 01 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
4	2583 ഗോപകുമാർ (65)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	ലഭ്യമല്ല	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 07 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 10 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
5	2601 ലിനു ജേക്കബ് (39)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 324 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 10 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 04 മാസം 7 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

6	2672 വിമൽരാജ് (40)	പെൺകുട്ടിയെ തട്ടികൊണ്ടുപോ യി ആഭരണങ്ങൾ കവർന്ന് കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 120 B, 364,419,201,392 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 08 മാസം	23 വർഷം 02 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
7	3075 അജയ് ജോൺസ് (43)	പെൺകുട്ടിയെ തട്ടികൊണ്ടുപോ യി ആഭരണങ്ങൾ കവർന്ന് കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 120 B, 364,419,201,392 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 07 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 08 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
8	2790 ജോർജ്ജ് ചാക്കോ (63)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 341, 323 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 01 മാസം 07 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 09 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
9	2789 ജോസഫ് ചാക്കോ (59)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 341, 323 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 04 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 01 മാസം 06 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
10	2825 ചെന്നിയപ്പ ൻ (64)	മാതാവിനെയും സഹോദരനെ യും കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC) മറ്റൊരു കേസിൽ 2 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (8 (2) of Abkari Act)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 02 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 09 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	മരണമടഞ്ഞു
11	2829 ഷിബുരാജ് (49)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 08 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 10 മാസം 26 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
12	2837 പ്രകാശൻ (47)	സ്ത്രീയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 376, 404, 201 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 10 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 02 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
13	3772 ആൻസൺ (45)	സ്ത്രീയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 376, 404, 201 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 09 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 04 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
14	3065 അൻപുരാജ ൻ (39)	കൊലപാതക വും മോഷണവും	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 379 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 03 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 02 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

15	3269 ആനന്ദ് ശബരീരാജ് (44)	കൊലപാതക വും മോഷണവും	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 379, 120 B IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 03 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 04 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
16	3078 ജോയ് (48)	പിതാവിനെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 03 മാസം 5 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 05 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
17	3081 സോമൻ (54)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 07 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 03 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
18	3177 ബാബു (54)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 09 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 03 മാസം 06 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
19	3179 മണിക്കട്ടൻ (56)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 04 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 08 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
20	3184 വർഗ്ഗീസ് (51)	അയൽവാസി യായ സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 14 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 10 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
21	3188 ആന്റണി (61)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാ കാത്ത മകനെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 201 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 08 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 09 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
22	3206 കഞ്ഞുമോൻ (48)	90 വയസ്സുള്ള വൃദ്ധയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി ആഭരണങ്ങൾ കവർന്നു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 449,397 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 08 മാസം 29 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 04 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
23	3231 രാജൻ (52)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 10 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 07 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
24	3286 രാമചന്ദ്രൻ (62)	മാതാവിനെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 307 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 04 മാസം 29 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 10 മാസം 06 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
25	3323 സുരേഷ് (54)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 05 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 02 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
26	3381 രാജു (43)	18 വയസ്സുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടിയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി ആഭരണങ്ങൾ കവർന്നു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 394 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	22 വർഷം 03 മാസം 26 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 10 മാസം 24 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

27	3558 രാജൻ (42)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 07 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 08 മാസം 05 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
28	3574 ബിജു (52)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 07 മാസം 24 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 07 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
29	3590 ജോയ് (56)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 11 മാസം 12 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 02 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	അടച്ചു
30	3609 കൃഷ്ണൻകുട്ടി (57)	മാതാവിനെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 20 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 01 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
31	3623 നാഗരാജ് (65)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി ആഭരണങ്ങൾ കവർന്നു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 397, 201 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 06 മാസം 06 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 07 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	അടച്ചു
32	3629 അജിത്ത് കുമാർ (53)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി ആഭരണങ്ങൾ കവർന്നു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 382, 451 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 05 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 10 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
33	3654 മനോജ് കുമാർ (48)	കൊലപാതക വും മോഷണവും	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 394, 201 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 08 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	27 വർഷം 11 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
34	3736 വിനു (48)	തട്ടിക്കൊണ്ടുപോ യി കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി (DYSP ഷാജി കേസ്)	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 201, 364, r/w 120 B IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 03 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	28 വർഷം 02 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
35	3773 ഹരികൃഷ്ണൻ (48)	ഭാര്യയെയും ഒന്നര വയസായ മകനെയും കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 201, 404 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	22 വർഷം 05 വർഷം 05 ദിവസം	29 വർഷം 01 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
36	2346 ജോസഫ് (57)	സ്ത്രീയെ ട്രയാളുടെ ഭർത്താവിന്റെ സഹായത്തോ ടെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	20 വർഷം 07 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 11 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
37	3755 ഷംസുദ്ദീൻ (51)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 06 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 09 മാസം 12 ദിവസം	അടച്ചു

38	2351 മുഹമ്മദ് ഹനീഫ (62)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	21 വർഷം 04 മാസം	27 വർഷം 28 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
39	2761 രാജൻ (63)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	22 വർഷം 03 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	28 വർഷം 07 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	<b>വിടുതൽ ചെയ്തു</b>
40	2838 വിശ്വകുമാർ (55)	സഹോദരിയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 11 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	28 വർഷം 03 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
41	3063 കാർത്തികേ യൻ (56)	21 വയസ്സ് പ്രായമുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടിയെ തട്ടികൊണ്ടുപോ യി പിഡിപ്പിച്ച് കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി യശേഷം ആഭരണങ്ങൾ കവർന്നു.	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 376, 404, 201 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	29 വർഷം 05 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	38 വർഷം 08 മാസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
42	3074 തങ്കപ്പൻ കാണി (64)	ഭാര്യ മാതാവിനെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 08 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 06 മാസം 29 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
43	3111 സുബ്രമണ്യ ൻ (70)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	17 വർഷം 09 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 09 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
44	3178 ജോസ് (71)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 201 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 07 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 03 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
45	3221 അബ്ദുൾ കരീം (63)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 06 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 11 മാസം 29 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
46	3768 സുരേഷ് കുമാർ (47)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 449 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	23 വർഷം 06 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	29 വർഷം 06 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
47	2899 ജോസ് (69)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 148, 143, 447 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 01 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	18 വർഷം 05 മാസം 06 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
48	3135 ബേബി (68)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 04 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 02 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

49	3220 തോമസ് (55)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 323 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 05 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 07 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
50	3428 അയ്യപ്പദാസ് (41)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 324, 341, 294(b),447 IPC)	അനുകൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 03 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 07 മാസം 09 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
51	3523 ജോയ് (72)	കൊലപാതക വും മോഷണവും	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 397, 324 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 03 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	17 വർഷം 10 മാസം 08 ദിവസം	അടച്ചു
52	2787 ജോമോൻ (38)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 341 323 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 08 മാസം 29 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 02 മാസം 04 ദിവസം	അടച്ചു
53	2810 സുരേഷ് (37)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 341, 323 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 08 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 03 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	അടച്ചു
54	2811 രാജേഷ് (40)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 341, 323 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 08 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 03 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	അടച്ചു
55	3348 സുരേഷ് (45)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302, 147, 148, 143 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 07 മാസം 01 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 11 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
56	3684 വിജയൻ (54)	കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 447 IPC) മറ്റൊരു കേസിൽ 195 (A) IPC	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 08 മാസം 04 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 07 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
57	3793 ശശി (61)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ്(302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	15 വർഷം 07 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	17 വർഷം 04 മാസം 08 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല

4. ഓരോ തടവുകാരന്റെയും കേസ് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിശദമായ വിവരങ്ങളുൾപ്പെടെയുള്ള നിയമ വകുപ്പ് ലഭ്യമാക്കിയ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധം I ആയി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.
5. ഇവരിൽ സി.നം. 2825 ചെന്നിയപ്പൻ എന്ന അന്തേവാസി മരണമടഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും സി.നം. 2761 രാജൻ എന്ന അന്തേവാസി സർക്കാർ ഉത്തരവിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ വിടുതൽ ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളതുമാണ്.
6. അകാലവിടുതലുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട്, കുറ്റകൃത്യത്തിന്റെ സ്വഭാവം മാത്രം പരിഗണിച്ച് തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ നിഷേധിക്കുന്നതിനെതിരായി ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതി WP(CrI) 520/22 നമ്പർ കേസിൽ, Joseph

Vs State of Kerala, 21.09.2023 തീയതിയിലെ വിധിന്യായത്തിൽ നിരീക്ഷണം നടത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്. പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ പ്രസക്തഭാഗങ്ങൾ ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു.

".....33. Classifying to use a better word, typecasting convicts, through guidelines which are inflexible, based on their crime committed in the distant past can result in the real danger of overlooking the reformatory potential of each individual convict. Grouping types of convicts, based on the offences they were found to have committed, as a starting point, may be justified. However, the prison laws in India - read with Articles 72 and 161 encapsulate a strong underlying reformatory purpose. The practical impact of a guideline, which bars consideration of a premature release request by a convict who has served over 20 or 25 years, based entirely on the nature of crime committed in the distant past, would be to crush the life force out of such individual, altogether. Thus, for instance, a 19 or 20 year old individual convicted for a crime, which finds place in the list which bars premature release, altogether, would mean that such person would never see freedom, and would die within the prison walls. There is a peculiarity of continuing to imprison one who committed a crime years earlier who might well have changed totally since that time. This is the condition of many people serving very long sentences. They may have killed someone (or done something much less serious, such as commit a narcotic drug related offences or be serving a life sentence for other non-violent crimes) as young individuals and remain incarcerated 20 or more years later. Regardless of the morality of continued punishment, one may question its rationality. The question is, what is achieved by continuing to punish a person who recognises the wrongness of what they have done, who no longer identifies with it, and who bears little resemblance to the person they were years earlier? It is tempting to say that they are no longer the same person. Yet, the insistence of guidelines, obdurately, to not look beyond the red lines drawn by it and continue in denial to consider the real impact of prison good behavior, and other relevant factors (to ensure that such individual has been rid of the likelihood of causing harm to society) results in violation of Article 14 of the Constitution. Excluding the relief of premature release to prisoners who have served extremely long periods of incarceration, not only crushes their spirit, and instils despair, but signifies society's resolve to be harsh and unforgiving. The idea of rewarding, a prisoner for good conduct is entirely negated.

34. In the petitioner's case, the 1958 Rules are clear a life sentence, is deemed to be 20 years of incarceration. After this, the prisoner is entitled to premature release. 28 The guidelines

issued by the NHRC pointed out to us by the counsel for the petitioner, are also relevant to consider that of mandating release, after serving 25 years as sentence (even in heinous crimes). At this juncture, redirecting the petitioner who has already undergone over 26 years of incarceration (and over 35 years of punishment with remission), before us to undergo, yet again, consideration before the Advisory Board, and thereafter, the state government for premature release - would be a cruel outcome, like being granted only a salve to fight a raging fire, in the name of procedure. The grand vision of the rule of law and the idea of fairness is then swept away, at the altar of procedure which this court has repeatedly held to be a "handmaiden of justice".

35. Rule 376 of the 2014 Rules prescribes that prisoners shall be granted remission for keeping peace and good behaviour in jail. As per the records produced by the State, the petitioner has earned over 8 years of remission, thus demonstrating his good conduct in jail. The discussions in the minutes of the meetings of the Jail Advisory Board are also positive and find that he is hardworking, disciplined, and a reformed inmate. Therefore, in the interest of justice, this court is of the opinion, that it would be appropriate to direct the release of the petitioner, with immediate effect. It is ordered accordingly.

36. The writ petition, thus, stands allowed in the above terms. Pending applications, if any, are disposed of. "

പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ, ബഹു. കേരള ഹൈക്കോടതി മുന്മാകെ ശ്രീമതി പ്രസന്ന, ശ്രീമതി ബിന്ദു പ്രകാശൻ എന്നിവർ യഥാക്രമം ഫയൽ ചെയ്ത W.A.No. 1245/24, W.A No.2137/24 എന്നിവയിന്മേലും ശ്രീ. ബാലു ഫയൽ ചെയ്ത WP(Crl) 1215/24-ലും സമാന വിധത്തിലുള്ള വിധിന്യായം ബഹു. കേരള ഹൈക്കോടതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും വിധിന്യായങ്ങളുടെ പശ്ചാത്തലത്തിൽ നെട്ടുകാൽത്തേരി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ അന്തേവാസിയും ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടതുമായ സി.നം.2761 രാജൻ, ചീമേനി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ അന്തേവാസിയായിരുന്ന സി.നം. 321 പ്രകാശൻ എന്നിവർക്ക് 15.09.2025 തീയതിയിലെ സ.ഉ(കൈ)നം.171/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം പ്രകാരവും വിയ്യൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ അന്തേവാസിയായ സി.നം. 6036 ബാലു, സി.നം.4028 റിജോ എന്നിവരുൾപ്പെടെയുള്ള നാലുപേർക്ക് 31.10.2025 തീയതിയിലെ സ.ഉ(കൈ)നം.206/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം പ്രകാരവും അകാല വിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി.

7. ഈ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി ശിപാർശ 18.06.2025 തീയതിയിലെ മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗം പരിഗണിക്കുകയും ഇനം നമ്പർ 3050 പ്രകാരം "അകാല വിടുതലിന് എതിർപ്പുകളൊന്നും രേഖപ്പെടുത്താത്ത തടവുകാരെ സംബന്ധിച്ചും അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമവകുപ്പും പ്രൊബേഷണറി ഓഫീസറും എതിർപ്പ് രേഖപ്പെടുത്തിയ തടവുകാരുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ പ്രസ്തുത എതിർപ്പ് മറികടക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള ഭരണവകുപ്പിന്റെ സ്പെഷ്യാലിസേഷൻ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തിയും പ്രത്യേകം പ്രത്യേകം ലിസ്റ്റുകളോടെ പുതുക്കിയ കുറിപ്പുകൾ മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗം മുന്മാകെ കൊണ്ടുവരേണ്ടതാണ് " എന്ന്

**നിർദ്ദേശിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി.**

8. ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട 57 തടവുകാരുടെ വിഷയത്തിലും, ചില പരിശോധനകൾക്ക് വിധേയമായി അകാലവിടുതലിന് മറ്റ് നിയമതടസമൊന്നും ഇല്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്. എന്നാൽ നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായത്തിൽ ഇത്തരത്തിൽ ഏതെങ്കിലും വിധത്തിൽ എതിർ പരാമർശം രേഖപ്പെടുത്തുകയോ പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട് പ്രതികൂലമായിട്ടുള്ളതോ ആയ 14 അന്താരാഷ്ട്രകളുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ പുതിയ പോലീസ്/പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടുകളും കോടതി വിധിന്യായങ്ങളുടെ പകർപ്പുകളും ലഭ്യമാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതും, ഈ റിപ്പോർട്ടുകൾ കൂടി പരിശോധിച്ചതിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് പുതുക്കിയ നിയമോപദേശം നൽകിയിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇത് അനുബന്ധം II ആയി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.

9. പ്രസ്തുത 14 അന്താരാഷ്ട്രകളെ സംബന്ധിച്ച് പോലീസ്/പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടുകളുടെയും നിയമവകുപ്പ് നൽകിയ ഉപദേശത്തിന്റെയും സംഗ്രഹം ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു:

(i) സി.നം. 3736 വിൻ:- അകാലവിടുതലിന് മറ്റ് നിയമതടസമൊന്നുമില്ലായെങ്കിലും വിടുതലിന് പരിഗണിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പ്രതികൂലമായ പോലീസ്/പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട് കൂടി പരിഗണിക്കണമെന്നാണ് നിയമവകുപ്പ് ആദ്യം അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നത്. 21.08.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പുതിയ പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ടും പ്രതികൂലമാണ്. എന്നാൽ 02.06.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പുതിയ പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടിൽ, കുറ്റകൃത്യത്തിൽ ഏർപ്പെട്ടതിനു ശേഷം പ്രതിക്ക് ജാമ്യം അനുവദിച്ചിരുന്നില്ലായെന്നും 2005 മുതൽ ദീർഘകാലമായി ജയിൽശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിച്ച് വരുന്ന അന്താരാഷ്ട്രിയുടെ പ്രായത്തിന്റെ നല്ലൊരു ഭാഗവും ജയിലിൽ തന്നെ ചെലവഴിക്കേണ്ടി വന്നതും പരിഗണിച്ച് അകാലവിടുതലിന് ശിപാർശ ചെയ്യുകയുണ്ടായി. ഇതിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടു.

(ii) സി.നം.2346 ജോസഫ്:- അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസമില്ലായെങ്കിലും പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ട് ലഭ്യമല്ലാത്തതിനാൽ ആയത് കൂടി പരിശോധിക്കേണ്ടതുണ്ടെന്നാണ് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നത്. അവധിയിൽ ഇറങ്ങിയ വേളയിൽ, കേസിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടിരുന്ന കാര്യം മറച്ചുവെച്ച് വിവാഹിതനായതിനാലും ഇരയുടെ പ്രതികരണമോ പ്രതിയുടെ മുൻകാല സ്വഭാവമോ ചരിത്രമോ ബന്ധുക്കൾക്കോ നാട്ടുകാർക്കോ അറിയില്ലായെന്ന നിഗമനത്തിലുമാണ് പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട് പ്രതികൂലമായിട്ടുള്ളത്. 21.06.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പുതിയ പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ടും അകാലവിടുതലിന് പ്രതികൂലമാണ്. എന്നാൽ BNSS 475 പ്രകാരമുള്ള മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലാവധി പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയതിനാൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടു.

(iii) സി.നം.3063 കാർത്തികേയൻ:- അകാലവിടുതലിന് മറ്റ് നിയമ തടസമൊന്നുമില്ലായെങ്കിലും പ്രതികൂലമായ പോലീസ്/പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടുകൾ കൂടി പരിഗണിക്കണമെന്നാണ് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നത്. അയൽവാസിയായ 21 വയസുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ച് കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി സ്വർണ്ണാഭരണങ്ങൾ കവരുകയും മൃതദേഹം കഴിച്ചുമുട്ടുകയും ചെയ്ത പ്രതിയ്ക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് കുറ്റകൃത്യത്തിന്റെ ഗൗരവം കുറയ്ക്കുന്നതും ഇരയുടെ ബന്ധുക്കൾക്കുള്ള എതിർപ്പ്

കണക്കിലെടുത്തുമാണ് പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടിൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് ശിപാർശ ചെയ്യാതിരുന്നത്. 21.04.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പുതിയ പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ടും 07.07.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പുതിയ പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടും അകാലവിടുതലിന് ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളതല്ല. BNSS 475 പ്രകാരം മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലാവധി പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതിനാൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടു.

(iv) സി.നം.3111 സുബ്രഹ്മണ്യൻ:- അപ്പീൽ കോടതി വിധിയിൽ എതിർ പരാമർശം വല്ലതും രേഖപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടുണ്ടോയെന്നതിന് വിധേയമായി നിയമതടസമില്ലായെന്നാണ് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നത്. ശിക്ഷാ കോടതിയുടെ വിധിയിലോ അപ്പീൽ കോടതി വിധിയിലോ എതിർ പരാമർശങ്ങളൊന്നും രേഖപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടില്ലാത്തതിനാലും മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലമുണ്ട് പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയതിനാലും അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസമില്ലെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് ഇപ്പോൾ അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇരയുടെ കുടുംബം പ്രതി താമസിച്ച് വരുന്ന പ്രദേശത്ത് തന്നെയാണ് താമസിക്കുന്നതെന്നതിനാലും പ്രതി ലഹരി ഉപയോഗിക്കുന്നയാളായതിനാൽ വീണ്ടും കുറ്റകൃത്യം ചെയ്യാൻ ഇടയുണ്ടെന്നുള്ള നിഗമനത്തിലാണ് പ്രൊബേഷണറി ഓഫീസർ അകാലവിടുതലിന് ശിപാർശ ചെയ്യാതിരുന്നത്.

(v) സി.നം. 3768 സുരേഷ്കുമാർ:- അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുമ്പോൾ സമൂഹത്തിനോ വ്യക്തികൾക്കോ യാതൊരുവിധ അപകടവും സംഭവിക്കുന്നില്ലായെന്ന് ഉറപ്പുവരുത്തണമെന്നും പ്രതികൂലമായ പോലീസ്/പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട് കൂടി പരിഗണിക്കണമെന്നുമാണ് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നത്. ഇരയുടെ കുടുംബത്തിന്റെ എതിർപ്പ് കണക്കിലെടുത്തും ജയിൽ ജീവിതം പ്രതികൂലമാനസിക പരിവർത്തനം ഉണ്ടാക്കിയിട്ടില്ലായെന്നുള്ള വസ്തുതകൾ കണക്കിലെടുത്താണ് പ്രൊബേഷണറി ഓഫീസർ അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ ചെയ്യാതിരുന്നത്. എന്നാൽ 26.06.2025 തീയതി രേഖപ്പെടുത്തി ലഭ്യമാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ള പുതിയ പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടിൽ, കുടുംബസീകാര്യത, രോഗികളും വൃദ്ധരുമായ മാതാപിതാക്കളുടെ ആവശ്യം, പരിവർത്തന സാധ്യത എന്നിവ കണക്കിലെടുത്ത് അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. അകാലവിടുതൽ/അവധിയിൽ വിട്ടുന സാഹചര്യത്തിൽ പ്രതി സമൂഹത്തിലോ വ്യക്തികൾക്കോ യാതൊരുവിധ അപകടവും ഉണ്ടാകുന്നില്ലായെന്നും മാനസിക പരിവർത്തനം സംഭവിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട് എന്ന് ഉറപ്പു വരുത്തിയും മാത്രമേ വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യാവൂ എന്ന് ശിക്ഷാകോടതി വിധിയിൽ പരാമർശിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതിനാൽ ഇതിന് വിധേയമായി നിയമതടസമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കുന്നു.

(vi) സി.നം.3793 ശശി:- നിയമവകുപ്പ് അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസമില്ലായെന്ന് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. ഇരയുടെ കുടുംബം അകാലവിടുതലിന് എതിർപ്പ് രേഖപ്പെടുത്തിയിരുന്നതിനാലും മദ്യപാനശീലമുള്ള മകന്റെ ഭാഗത്ത് നിന്ന് ഭീഷണിയുള്ളതിനാലും ജാമ്യം നിൽക്കാനോ സംരക്ഷണം ഏറ്റെടുക്കാനോ ആരും തയ്യാറല്ലാത്തതിനാലും പ്രൊബേഷണറി ഓഫീസർ അകാലവിടുതലിന് ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തിരുന്നില്ല. 07.08.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പുതിയ പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടിലും, അവധിയിൽ വരുന്ന അവസരങ്ങളിൽ ഇയാൾ മദ്യപിച്ച്

നിരന്തരം പ്രശ്നങ്ങൾ ഉണ്ടാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതിനാലും മന:പരിവർത്തനം വന്നിട്ടില്ലാത്തതിനാലും പ്രതിക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തിട്ടില്ല. മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലയളവ് പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതിനാൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസ്സമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കുന്നു.

(vii) സി.നം. 2277 ബാലൻ :- സമൂഹത്തിനോ വ്യക്തികൾക്കോ യാതൊരു അപകടവും സംഭവിക്കുന്നില്ലായെന്ന് ഉറപ്പ് വരുത്തി മാത്രമേ വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യാൻ പാടുള്ളൂവെന്ന് ശിക്ഷാകോടതി വിധിയിൽ പരാമർശിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതിനാൽ ആയതിന് വിധേയമായി അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് നിയമതടസ്സമൊന്നുമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമ വകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. 26.06.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പുതിയ പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടിൽ, ക്രിമിനൽ പശ്ചാത്തലമില്ലാത്തയാളാണ് പ്രതിയെന്നും യാദൃശ്ചികമായി സംഭവിച്ച കുറ്റകൃത്യമാണെന്നും സാധാരണ അവധിയിൽ വരുന്ന വേളയിൽ കടുംബാംഗങ്ങളോടൊത്ത് താമസിക്കുന്ന ഇയാൾ ജീവൻ ഹാനികരമാകുന്ന സംഭവങ്ങളൊന്നും ഉണ്ടാക്കിയിട്ടില്ലായെന്നുമുള്ള വസ്തുതകളുടെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. നിയമവകുപ്പ് മുൻപ് നൽകിയ അഭിപ്രായത്തിന് വിധേയമായി നിയമതടസ്സമില്ലായെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

(viii) സി.നം. 2672 വിമൽരാജ് :- അപ്പീൽ കോടതി വിധിയിൽ എതിർ പരാമർശം ഇല്ലായെന്നതിന് വിധേയമായി അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസ്സമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. അപ്പീൽ കോടതി വിധി ലഭ്യമാക്കി പരിശോധിച്ചതിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിലും മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലയളവ് പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതിനാലും അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസ്സമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കുന്നു.

(ix) സി.നം.3075 അജയ് ജോൺസ് :- അപ്പീൽ കോടതി വിധിയിൽ എതിർ പരാമർശം ഇല്ലായെന്നതിന് വിധേയമായി അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസ്സമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. അപ്പീൽ കോടതി വിധി ലഭ്യമാക്കി പരിശോധിച്ചതിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിലും മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലയളവ് പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതിനാലും അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസ്സമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരിക്കുന്നു.

(x) സി.നം. 2825 ചെന്നിയപ്പൻ :- പ്രതി അബ്കാരി കേസിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടതായി കാണുന്നുവെങ്കിലും ഈ കേസിനെപ്പറ്റി പരാമർശിച്ചിട്ടില്ല എന്നാണ് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നത്. ഈ കേസിന്റെ വിശദാംശങ്ങൾ കൂടി ലഭ്യമാക്കി പരിശോധിച്ചതിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമതടസ്സമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്. എന്നാൽ ഈ അന്വേഷണ റിപ്പോർട്ട് 18.09.2025 തീയതിയിൽ മരണമടഞ്ഞതായി ജയിൽവകുപ്പ് മേധാവി അറിയിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി.

(xi) സി.നം.3629 അജിത് കുമാർ :- അകാലവിടുതലുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ടിന്റെ പരിശോധനയ്ക്ക് വിധേയമായി നിയമസമിതിയെയാണ് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നത്. 08.08.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ട് അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തിട്ടില്ല എന്നാൽ മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലയളവ് പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയതിനാൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് മറ്റ് നിയമസമിതിയെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടു.

(xii) സി.നം. 3773 ഹരികൃഷ്ണൻ:- BNSS 475 വകുപ്പ് പ്രകാരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷാകാലാവധി പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടുണ്ടോ എന്ന് പരിശോധിക്കണമെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. 26.08.2025 തീയതി അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ 23 വർഷം, 8 മാസത്തെ ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയ പ്രതി BNSS വകുപ്പ് 475 പ്രകാരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷാകാലാവധി പൂർത്തീകരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട് എന്ന് ജയിൽവകുപ്പ് മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇതിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമസമിതിയെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടു.

(xiii) സി.നം.2351 മുഹമ്മദ് ഹനീഫ :- പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ടിന്റെ കൂടി പരിശോധനയ്ക്ക് വിധേയമായി നിയമസമിതിയെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. 22.08.2025 തീയതിയിലെ പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ട് അകാല വിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ ചെയ്യുന്നില്ല. എന്നാൽ മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലയളവ് പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയതിനാൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമസമിതിയെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്.

(xiv) സി.നം.2899 ജോസ് :- ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കാത്ത പക്ഷമുള്ള അധിക ശിക്ഷയുടെ വിവരങ്ങൾ ലഭ്യമല്ലായെന്നും തടവുകാരന്റെ പേര് വ്യത്യസ്ത റിപ്പോർട്ടുകളിൽ വ്യത്യസ്തമായി കാണുന്നതായും നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. ശിക്ഷയുടെ ഭാഗമായി 30,000/- രൂപ പിഴ വിധിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള പ്രതിക്ക് പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്തപക്ഷം ഒന്നര വർഷത്തെ അധികശിക്ഷയും വിധിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടെന്നും അന്വേഷണസെക്ഷൻ ജോസഫ് എന്ന പേരുള്ള ഉള്ളതായും ജയിൽവകുപ്പ് മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല. മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലാവധി പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയതിനാൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമസമിതിയെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്.

10. മേൽപ്പറഞ്ഞ അന്വേഷണസെക്ഷനുകളിൽ സി.നം. 3063 കാർത്തികേയൻ, സി.നം. 3793 ശശി എന്നിവരുടെ വിഷയത്തിൽ മിനിമം ശിക്ഷാകാലാവധി പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതിനാൽ അകാലവിടുതലിന് നിയമസമിതിയെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ടെങ്കിലും ഇവരെ സംബന്ധിച്ച് ലഭ്യമാക്കിയ പുതിയ പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ടും അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ളതല്ല. അതിനാൽ ഇവരെ അകാലവിടുതലിന് പരിഗണിക്കേണ്ടതില്ലായെന്നും കാണുന്നു. ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട സി.നം. 2825 ചെന്നിപ്പൻ മരണമടഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതും സി.നം.2761 രാജൻ അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുമാണ്.

11. കുറ്റവാളികളുടെ മേൽ ചുമത്തുന്ന പിഴ ശിക്ഷ (fine sentence) സംബന്ധിച്ച് Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024 നമ്പർ കേസിലെ ഉത്തരവിൽ ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതിയുടെ നിരീക്ഷണങ്ങളുടെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന അഭിപ്രായം ലഭ്യമാക്കിയിട്ടുണ്ട്:

" Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs. Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024 എന്ന കേസിൽ അകാല വിടുതലിനായി പരിഗണിക്കുന്ന തടവുകാർക്ക് ശിക്ഷയോടൊപ്പം ഫൈൻ കൂടി വിധിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതാണെങ്കിൽ ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കിയത് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ കൂടി പരിശോധിക്കേണ്ടതാണെന്ന് വിധിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി. ആയത് ഭരണവകുപ്പ് ഉറപ്പ് വരുത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

ബിൽക്കിസ് യാക്കൂബ്, കേസിൽ ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച ഉത്തരവിലെ 54ാം ഖണ്ഡികയിൽ പിഴയ്ക്കു പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ സംബന്ധിച്ച കാര്യങ്ങൾ വിശദീകരിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്. ഖണ്ഡിക 54 -3 ൽ ..... " the sentence of imprisonment awarded to a person for committing an offence is distinct than the imprisonment ordered to be undergone on default of payment of fine. The latter is not a substantive sentence for commission of offence but is in the nature of penalty for default in payment of fine" എന്ന് വ്യക്തമാക്കുന്നു. സമാനമായ മറ്റൊരു കേസിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് തന്ന ഉപദേശത്തിൽ ഇത് വിശദീകരിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്

12. ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടവരിൽ, 46 പേർക്ക് ഫൈൻ ചുമത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളതും ഇവരിൽ 7 പേർ ഫൈൻ അടച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും ഒരാൾ മരണമടഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതുമാണ്. 11 പേർക്ക് ഫൈൻ ചുമത്തിയിട്ടില്ല. ഇതിൽ ഒരാളെ വിടുതൽ ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തവർ, അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നപക്ഷം, ജയിലിൽ നിന്നും ആർജിച്ച വേജസിൽ നിന്നും തുക ഒടുക്കുന്നതിന് രേഖാമൂലം സമ്മതം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതായി ജയിൽ വകുപ്പ് മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. കൂടാതെ, പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്തതിന് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷാ കാലാവധി കൂടി കണക്കിലെടുത്തല്ല BNSS 475 (CrPC 433 A) പ്രകാരം നിഷ്കർഷിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള മിനിമം കാലാവധിയായ 14 വർഷം കണക്കാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതെന്നും Set off കാലാവധി ഉൾപ്പെടെയുള്ള യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷ (Substantive Sentence) മാത്രമാണ് ഇതിനായി കണക്കാക്കുന്നതെന്നും ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി ശിപാർശ അനുസരിച്ച് വിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം പ്രസ്തുത സമയത്ത് അന്തോവാസികൾ പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കിയെന്ന് ഉറപ്പുവരുത്തി മാത്രമാണ് വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്നും പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്ത തടവുകാരെ പിഴക്ക് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷ കൂടി അനുഭവിച്ച ശേഷം മാത്രമാണ് വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്നും ജയിൽ മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

13. നെടുങ്കാൽത്തേരി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ 16.08.2024 തീയതിയിലെ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി ശിപാർശ, 18.06.2025 തീയതിയിലെ മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗ നടപടിക്കുറിപ്പുകൾ ഇനം.നം. 3050 പ്രകാരം പുതുക്കിയ കുറിപ്പുകളോടെ മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗം മുമ്പാകെ കൊണ്ടുവരാൻ തീരുമാനിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി.

625

**തീരുമാനിക്കേണ്ട വിഷയം**

1) നെടുങ്കാൽത്തേരി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 16.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശയും നിയമ വകുപ്പിന്റെ ഉപദേശവും പരിഗണിച്ച് ഭരണഘടനയുടെ 161-ാം അനുച്ഛേദം പ്രദാനം ചെയ്യുന്ന അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ചും, 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗ്ഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടങ്ങളിലെ ചട്ടം 470 ലെ നിബന്ധനകൾക്ക് വിധേയമായും പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കിയിട്ടില്ലാത്ത അന്തേവാസികളുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ പിഴ അടച്ചുകഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ പിഴയ്ക്ക് പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിച്ച് കഴിഞ്ഞ് മാത്രമോ ആയിരിക്കും വിടുതൽ എന്ന വ്യവസ്ഥയിലും, ഖണ്ഡിക 10 -ൽ പരാമർശിച്ച പ്രകാരം പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട് പ്രതികൂലമായിട്ടുള്ള സി.നം.3063 കാർത്തികേയൻ, സി.നം.3793 ശശി, എന്നിവരെയും, മരണമടഞ്ഞ സി.നം.2825 ചെന്നിയപ്പൻ, വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യപ്പെട്ട സി.നം. 2761 രാജൻ എന്നിവരെയും ഒഴിവാക്കി, താഴെ പറയുന്ന 53 തടവുകാരുടെ ശേഷിക്കുന്ന പ്രധാന ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ് ഇളവ് ചെയ്ത്, അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് ബഹു. ഗവർണ്ണർക്ക് ഉപദേശം നൽകാമോ ?

1. സി.നം. 2277 ബാലൻ S/o വാമൻ
2. സി.നം. 2510 മുരഗൻ S/o സുബ്ബയ്യ
3. സി.നം.2521 ഷാഫി S/o മൈതീൻ
4. സി.നം.2583 ഗോപകുമാർ S/o ചെല്ലപ്പൻ ആശാരി
5. സി.നം.2601 ലീന ജേക്കബ് S/o പൊന്നച്ചൻ
6. സി.നം.2672 വിമൽരാജ് S/o വിശ്വാസം
7. സി.നം.3075 അജയ് ജോൺസ് S/o നേശമണി
- 8 സി.നം.2790 ജോർജ് ചാക്കോ S/o വർഗ്ഗീസ് ചാക്കോ
9. സി.നം.2789 ജോസഫ് ചാക്കോ S/o വർഗ്ഗീസ് ചാക്കോ
10. സി.നം.2829 ഷിബുരാജ് S/o ബാലകൃഷ്ണൻ
11. സി.നം.2837 പ്രകാശൻ S/o ചക്രപാണി
12. സി.നം.3772 ആൻസൺ S/o തോമസ്
13. സി.നം.3065 അൻപുരാജൻ S/o ജയരാജ്
14. സി.നം.3269 ആനന്ദ് ശബരീരാജ് S/o ഇരുദയരാജ്
15. സി.നം.3078 ജോയ് S/o കുട്ടപ്പൻ
16. സി.നം.3081 സോമൻ S/o നാരായണൻ
17. സി.നം.3177 ബാബു S/o ബാഹുലേയൻ

- 18. സി.നം.3179 മണിക്കട്ടൻ S/o പരമേശ്വരൻ
- 19. സി.നം.3184 വർഗ്ഗീസ് S/o ചാക്കോ
- 20. സി.നം.3188 ആന്റണി S/o ദേവസ്യ
- 21. സി.നം.3206 കുഞ്ഞുമോൻ S/o വർക്കി
- 22. സി.നം.3231 രാജൻ S/o അപ്പക്കട്ടൻ
- 23. സി.നം.3286 രാമചന്ദ്രൻ S/o ഭാസ്കരൻ
- 24. സി.നം.3323 സുരേഷ് S/o കൃഷ്ണൻ
- 25. സി.നം.3381 രാജു S/o അൻതോണിസ്
- 26. സി.നം.3558 രാജൻ S/o ചെല്ലയ്യ
- 27. സി.നം.3574 ബിജു S/o സോമൻ
- 28. സി.നം.3590 ജോയ് S/o വർഗ്ഗീസ്
- 29. സി.നം. 3609 കൃഷ്ണൻകുട്ടി S/o ജനാർദ്ദനൻ പിള്ള
- 30. സി.നം.3623 നാഗരാജ് S/o ചെല്ലപ്പാണ്ടി
- 31. സി.നം.3629 അജിത്ത് കുമാർ S/o ഗോപി
- 32. സി.നം.3654 മനോജ് കുമാർ S/o ചന്ദൻ മാൽഗി
- 33. സി.നം. 3736 വിനു S/o ശശി
- 34. സി.നം.3773 ഹരികൃഷ്ണൻ S/o മാധവൻ
- 35. സി.നം.2346 ജോസഫ് S/o വർഗ്ഗീസ്
- 36. സി.നം.3755 ഷംസുദ്ദീൻ S/o അലിയാർകുട്ടി
- 37. സി.നം.2351 മുഹമ്മദ് ഹനീഫ S/o മീരാൻ പിള്ള
- 38. സി.നം.2838 വിശ്വകുമാർ S/o രാഘവൻ
- 39. സി.നം. 3074 തങ്കപ്പൻ കാണി S/o രാജൻ കാണി
- 40. സി.നം. 3111 സുബ്രമണ്യൻ S/o വേലു
- 41. സി.നം. 3178 ജോസ് S/o ചാക്കോ
- 42. സി.നം. 3221 അബൂൾ കരീം S/o മൈദീൻ കണ്ണ
- 43. സി.നം. 3768 സുരേഷ് കുമാർ S/o സുരേന്ദ്രൻ
- 44. സി.നം. 2899 ജോസ് S/o മാത്യു
- 45. സി.നം.3135 ബേബി S/o കുരിയാക്കോസ്
- 46. സി.നം. 3220 തോമസ് S/o ദേവസ്യ
- 47. സി.നം. 3428 അയ്യപ്പദാസ് S/o വേലായുധൻ
- 48. സി.നം. 3523 ജോയ് S/o തോമസ്

633

- 49. സി.നം. 2787 ജോമോൻ S/o കുട്ടപ്പൻ
- 50. സി.നം. 2810 സുരേഷ് S/o രാജു
- 51. സി.നം. 2811 രാജേഷ് S/o രാജു
- 52. സി.നം. 3348 സുരേഷ് S/o അശോകൻ
- 53. സി.നം. 3684 വിജയൻ S/o പപ്പു

അല്ലെങ്കിൽ

2. നെടുങ്കാൽത്തേരി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 16.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശയും നിയമ വകുപ്പിന്റെ ഉപദേശവും പരിഗണിച്ച് ഭരണഘടനയുടെ 161-ാം അനുച്ഛേദം പ്രദാനം ചെയ്യുന്ന അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ചും, 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടങ്ങളിലെ ചട്ടം 470 ലെ നിബന്ധനകൾക്ക് വിധേയമായും, പിഴ ഒടുക്കിയിട്ടില്ലാത്ത അന്തേവാസികളുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ പിഴ അടച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ പിഴക്കു പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ ആയിരിക്കും വിടുതൽ എന്ന വ്യവസ്ഥയിലും, ഇതിനകം മരണമടഞ്ഞ സി.നം. 2825 ചെന്നിയപ്പൻ, വിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവായിട്ടുള്ള സി.നം. 2761 രാജൻ എന്നിവരെ ഒഴിവാക്കി, താഴെ പറയുന്ന 55 തടവുകാരുടെ ശേഷിക്കുന്ന പ്രധാന ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ് ഇളവ് ചെയ്ത് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് ബഹു. ഗവർണ്ണർക്ക് ഉപദേശം നൽകാമോ ?

- 1. സി.നം. 2277 ബാലൻ S/o വാമൻ
- 2. സി.നം. 2510 മുരഗൻ S/o സുബ്ബയ്യ
- 3. സി.നം.2521 ഷാഫി S/o മൈതീൻ
- 4. സി.നം.2583 ഗോപകുമാർ S/o ചെല്ലപ്പൻ ആശാരി
- 5. സി.നം.2601 ലിനു ജേക്കബ് S/o പൊന്നച്ചൻ
- 6. സി.നം.2672 വിമൽരാജ് S/o വിശ്വാസം
- 7. സി.നം.3075 അജയ് ജോൺസ് S/o നേശമണി
- 8. സി.നം.2790 ജോർജ്ജ് ചാക്കോ S/o വർഗ്ഗീസ് ചാക്കോ
- 9. സി.നം.2789 ജോസഫ് ചാക്കോ S/o വർഗ്ഗീസ് ചാക്കോ
- 10. സി.നം.2829 ഷിബുരാജ് S/o ബാലകൃഷ്ണൻ
- 11. സി.നം.2837 പ്രകാശൻ S/o ചക്രപാണി
- 12. സി.നം.3772 ആൻസൺ S/o തോമസ്
- 13. സി.നം.3065 അൻപുരാജൻ S/o ജയരാജ്
- 14. സി.നം.3269 ആനന്ദ് ശബരീരാജ് S/o ഇന്ദ്രദയരാജ്
- 15. സി.നം.3078 ജോയ് S/o കുട്ടപ്പൻ

- 16. സി.നം.3081 സോമൻ S/o നാരായണൻ
- 17. സി.നം.3177 ബാബു S/o ബാഹുലേയൻ
- 18. സി.നം.3179 മണിക്കട്ടൻ S/o പരമേശ്വരൻ
- 19. സി.നം.3184 വർഗ്ഗീസ് S/o ചാക്കോ
- 20. സി.നം.3188 ആന്റണി S/o ദേവസ്യ
- 21. സി.നം.3206 കഞ്ഞുമോൻ S/o വർക്കി
- 22. സി.നം.3231 രാജൻ S/o അപ്പക്കട്ടൻ
- 23. സി.നം.3286 രാമചന്ദ്രൻ S/o ഭാസ്കരൻ
- 24. സി.നം.3323 സുരേഷ് S/o കൃഷ്ണൻ
- 25. സി.നം.3381 രാജു S/o അൻതോണിസ്
- 26. സി.നം.3558 രാജൻ S/o ചെല്ലയ്യ
- 27. സി.നം.3574 ബിജു S/o സോമൻ
- 28. സി.നം.3590 ജോയ് S/o വർഗ്ഗീസ്
- 29. സി.നം. 3609 കൃഷ്ണൻകുട്ടി S/o ജനാർദ്ദനൻ പിള്ള
- 30. സി.നം.3623 നാഗരാജ് S/o ചെല്ലപാണ്ടി
- 31. സി.നം.3629 അജിത്ത് കുമാർ S/o ഗോപി
- 32. സി.നം.3654 മനോജ് കുമാർ S/o ചന്ദൻ മാൽഗി
- 33. സി.നം.3736 വിനു S/o ശശി
- 34. സി.നം.3773 ഹരികൃഷ്ണൻ S/o മാധവൻ
- 35. സി.നം.2346 ജോസഫ് S/o വർഗ്ഗീസ്
- 36. സി.നം.3755 ഷംസുദ്ദീൻ S/o അലിയാർകുട്ടി
- 37. സി.നം.2351 മുഹമ്മദ് ഹനീഫ S/o മീരാൻ പിള്ള
- 38. സി.നം.2838 വിശ്വകുമാർ S/o രാഘവൻ
- 39. സി.നം.3063 കാർത്തികേയൻ S/o പത്മനാഭൻ
- 40. സി.നം. 3074 തങ്കപ്പൻ കാണി S/o രാജൻ കാണി
- 41. സി.നം. 3111 സുബ്രമണ്യൻ S/o വേലു
- 42. സി.നം. 3178 ജോസ് S/o ചാക്കോ
- 43. സി.നം. 3221 അബൂൾ കരീം S/o മൈദീൻ കണ്ണ
- 44. സി.നം. 3768 സുരേഷ് കുമാർ S/o സുരേന്ദ്രൻ
- 45. സി.നം. 2899 ജോസ് S/o മാത്യു
- 46. സി.നം.3135 ബേബി S/o കുരിയാക്കോസ്

632

47. സി.നം. 3220 തോമസ് S/o ദേവസ്യ
48. സി.നം. 3428 അയ്യപ്പദാസ് S/o വേലായുധൻ
49. സി.നം. 3523 ജോയ് S/o തോമസ്
50. സി.നം. 2787 ജോമോൻ S/o കുട്ടപ്പൻ
51. സി.നം. 2810 സുരേഷ് S/o രാജു
52. സി.നം. 2811 രാജേഷ് S/o രാജു
53. സി.നം. 3348 സുരേഷ് S/o അശോകൻ
54. സി.നം. 3684 വിജയൻ S/o പപ്പ
55. സി.നം. 3793 ശശി S/o കോലപ്പൻ

625

## ANNEXURE I

1) This file deals with the recommendation of Advisory Committee for premature release of prisoners of Open Prison and Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri vide meeting held on 16.08.2024.

(2) As per rule 462 of Kerala Prison and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014 Jail Advisory Committees were constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, High Security Prison and Prison for Women to make recommendation for the premature release of prisoners. The Advisory Committee, Central Prison and Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri held on 16.08.2024. recommended 57 prisoners for granting premature.

Section 77 of the THE KERALA PRISONS AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES (MANAGEMENT) ACT, 2010 confers Government the power of granting premature release to prisoners either suo moto or on the recommendation of the Advisory Committee.

(3) This Department has examined the recommendation of the Jail Advisory Board with all case files thoroughly. On the basis of a thorough examination of the details regarding the prisoners available in the file, the Administrative Department may be informed as follows:

### 1.C No. 2277 BALAN S/o VAMAN (Age:57)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to the enmity towards his wife, on 01.09.2000 the prisoner committed murder of his daughters aged 7 and aged 3.5 years by inflicting cut injuries with a chopper.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.458/2001 of the Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam and convicted and sentenced to RI for life u/s 302 of IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.958/2003 dated 07.11.2005.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam recommended for his release. Police Report is not available for perusal. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 23 years, 01 months and 12 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam in Sessions</p>

<p>SLP filed by the prisoner is also dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order No.7948/2006 dated 11.08.2006.</p>	<p>Case No. 458/2001 dated nor the judgment of the High Court in Crl. Appeal No.958/2003 dated 07.11.2005 contain any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>In the judgement of the Hon. Additional Sessions Court – I, Kollam in S.C.No. 458/2001, it is stated that the State Prison Review Committee shall be extremely cautious whenever his release after serving the mandatory term is taken up for consideration and at no circumstance he shall be released without making themselves sure that the accused has fully reformed himself and no harm is likely to occur to any member of the society if he is released. Hence, the A/D may be advised to consider the remarks of the convicting Court with regard to his premature release.</p>
---	---

**2. C No. 2510 MURUGAN S/o SUBAYYA (Age : 60)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Murugan was the 2nd husband of Mariyamma and he used to come home after being drunk and conduct himself cantankerously. On account of him having been asked to go out of the house due to his cantankerousness, entertaining hatred towards Mariyamma, on 13.09.2001 he stabbed her to death with a knife.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.538/2003 of the Addl. Sessions Court Adhoc I, Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay a fine of Rs 5000/- with a default sentence of RI for 6 months u/s 302 of IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1312/2005 dated 25.08.2009.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Idukki recommended for his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 03 months and 20 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court Adhoc I, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 538/2003 dated nor the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl.Appel No.1312/2005 dated 25.08.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Murugan.</p>

664

	Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.
--	---

**3.C No.2521 SHAFI S/o MAIDHEEN (Age:52)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that On 09.11.1996 Shafi with the intention of doing away with his wife Nazeera beat on her cheek and when she fell unconscious on the bed he put Potassium Cyanide into her mouth thereby causing her death.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.312/2005 of the Addl. Sessions(Adhoc) Court - II, Thodupuzha and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay a fine of Rs 50,000/- with a default sentence of RI for 3 years.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1380/2006 dated 29.3.2011.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Idukki recommended for his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 04 months and 28 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions(Adhoc) Court - II, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 312/2005 dated 07.07.2006 and Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1380/2006 dated 29.3.2011 does not contain any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**4.C No.2583 GOPAKUMAR @ MANIKUTTAN S/o CHELLAPPAN ASSARI (Age:65)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 23.06.2002 Gopakumar murdered his wife, Saraswati Ammal by pouring kerosene on her body and setting her on fire doubting her chastity.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police report is not seen included. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p>

<p>He was the accused in SC.No.138/2004 of the Addl. Sessions Court - I, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to RI for life u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1227/2008 dated 17.09.2012.</p>	<p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 07 months and 19 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither the judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - I, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No. 138/2004 dated 27.07.2007 nor the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1380/2006 dated 29.3.2011 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Gopakumar.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	--

**5. C No.2601 LINU JACOB S/o PONNACHAN @ JACOB GEORG**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Due to the enmity on account of Saji had beaten Linu and his father, on 14.09.2002 with the intention of killing Saji Linu Jacob inflicted injuries on his chest and stomach and Saji died on the way to the hospital</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.377/2004 dtd 01.12.2006 of the Addl. Sessions Court - I, Thiruvalla and was convicted and sentenced to RI for life and fine of Rs-25,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 of IPC and SI for 6 months u/s 324 IPC. sentences shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.36/2007 dated 20.09.2010</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended for his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 10 months and 13 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions(Adhoc) Court - II, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 312/2005 dated 07.07.2006 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.36/2007 dated 29.3.2010 contain any</p>

643

	<p>adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Linu Jacob.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

**6.C No.2672 VIMALRAJ S/o VISWASAM(Age:40)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that with the intention to kidnap a girl named Indu @ Pechi Amma and to rob her gold ornaments first accused Vimal Raj and the second accused abducted her from her house at Thoothukkudi and murdered her on 16.06.2005 after bringing her at a lodge in Kollam.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.29/2006 of the Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs.20,000/- u/s 302 r/w 34 of IPC</p> <p>2) RI for 2 years u/s 120B r/w 34 of IPC</p> <p>3) RI for 2 years and fine of 2000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 364 r/w 34 of IPC.</p> <p>4) RI for 2 years and fine of Rs 2000/- id SI for 3 months u/s 419 r/w 34 of IPC.</p> <p>5) RI for 3 years and fine of Rs 2000/-i/d SI for 6 months u/s 201 r/w 34 of IPC</p> <p>6) RI for 5 years and a fine of Rs.5000/- i/d SI for one year u/s 392 r/w 34 of IPC vide judgment dtd 16.11.2006. Set off allowed. In case of commutation of sentence U/s 433(b) CrPC the substantive sentence will run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.80/2007 dated 10.10.2011</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thoothukudi and police have recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 08 months as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam in Sessions Case No. .29/2006 dated 16.11.2006 and the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.80/2007 dated 10.10.2011 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. VimalRaj. The last page of the judgment in Criminal Appeal is seen missing. Hence A/D may verify the same whether any adverse remarks in this regard in the judgment</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**7. C No.3075 AJAY JOHNS S/o NESAMANI(Age:43)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that with the intention to kidnap a girl named Indu @ Pechi Amma and to rob her gold ornaments first accused Vimal Raj and the second accused Ajay Johns abducted her from her house at Thoothukkudi and murdered her on 16.06.2005 after bringing her at a lodge in Kollam.</p> <p>He was the 2nd accused in SC.No.29/2006 of the Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs.20,000/- u/s 302 r/w 34 of IPC</p> <p>2) RI for 2 years u/s 120 B r/w 34 of IPC</p> <p>3) RI for 2 years and fine of 2000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 364 r/w 34 of IPC.</p> <p>4) RI for 2 years and fine of Rs 2000/- id SI for 3 months u/s 419 r/w 34 of IPC.</p> <p>5) RI for 3 years and fine of Rs 2000/-i/d SI for 6 months u/s 201 r/w 34 of IPC</p> <p>6) RI for 5 years and a fine of Rs.5000/- i/d SI for one year u/s 392 r/w 34 of IPC vide judgment dtd 16.11.2006. Set off allowed. In case of commutation of sentence U/s 433(b) CrPC the substantive sentence will run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.80/2007 dated 10.10.2011</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 07 months and 27 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam in Sessions Case No.29/2006 dated 16.11.2006 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.80/2007 dated 10.10.2011 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Ajay Johns. The last page of the judgment in Criminal Appeal is seen missing. Hence A/D may verify the same whether any adverse remarks in this regard in the judgment</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**8. C No.2790 GEORGE CHACKO S/o VARGHESE CHACKO(Age:63)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Jose Mon, the deceased was the neighbour and was cock merchant in profession. The</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Alappuzha and police recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his</p>

prisoner having purchased a cock belonging to Jose Mon failed to pay the price, on which there was a quarrel on 08.07.2002. During this George Chacko and his brother Joseph Chacko beat up Jose Mon and stabbed him to death with a knife.

He was the 2nd accused in SC.No.27/2005 of the Addl.Sessions Court (Fast Track-II),Alappuzha dated 27/2/2005 and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs 10,000/-i/d RI for 3 months u/s 302 r/w 34 of IPC, and SI for 1 month u/s 341 IPC r/w 34 of IPC, and SI 1 year u/s 323 r/w 34 of IPC. Set off allowed. In the event of any order of remission or commutation being issued by appropriate Government as the case may be U/ss,432 or 433 of CrPC, The sentence shall run concurrently.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.914/2009 dated 03.02.2014

release.

As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 01 months and 07 days as on 31.05.2024.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court (Fast Track-II),Alappuzha in Sessions Case No. 27/2005 nor the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.914/2009 dated 03.02.2014 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. George Chacko.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

**9.C No.2789 JOSEPH CHACKO S/o VARGHESE CHACKO(Age:64)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Jose Mon, the deceased was the neighbour and was a cock merchant in profession. 1st accused having purchased a cock belonging to Jose Mon failed to pay the price, on which there was a quarrel on 08.07.2002. During this George Chacko and his brother Joseph Chacko beat up Jose Mon and stabbed him to death with a knife</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.27/2005 of the Addl.Dist.Sessions Court (Fast Track-II),Alappuzha and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs 10,000/-i/d RI for 3</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 04 months and 16 days as on 31.05.2024.</p>

<p>months u/s 302 r/w 34 of IPC, and SI for 1 month u/s 341 IPC r/w 34 of IPC, and SI 1 year u/s 323 r/w 34 of IPC. Set off allowed. In the event of any order of remission or commutation being issued by appropriate Government as the case may be U/ss,432 or 433 of CrPC. sentence shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.914/2009 dated 03.02.2014</p>	<p>Neither in the judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court (Fast Track-II), Alappuzha in Sessions Case No. .27/2005 nor of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.914/2009 dated 03.02.2014 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Joseph Chacko.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	--

**10.C No.2825 CHENNIYAPPAN S/o ARUNACHALA GOUNDER(Age:64)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 16.10.1998 the prisoner out of prior enmity in connection with the dispute on partition of family properties he murdered his brother and his mother inflicting injuries with a chopper.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.430/2002 of the Addl. Sessions Court Fast Track Court II, Palakkad and convicted and sentenced to RI for life and to pay a fine of Rs.20000/- in default of payment of fine amount the accused shall under go RI for a further period of 6 months. set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1977/2005 dated 15.10.2009.</p> <p><u>SC case No.545/2006 of Hon'ble Addl. Asst. Sessions Court, Palakkad sentencing accused for 2 years and fine of Rs:1,00,000/- i/d 6 months u/s 8(2) of Abkari Act is seen to be referred in A/D's file. But no records relating to the same is produced for scrutiny.</u></p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 02 months and 11 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court (Fast Track-II), Palakkad in Sessions Case No. 430/2002 nor of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1977/2005 dated 15.10.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Chenniyappan.</p> <p>The prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release. But he is also seen convicted in Abkari offence <u>SC case No.545/2006 by Hon'ble Addl. Asst. Sessions Court, Palakkad. Hence AD may consider the the aforesaid sentence too before</u></p>

647

	<u>issuing orders in his case.</u>
--	------------------------------------

**11.C No.2829 SHIBURAJ @ SHIBIL S/o BALAKRISHNAN(Age:49)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 28.02.1997, due to the reason that the deceased Rajeev Prasad had warned him against the act of illegal arrack distillation the prisoner and others formed themselves into unlawful assembly, armed with deadly weapons and inflicted fatal injuries on the deceased with a chopper. Rajeev succumbed to the injuries while undergoing treatment in hospital on 29/05/1997.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.64/2002 of the Addl.Dist.Sessions Court (Fast Track-I), Thiruvananthapuram. He was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs 50,000/- i/d RI for 3 years u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.782/2007 dated 02.12.2011.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Thiruvananthapuram recommended for his release. But Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of <b>16 years, 08 months and 28 days as on 31.05.2024.</b></p> <p>Neither in the judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Dist.Sessions Court (Fast Track-I), Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No. 64/2002 nor the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.782/2007 dated 02.12.2011 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Shiburaj. It is seen that a fine of Rs 50,000/ is imposed and in default of the same a sentence of RI for 3 years u/s 302 IPC is imposed. However there are no records to verify the payment of fine imposed on the convict, to avoid the additional imprisonment that he will have to incur. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p>

**12.C No.2837 PRAKASAN @ AJAYAN S/o CHAKRAPANI(Age:47)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Prakasan, the first accused in the case, who was married and an auto driver, was in love with another woman and when he realized that if this relationship was known to his wife, the family relationship would be broken, on 12.02.2000 he along with Anson, 2nd accused, raped her and</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for</p>

<p>suffocated her. When she fell unconscious put her body into Kuthirakadav Kayal with the presumption that she was dead. She died due to suffocation and drowning.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.819/2001 of the Addl. Sessions Court II-,Kollam and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life u/s 302 of IPC and RI for 10 years u/s 376 of IPC, RI for 03 years u/s 404 of IPC and RI for 07 years u/s 201 IPC, Compensation for 50,000/-i/d SI for 6 months. sentence are allowed to run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1070 &amp; 1071 of 2004 dated 06.02.2009.</p>	<p>which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 10 months and 17 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Addl. Sessions Court II-,Kollam in Sessions Case No. 819/2001 nor the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1070 &amp; 1071 of 2004 dated 06.02.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Prakasan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

**13.C No.3772 ANSON S/o THOMAS(Age:45)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Anson, the second accused in the case. Prakasan, the first accused in the case, who was married and an auto driver, was in love with another woman and when he realised that if this relationship was known to his wife, the family relationship would be broken, on 12.02.2000 he along with Anson, 2nd accused, raped her and suffocated her. When she fell unconscious put her body into Kuthirakadav Kayal with the presumption that she was dead. She died due to suffocation and drowning.</p> <p>He was the 2nd accused in SC.No.819/2001 of the Addl. Dist. Sessions Court II-,Kollam and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life u/s 302 of IPC and RI for 10 years u/s 376 of IPC, RI for 03 years u/s 404 of IPC and RI for 07 years u/s 201 IPC, Compensation for 50,000/-i/d SI for 6 months. sentence are</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years 9 months and 19 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court II-,Kollam in Sessions Case No. 819/2001 nor in that of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1070 &amp; 1071 of 2004 dated 06.02.2009 contains any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.</p>

649

<p>allowed to run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1070 &amp; 1071 of 2004 dated 06.02.2009</p>	<p>Anson.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

**14.C No.3065 ANPURAJ @ ASAIPULLAI S/o JAYARAJ(Age39)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Anpurajan is the second accused in this case. First accused Anand Sabari Raj and the third accused Vidyalekshmi were lovers. After marriage with Anantharaman, they visited Munnar as part of honey moon celebration. On 18.06.2006 they murdered Anantharaman and robbed his belongings.</p> <p>He was the 2nd accused in SC.No.517/2006 of the Addl. Sessions Court III-,Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fined Rs.5000/-i/d RI for 1 year u/s 302 of IPC and RI for 03 years u/s 379 of IPC. sentence are allowed to run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2453 of 2007 dated 11.01.2012.</p> <p>The judgment of the Appeal Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.853 of 2014 dated 05.02.2019</p>	<p>The Probation Officer, Prison and Correctional Services Department, Mayiladuthurai recommended for his release and but Police authorities has not given any remarks regarding his release. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years 3 months 27 days as on 31.05.2024</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court III-,Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 517/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2453 of 2007 dated 11.01.2012 or the Supreme Court judgment contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Anpuraj.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**15.C No.3269 ANAND SABARIRAJ @ ANAND S/o IRUDHAYARAJ(Age:44)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Anand Sabariraj, the first accused in this case the</p>	<p>The Probation Officer, Saidapet at East Tambaram and Police authorities are</p>

<p>third accused Vidyalekshmi were lovers. After marriage with Anantharaman, they visited Munnar as part of honey moon celebration. On 18.06.2006 with the help of second accused they murdered Anantharaman and robbed his belongings.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.517/2006 of the Addl. Dist.Sessions Court -III, Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fined Rs.5000/-i/d RI for 1 year u/s 302 of IPC .Imprisonment for life and fined Rs.5000/-i/d RI for 1 year u/s 120-B of IPC and RI for 03 years u/s 379 of IPC. sentence are allowed to run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.628 of 2009 dated 11.01.2012.</p> <p>The judgment of the Appeal Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.852 of 2014 dated 05.02.2019</p>	<p>recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 03 months and 27 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court III, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 517/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2453 of 2007 dated 11.01.2012 or the Supreme Court judgment contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Anand Sabariraj.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

**16.C No.3078 JOY S/o KUTTAPPAN(Age:48)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Joy and his father deceased Kuttappan were residing at Peroorkada whose mental relationships were strained while residing. The accused was interested in seeing television programmes. The television purchased by the deceased Kuttappan that was kept in their house was taken to her daughter's house. On account of this 23.03.2003 he stabbed his father to death.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.2053/2004 of the Addl. Sessions Court I-, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended for his release and Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 03 months and</p>

EST

<p>302 IPC .Set off allowed from 24/3/2003 to 8/7/03 and 25/10/06 to 28/3/07.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1891 of 2007 dated 18.01.2012.</p>	<p>05 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court I-, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No. 2053/2004 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1891 of 2007 dated 18.01.2012 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Joy.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	---

**17. C No.3081 SOMAN S/o NARAYANAN(Age:54)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused was a loading worker. He used to drink and quarrel with his wife frequently. On 09.03.1999 accused demanded Rs.250/- which was refused by his wife he murdered her by pouring kerosene on her and setting fire to her.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.606/2004 of the Addl.Dist.Sessions (Adhoc) Fast Track Court -I, Pathanamthitta and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs. 1,00,000/- i/d RI for 4 years u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1806 of 2005 dated 13.10.2009 .</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Pathanamthitta and Police authorities had recommended for release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The petitioner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 07 months and 27 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Dist.Sessions (Adhoc) Fast Track Court -I, Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No. 606/2004 and that of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1806 of 2005 dated 13.10.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Soman.</p> <p>In the Special Leave Petition (Crl.) Diary No. 39951/2018 the Hon. Supreme Court</p>

	<p>in its Order dated 18.1.2019 held that taking into consideration the period of incarceration suffering by the petitioner, be direct the Government of Kerala to consider the case of the petitioner expeditiously for premature release on the basis of the Kerala Prison Rules and Remission policy</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

**18. C No.3177 BABU S/o BAHULEYAN (Age:54)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that for reason of Sujatha, W/o Babu, questioned Babu regarding his illegitimate relationship with another women Omana and she refused to have sexual intercourse with him, he developed animosity towards her and on 03.02.1993, he committed murder of Sujatha by strangulating her tying tightly around her neck with Kaili and has abandoned dead body in a pond near his residence.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.184/1993 of the Session Court, Kollam and awarded death sentence u/s 302 of IPC.</p> <p>The conviction and sentence passed by the convicting Court was set aside and the accused was acquitted by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.626 of 1994 dated 29.11.1994.</p> <p>The order of the High court acquitting the the accused was set aside and the accused was sentenced to RI for life u/s 302 of IPC by the Supreme Court vide judgment in CrI. Appeal No.270/1996 dated 11.08.2003.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam and Police authorities had recommended for release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 09 months and 17 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Session Court, Kollam in Sessions Case No.184/1993 and that of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CrI. Appeal No.270/1996 dated 11.08.2003 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Babu.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

653

**19.C No.3179 MANIKKUTTAN S/o PARAMESWARAN(Age:56)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case was that due to the mental agony on account of the brother of deceased Lathika having warned Manikkuttan against going to the house of Lathika and mingling with her on 23.2.1998 with the intention to cause death of Lathika and to commit suicide thereafter, he enticed Lathika on that day and took her to Pathanamthitta Kumbazha Tourist Home and at about 2 'O'clock in the night he caused suffocation and smothered Lathika by applying force on her mouth and neck and murdered her and then attempted to commit suicide.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.77/2001 of Addl. Dist.&amp;sessions Court(Adhoc)Fast Track -II, Pathanamthitta and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay a fine of Rs. 10,000/- i/d RI for 2 years u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1234 of 2004 dated 30.11.2007.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam and Police authorities had recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 04 months and 19 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Addl. Dist.&amp; Sessions Court(Adhoc)Fast Track -II, Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No. 77/2001 and that of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1234 of 2004 dated 30.11.2007 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Manikkuttan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**20.C No.3184 VARGHEESE @ JOSE S/o CHACKO(Age:51)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 29.08.2000 due to previous enmity towards the deceased Sunitha the accused murdered her by hitting her head with an iron rod and pushed her into a well.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.30/2004 of the Addl.Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay a fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d SI for 6 months u/s 302 of IPC. Setoff allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Idukki recommended for his release But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment.</p>

<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.689 of 2005 dated 27.03.2009.</p>	<p>The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years and 14 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 30/2004 and that of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.689 of 2005 dated 27.03.2009 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Vargheese.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

**21. C No.3188 ANTONY @ JOY S/o DEVASYA(Age:61)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that On 20.07.1997 he took his son Jojo from the house of his second wife and strangled him to death and concealed the body.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.374/2003 of the Addl.Sessions Court (Adhoc-II), Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine 5000/- i/d SI for 2 months u/s 302 IPC. and RI 3 years and fine 2000/- i/d SI for 1 month u/s 201 of IPC.Sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1343 of 2004 dated 09.08.2007.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Idukki recommended for his release But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 08 months and 17 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court (Adhoc-II), Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 374/2003 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1343 of 2004 dated 09.08.2007 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Antony.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment</p>

655

in his premature release.

**22.C No.3206 KUNJUMON S/o VARKEY(Age:48)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that On 20.10.1997 with the intention of committing robbery Kunjumon and Jose Joseph criminally trespassed into the house of one Jose to commit theft, stole money and ornaments by threatening his daughter and during this he hit a woman named Annamma (90 years old) on her head while she was making noise and she later died in the hospital.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No. 60/2000 of the Addl.Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine 10,000/-i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 of IPC and RI for 10 years and fine 5000/- i/d RI 6 months u/s 449 IPC, RI for 7 years u/s 397 IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.835 of 2004 dated 30.10.2007.</p> <p>The judgment of the High Court was confirmed in part and conviction u/s 449 of IPC is set aside by Supreme Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.38 of 2009 dated 21.11.2012.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Idukki recommended his release but the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 08 months and 29 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 60/2000 and of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.835 of 2004 dated 30.10.2007 and the Supreme Court in CrI. Appeal No.38 of 2009 dated 21.11.2012 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Kunjumon.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**23. C No.3231 RAJAN @ RAJU S/o APPUKUTTAN(Age:52)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that accused in furtherence of their common intention to murder Nandini the wife of CW1 stabbed nandini on 16/05/2002 and she succumbed</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer ,Kollam recommended for his release But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also</p>

<p>to the injuries.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.1078/2003 of the Addl Sessions Court -I, Kollam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.916 of 2005 dated 04.08.2009.</p>	<p>recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 10 months and 23 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl Sessions Court -I, Kollam in Sessions Case No. 1078/2003 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.916 of 2005 dated 04.08.2009 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Rajan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

**24.C No.3286 RAMACHANDRAN S/o BHASKARAN(Age:62)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Ramachandran who quarrelled with his mother and brother over family property set the house on fire and police registered a case against him. Due to this enmity, on 05.07.1992 he attacked his brother's wife with an axe and when his mother tried to prevent this he killed his mother by inflicting injuries on her neck and shoulder with a hatchet.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.138/2003 of the Addl. Dist &amp; Sessions Fast Track Court (Adhoc)-II, Kottayam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine 5000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 302 IPC, and RI for 5 years and fine 2000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 307 IPC. Sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 04 months and 29 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Dist&amp; Sessions Fast Track Court (Adhoc)-II, Kottayam in Sessions Case</p>

657

<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No. 1184 of 2004 dated 07.11.2007.</p>	<p>No. 138/2003 and the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1148 of 2004 dated 07.11.2007 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Ramachandran.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

**25.C No.3323 SURESH S/o KRISHNAN(Age:54)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Suresh, a tree climber in profession, used to reach house after intoxication and pick up quarrel with his wife. On 30.11.2003 he murdered her by suffocation during a fight over her brother not giving a first birthday present to his child'.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.01/2005 of the Session Court Ernakulam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1705 of 2005 dated 18.09.2009.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Ernakulam recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 05 months and 21 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Session Court Ernakulam in Sessions Case No.01/2005 and that of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1705 of 2005 dated 18.09.2009 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Suresh.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**26. C No. 3381 RAJU S/o ANTHONIS @ VAREETH ANTONY(Age:43)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer</p>

<p>09.06.1999 Raju dragged a girl aged 18, named Anthoniyamma who was emptying her bowels in the middle of the coconut grove near the west bund of the Karichal stream, and drowned her to death and robbed her ornaments.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.562/1999 of the Addl.Sessions(Fast Track) Court- I,Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine 15,000/-i/d RI 1 year and 6 months u/s 302 of IPC and RI 5 years and fine 15,000/- i/d RI 1 year 6 months u/s 394 of IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.343 of 2004 dated 16.01.2007</p>	<p>Kasaragod recommended his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 22 years, 03 months and 26 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions(Fast Track) Court-I,Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.562/1999 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No..343 of 2004 dated 16.01.2007 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Raju.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

**27.C No.3558 RAJAN S/o CHELLAYYA(AGE:42)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 13.06.2005 Rajan committed murder of his wife Asha doubting her chastity.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.533/2006 of the Sessions Court, Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1228 of 2008 dated 20.09.2012.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Idukki recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 07 months and 16 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case</p>

659

	<p>No.533/2006 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1228 of 2008 dated 20.09.2012 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Rajan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

**28.C No.3574 BIJU@VAIDYAR S/o SOMAN(Age:52)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Biju was an alcoholic who used to quarrel with his wife Shailaja. On 11.04.2004 he committed murder of Shailaja by pouring kerosene and setting her on fire.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.1819/2002 of the Addl. Sessions Court- II, Kollam and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and fine 50,000/- i/d SI for 2 years u/s 302 IPC. set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1518 of 2008 dated 16.10.2008.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 07 months and 24 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court- II, Kollam in Sessions Case No.1819/2002 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1518 of 2008 dated 16.10.2008 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Biju.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**29.C No.3590 JOY S/o VARGHEESE(Age:56)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Joy and his wife Remani, the deceased in this case, used to work in a granite quarry. Remani</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended for his release. But the Police report is</p>

<p>was having an illegal relationship with the supervisor of the same quarry and used to quarrel with Remani about this. On 09.06.2002 due to this enmity he strangulated her to death.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.233/2003 of the Addl. Sessions Court-(Adhoc-I), Ernakulam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine 30,000/- i/d SI for 2 years u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed by the High Court except by reducing the fine amount of Rs.30000/- to Rs.5000/ vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1183 of 2004 dated 24.10.2007.</p>	<p>adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 11 months and 12 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court-(Adhoc-I), Ernakulam in Sessions Case No.233/2003 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1183 of 2004 dated 24.10.2007 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Joy.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	---

**30.C No. 3609 KRISHNANKUTTY @ MADAMMA KRISHNANKUTTY S/o JANARDHAN AN PILLAI (Age:57)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 03.02.2007 Krishnankutty attacked his mother Chellamma over dispute regarding division of property in front of the house, by hitting her head with brick. Later she succumbed to the injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.175/2008 of the Addl. Sessions Court- III, Kollam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs. 10,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam recommended his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years and 20 days as on 31.05.2024.</p>

661

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1970 of 2010 dated 20.05.2007.

The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court- III, Kollam in Sessions Case No.175/2008 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1970 of 2010 dated 20.05.2007 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Krishnankutty.  
  
Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

**31.C NO.3623 NAGARAJ S/o CHELLAPANDY(Age:65)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 30.07.1998 Nagaraj trespassed into the house of one Rajammal for theft and he attacked and killed Saraswathi the servant of Rajammal and stole her gold ornaments.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.288/2003 of the Addl. Dist &amp; Sessions Court (Adhoc-II), Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs. 5,000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 302 IPC and RI 7 years u/s 397 IPC, and RI 7 years and fine 1000/- i/d SI for 2 months u/s 201 IPC. substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1781 of 2004 dated 17.06.2008.</p>	<p>The Probation Officer recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 06 month and 06 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Dist &amp; Sessions Court (Adhoc-II), Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.288/2003 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1781 of 2004 dated 17.06.2008 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Nagaraj.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**32.C No.3629 AJITH KUMAR @ AJI S/o GOPI(Age:53)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 13.08.2003 the accused trespassed into the house of one Elamma who was residing alone, murdered her by hitting her head with a wooden log and robbed her gold chain.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.802/2005 of the Hon'ble Sessions Court II,Ernakulam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine,10,000/- i/d RI 6 months u/s 302 of IPC and RI 5 years and fine 5000/- i/d RI 3 months u/s 449 and 389 IPC, and RI 5 years and fine 5000/- i/d RI 3 months u/s 382 IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The Hon'ble High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2296 of 2006 dated 21.01.2011 vacated the conviction and sentence u/s 449 IPC. Instead he was convicted u/s 451 IPC and sentenced to SI for 2 years. Conviction under sections 382 &amp; 302 was affirmed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer,Ernakulam recommended for his release.<u>It is to be noted that the Police report submitted for consideration by the Review Committee is with regard to their recommendation on granting ordinary leave to the prisoner and not on his premature release. More over the report submitted with the physical case file does not contain any date of issue of it.</u> However, Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 05 month and 17 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court II,Ernakulam in Sessions Case No.802/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No..2296 of 2006 dated 21.01.2011. contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, <u>subject to the verification of police report</u> there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**33.C No.3654 MANOJ KUMAR S/o CHANDAN MALGI(Age:48)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Pintu Jain,a jeweller, was abducted by Manoj Kumar and another accused in a maruthi car , killed and robbed Pintu Jain of Rs.</p>	<p>The Probation Officer and Police reports are in hindi and could not be verified. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his</p>

667

544180/- was looted and the dead body was dumped in the canal.

He was the 1st accused in SC.No.195/2003 of the Addl. Sessions Court(spl)Kottayam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life for the offences u/ss 302 & 120(B) r/w 34 IPC, and further sentenced to RI 7 years u/s 394 & 120(B) r/w 34 IPC and also sentenced to undergo RI for 4 years u/s 201 & 120(B) r/w 34 of IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2223 of 2005 dated 26.11.2009.

release.

As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 08 month and 18 days as on 31.05.2024.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions court(spl)Kottayam in Sessions Case No.195/2003 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2223 of 2005 dated 26.11.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Manoj kumar.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

**34. C No.3736 VINU @ VINOJ S/o SASI(Age:48)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that that Vinu along with the first accused Shaji, then Deputy Superintendent of Police hatched a criminal conspiracy to finish off Praveen on 15.02.2005 Vinu abducted Praveen and he along with the first accused killed Praveen by suffocating and strangulating in a Maruti van and they dismembered the body and discarded it in the backwaters at different places.</p> <p>He was the 2nd accused in SC.No.145/2005 of the Sessions Court Kottayam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine 5000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 302 r/w 120(B) IPC, and RI</p>	<p><b><u>The report of District Probation Officer and report of Police authorities not recommend his premature release.</u></b> Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 03 month and 11 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court Kottayam in Sessions Case No.145/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.86 of</p>

<p>3 years and fine 5000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 302 r/w120(B) of IPC and RI 3 years and fine 2000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 201 r/w 120(B) of IPC and RI 7 years and fine 5000/- i/d SI for 1 year u/s 364 r/w 120(B) IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.86 of 2006 dated 10.12.2009.</p>	<p>2006 dated 10.12.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Vinu.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release. <u>But A/D may consider the adverse reports of probation officer and police authorities before taking further action on his premature release.</u></p>
--	---

**35.C No.3773 HARIKRISHNAN S/o MADHAVAN(Age:48)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 08.09.2001 Harikrishnan, the first accused in this case, on refusal of his wife to give her jewellery for his sister Usha's marriage strangled to death his wife Saraswati and one-and-a-half-year-old son Sajimon while they were sleeping.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.525/2002 of the Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Alappuzha and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and fine 12,000/- u/s 302 of IPC and RI for 5 years &amp; fine Rs. 3000 u/s 201 IPC and RI for 2 years &amp; fine of Rs. 2000 u/s 404 IPC. He shall undergo RI for 2 years for default of fine u/s 302 IPC, RI for one year for default u/s 201IPC and RI for 6 months for default u/s 404 IPC. Set off allowed. There is no mention about substantive sentence whether it is to be undergone separately or concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Alappuzha recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 22 years, 05 month and 05 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Alappuzha in Sessions Case No.525/2002 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.781 of 2004 dated 18.09.2007 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Harikrishnan.</p> <p>The convicting judgment does not mention about substantive sentence. Since the judgment is silent on the same, it may be presumed to have been rejected. The period</p>

665

Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.781 of 2004 dated 18.09.2007.	stipulated u/s 475 BNSS and the period specified in the substantive sentence shall also be considered by the AD.
--	--

**36.C No.2346 JOSEPH @ JAMES S/o VARGHEES**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Joseph@James is the second accused in the case. On 02.08.1998 Joseph @ James, joined with the first accused, Shamsudheen committed murder of Jasmine ,wife of Shamsuddin by strangulating her with a bath towel.</p> <p>He was the 2nd accused in SC.No.99/2001 of the Additonal Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine 5,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1996 of 2003 dated 23.06.2006.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer <u>Malappuram</u> has <u>not recommended his premature release. Police report is not made available with the case file for verification.</u> Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 07 month and 19 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.99/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1996 of 2003 dated 23.06.2006 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Joseph.</p> <p>The report of the police authority is not available. Hence it is not clear whether they recommend or not. A/D may verify the same. Subject to this there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**37.C No.3755 SHAMSUDDIN S/o ALIYARKUTTY(Age:51)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that On 02.08.1998 the first accused, Shamsudheen in this case joined with Joseph @ James, the second accused committed murder of Jasmine, wife of Shamsuddin by strangulating her, with a bath towel.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.99/2001 of the Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine 5,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1996 of 2003 dated 23.06.2006</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Thrissur recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 06 month and 03 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.99/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1996 of 2003 dated 23.06.2006 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Shamsuddin.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**38.C No.2351 MUHAMMAD HANEEFA S/o MEERAN PILLAI(Age:62)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused wanted to sell his wife's property to repay his debts and also wanted to buy land in his name. His wife was strictly opposed to it so he poured kerosene and set her on fire and killed her.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.212/1999 of the Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc-II) Fast Track Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. <u>Police report is not seen made available with the case file.</u> Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he</p>

667

50,000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 302 IPC.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1052 of 2004 dated 19.06.2008.

had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 21 years, 04 month as on 31.05.2024.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.99/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1996 of 2003 dated 23.06.2006 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Muhammad Haneefa

The report of the police authority is not available. Hence it is not clear whether they recommend or not. A/D may verify the same. Subject to this there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

**39.C No.2761 RAJAN S/o KRISHNANKUTTY NAIR(Age:63)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Rajan who was a close relative of the deceased Geetha and was alleged to have illicit relation with Geetha rejected her request to live together after the marriage of her daughter and hence he poured kerosene over her and set her ablaze on 17.04.1997 and she succumbed to the burn injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.117/1999 of the Additional Sessions Court North Paravoor and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 of IPC. Set off allowed</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.51 of 2002 dated</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 22 years, 03 month and 10 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court North Paravoor in Sessions Case No.117/1999 nor in the judgment of the</p>

24.07.2003.	<p>Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.51 of 2002 dated 24.07.2003 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Rajan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
-------------	---

**40.C No.2838 VISWAKUMAR S/o RAGHAVAN**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 02.05.2001, Viswakumar entered the courtyard of the house of Babu Bhavan and assaulted Leela kumari when she fell down, he inflicted injuries on her chest with a tapping knife and she succumbed to the injuries while being transported to the hospital.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.724/2003 of the Additonal Sessions Court-I Kollam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of 3,00,000/- i/d SI for 3 years u/s 302 IPC. set off allowed.</p> <p>In SC.No.1330/2004 of Additional Sessions Court-I Kollam the accused was convicted and sentenced to RI of 1 year and fine Rs.1,00,000/- i/d SI for 6 months u/s 8(1)(2) of Abkari Act</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court in SC.No.724/2003 was confirmed with a modification of reduction of fine amount from 3 lakh to Rs.3000/- by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.844 of 2004 dated 30.03.2007.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 11 month and 03 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additonal Sessions Court-I Kollam in Sessions Case No.724/2003 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.844 of 2004 dated 30.03.2007 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Viswakumar.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, and the sentence under SC.No.1330/2004 there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**41. C No.3063 KARTHIKEYAN S/o PADMANABHAN(Age:56)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
The Prosecution case is that the	The District Probation Officer

<p>accused kidnapped Ajithakumari, while on her way to uncle's house, a 21 year old lady and raped her and strangled her to death and robbed her ornaments. Then he put her dead body in a bag and buried it.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC. No.43/1994 of the Additional Sessions Court-I Mavelikkara and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC, Imprisonment for 10 years u/s 376 IPC and Imprisonment for 2 years u/s 404 IPC, Imprisonment for 3 years u/s 201 of IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.343 of 1995 dated 07.08.1997 &amp; RC No. 4/1997.</p>	<p><u>Alappuzha has not recommended release of the prisoner. The Police report is adverse.</u> Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 29 years, 05 month and 23 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Aditonal Sessions Court-I Mavelikkara in Sessions Case No.43/1994 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.343 of 1995 dated 07.08.1997 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Karthikeyan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release. However, AD may consider the reports of the Probationary Officer and the Police.</p>
--	--

**42. C.No 3074 THANKAPPAN KANI S/O RAJAN KANI (Age: 64)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 29.03.1999 due to family dispute Thankappan Kani murdered his mother - in - law , Vallikanikari by inflicting wound injuries on her neck.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.748/2001 of the Aditonal District &amp; Sessions Court-(Fast Track Court-I) Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of 25,000/-i/d RI for 3 years u/s 302 of IPC. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 08 month and 17</p>

<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.111 of 2006 dated 10.12.2009</p>	<p>days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additonal District &amp; Sessions Court-(Fast Track Court-I) Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.748/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.111 of 2006 dated 10.12.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Thankappan Kani.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	---

**43.C.No 3111 SUBRAMANIYAN S/o VELU (Age: 70)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 08.10.2002 Subramaniyan due to suspicion on chastity of his wife Jeeja Bai, inflicted cut injuries on her neck and she succumbed to the injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.153/2005 of the Additonal District &amp; Sessions Court- (Adhoc) Fast Track Court-I Thrissur and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and fine of Rs.2,000/-i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 IPC. set of allowed.</p> <p>The last pages of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl Appeal No.780 of 2006 dated 24.02.2006 submitted with the case file are seen missing. Hence it is not possible to know whether there is any embargo in his premature release in appeal judgment .</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer has not recommend his release. The Police report is also adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 09 month and 23 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner is seen in the judgment of the convicting Court. Since the last pages of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl Appeal No.780 of 2006 dated 24.02.2006 submitted with the case file are seen missing, it is not possible to say whether there any stipulation in the appeal judgment against the prisoner. AD may be requested to verify he same. Subject to this, since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

671

**44. C.No. 3178 JOSE S/o CHACKO (Age: 71)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused Jose was having an illicit relation with a lady named Shantha and he on 27.01.1998 murdered Shantha by throttling and smothering.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.372/2001 of the Additional District &amp; Sessions Court, Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced to RI for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 3 years u/s 201 IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 07 month and 28 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Jose is seen in the judgment of the convicting Court.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**45. C.No. 3221 ABDUL KARIM S/o MAIDEEN KANNU (Age: 63)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 28.02.1997 Abdul karim who had illicit and financial relationship with Shereefa Beevi, due to previous enmity, stabbed her neck with a knife on the way to market.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No. 87/1998 of the Additional Sessions Court Fast Track -I, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs. 25,000/- i/d RI for 2 years u/s 302 of IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thiruvananthapuram recommended his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 06 month and 16 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble</p>

<p>was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.442 of 2004 dated 16.01.2007.</p>	<p>Additional Sessions Court- Fast Track -I, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No.87/1998 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No..442 of 2004 dated 16.01.2007 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Abdul kareem.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

**46.C.No. 3768 SURESH KUMAR S/o SURENDRAN (Age:47)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Suresh Kumar was the neighbour of the deceased lady Shobha who was married. Though on several occasions he had demanded her to have an illicit relationship with him but she refused and filed a complaint against the accused in the local police station for hurting her. On 10.08.2000 he trespassed into the house of Shobha and stabbed her to death.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.33/2002 of the Additional Sessions Court-I, Kollam and convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and Imprisonment for life u/s 449 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1200 of 2004 dated 10.04.2007.</p>	<p><u>The District Probation Officer and Police do not recommend his premature release.</u> Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 23 years, 06 month and 03 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p><u>It is seen that para 30 of the judgment of convicting court in SC.No.33/2002 contain a specific direction to the State Prison Review Committee that the release of prisoner even for parole shall not be considered by the committee without making sure that the accused has fully reformed himself and that no harm is likely to occur to any member of the society if he is released. On appeal, the High Court has not modified or annuled the directions contained in the convicting judgment.</u></p> <p><u>Hence, A/D may informed that the direction contained in the convicting court judgment and the remarks of the District Probation Officer and the Police may be considered. Subject to the above, AD may be</u></p>

673

informed that there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

**47. C.No. 2899 JOSE S/o MATHEW (Age: 69)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Jose is the second accused in this case in which there are 28 others. Sabu, the deceased person in this case was a known antisocial element. He had several criminal and narcotic cases against him. On 24.12.1996 the accused along with others conspired together to kill Sabu, trespassed into the house of Sabu and attacked him with iron rods and inflicted injuries to which Sabu succumbed.</p> <p>He was the 2nd accused in SC.No.131/2006 of the Sessions Court, Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs 25,000/- i/d SI for 1 year u/s 302 IPC and RI for 1 year and a fine of Rs.5000/-i/d Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 148 IPC RI for 6 months u/s 143 IPC RI for 1 month u/s 447 IPC. Sentences shall run concurrently. Setoff allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.276 of 2010 dated 04.12.2015.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Idukki recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 01 month and 22 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 131/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.276 of 2010 dated 04.12.2015 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Jose.</p> <p><u>However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict, to avoid the additional punishment that he would have to incur. Hence it is not clear whether the convict had remitted the fines to avoid the additional punishment. A/D may verify the same.</u></p> <p><u>The name of the accused recorded in the judgments is seen as 'Jose', but in the police &amp; Probation reports his name is seen to be recorded as 'Joseph". A/D may verify the disparity in name on different reports.</u></p>

	<u>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Jose.</u>
--	--

**48. C.No.3135 BABY S/o KURIAKOSE (Age:68)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to prevoius enmity with one Mohan @ Athira Mohan on running of a quarry in the area on 17.01.2010 1st accused with the help of 2nd accused hacked Mohan to death using a sword.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.408/2010 of the Principal Sessions Court, Ernakulam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs.3,00,000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.126 of 2014 dated 12.12.2018.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 04 month and 14 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 131/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.276 of 2010 dated 04.12.2015 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Baby.</p> <p>However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict, to avoid the additional punishment that he would have to incur. Hence it is not clear whether the convict had remitted the fines to avoid the additional punishment. A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release</p>

675

	to the prisoner .
--	-------------------

**49. C.No. 3220 THOMAS @ JOY S/o DEVASSYA (Age:55)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that there are three accused in this case. Thomas the third accused and the second accused are brothers of the deceased Skaria. On 16.03.2004 due to property dispute with Skaria the three accused attacked and injured him. The residents of that area took Skaria to the nearby hospital but he succumbed to the injuries.</p> <p>He was the 3rd accused in SC. No.70/2006 of the Additional Sessions Court, Pathanamthitta and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and SI for 6 months u/s 323 IPC. Sentences shall run concurrently. set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.2605 &amp; 2491 of 2009 dated 20.09.2014.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer and Police authorities had recommended his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 05 month and 02 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No.70/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.2605 of 2009 dated 20.09.2014. contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Thomas.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**50. C.No. 3428 AYYAPPADAS S/o VELAYUDHAN (Age: 41)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that the brother is the first accused in this case and his sister is the second accused. The accused and his sister used to quarrel with a person called Stanley about an ear ring. Stanley used to work in the local cardamom plantation. On 23/10/2003 Ayyappadas and his sister quarrelled with</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer and Police authorities recommended his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an</p>

Stanly and stabbed him with a knife. One Anu Ravi tried to prevent the first accused from attacking the victim but the accused injured him also. Stanley later died in the hospital.

He was the 1st accused in SC.No.528/2005 of the Sessions Court, Thodupuzha and convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs 10,000/- i/d SI for 6 months u/s 302 of IPC and RI for 7 years and fine of Rs. 5000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 307 IPC and RI for 1 month and a fine of Rs 500 i/d SI for 15 days u/s 341 IPC Imprisonment for 3 months and a fine of Rs 500 i/d SI for 15 days u/s 294(b) IPC and RI for 3months u/s 447 IPC.sentences shall run concurrently. set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1429 of 2009 dated 31.01.2014.

offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 03 month and 11 days as on 31.05.2024.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 528/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.429 of 2009 dated 31.01.2014 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Ayyappadas.

However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict, to avoid the additional punishment that he would have to incur. Hence it is not clear whether the convict had remitted the fines to avoid the additional punishment. A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Ayyappadas.

**51. C.No. 3523 JOY @ YUSUF @ MATHEW @ BRANDY JOY @ BABU @ KARIM**

**S/o THOMAS (Age:72)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused Joy used to work as a guard in Kolippada Estate. On 16/6/2005 a person by the named Shaju came to the Estate on his way to a hunting trip with a rifle. Joy who had an eye on the rifle murdered him by stabbing with a knife to gain possession of the rifle.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.146/2011 of the Additional Sessions Court, Adhoc Kalpetta and</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release.The Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. Thje</p>

677

convicted and sentenced RI for life and fine of Rs.10000/-i/d RI for 1 year u/s 302 IPC and RI for 7 years u/s 397 IPC and SI for 1 year u/s 324 IPC and RI for 1 year and fine of Rs..1000/- i/d SI for 3 monthsu/s 3 r/w 25 (1B) (a) of ArmsAct. sentences shall run concurrently. set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was partly allowed and sentenced u/s 3 r/w 25(1B)(a)of the Arms Act was setaside by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1195 of 2012 dated 11.02.2016.

prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 03 month and 18 days as on 31.05.2024.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, Adhoc Kalpetta in Sessions Case No.146/2011 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1195 of 2012 dated 11.02.2016. contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Joy.

However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict, to avoid the additional punishment that he would have to incur. Hence it is not clear whether the convict had remitted the fines to avoid the additional punishment. A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Joy.

**52. C.No. 2787 JOMON @ PLATINI S/o KUTTAPPAN (Age: 38)**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>Jomon is the first accused in this case.The Prosecution case is that on 14.02.2006 Jomon and others formed themselves into an unlawful assembly and assaulted Ressu and stabbed him to death with a knife.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.174/2007 of the Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Kottayam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs. 25,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 of IPC and Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 341 of IPC and Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 323 of IPC. sentences shall run concurrently. set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 08 month and 29 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Kottayam in Sessions Case No. 174/2007 nor</p>

<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.719 of 2009 dated 07.02.2014.</p>	<p>in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.719 of 2009 dated 07.02.2014 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Jomon.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

**53. C.No. 2810 SURESH S/o RAJU (Age: 37)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>Suresh is the second accused in this case. The Prosecution case is that on 14.02.2006 Suresh and others formed unlawful assembly and assaulted Ressu and stabbed him to death with a knife.</p> <p>He was the 2<sup>nd</sup> accused in SC.No.174/2007 of the Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Kottayam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs. 25,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 of IPC and Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 341 of IPC and Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 323 of IPC. sentences shall run concurrently. set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.719 of 2009 dated 07.02.2014.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 08 month and 02 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Kottayam in Sessions Case No.174/2007 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.719 of 2009 dated 07.02.2014 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Suresh.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**54. C.No. 2811 RAJESH S/o RAJU (Age:40)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>Rajesh is the third accused in the case. The Prosecution case is that on</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. But the Police</p>

67

<p>14.02.2006 Rajesh and others formed unlawful assembly and assaulted Ressu and stabbed him to death with a knife.</p> <p>He was the 3rd accused in SC.No.174/2007 of the Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Kottayam and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs. 25,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 302 of IPC and Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 341 of IPC and Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 323 of IPC. sentences shall run concurrently. set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.719 of 2009 dated 07.02.2014.</p>	<p>report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 08 month and 02 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc-I), Kottayam in Sessions Case No. 174/2007 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.719 of 2009 dated 07.02.2014 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Rajesh.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

**55. C.No. 3348 SURESH S/o ASHOKAN( Age: 45)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>Suresh is the third accused in this case. The Prosecution case is that in connection with the festival in Palakkal temple, Satheesan who is the deceased in this case along with his friends tied Suresh and the first accused to a telephone post at Nalumukku Junction and beat them up on the accusation of stealing coconuts. Due to this enmity on 22.04.97 Suresh and along with his friends gathered with deadly weapons and attacked Satheesan and his friends and murdered Satheesan.</p> <p>He was the 3rd accused in</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 07 month and 01 days as on</p>

<p>SC.No.172/2004 of the Additional Sessions Court Fast Track-III, Thiruvananthapuram clubbed with SC No. 1047/08 and convicted and sentenced to RI for life and fine of Rs.30,000/- i/d RI for 3 years u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC and RI fo 1 year u/s 147 IPC and RI for 2 years u/s 148 IPC and RI for 3 months u/s 143 of IPC. sentences shall run concurrently. set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.616 of 2009 dated 28.10.2009</p> <p>The Judgment of Highcourt was confirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1191 of 2010 dated 15.09.2016.</p>	<p>31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Fast Track-III, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No. 172/2004 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.616 of 2009 dated 28.10.2009 or in the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Suresh.</p> <p><u>However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict, to avoid the additional punishment that he would have to incur. Hence it is not clear whether the convict had remitted the fines to avoid the additional punishment. A/D may verify the same.</u></p> <p><u>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Suresh.</u></p>
---	---

**56.C.No. 3684 VIJAYAN S/o PAPPU (Age: 54)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that the deceased Jayesh is the brother-in-law of Vijayan. Vijayan had a quarrel with his wife and took her and children to her parental house. On 18.01.2006 the accused went to his wife's house and called his children who refused to accompany him. There occurred a quarrel among his father in law, brother in law and him and during this he stabbed his brother-in-law to death with a knife.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.322/2007 of the Additional</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 08 month and 04 days as on</p>

GSR

<p>Sessions Court II, Ernakulam and convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life and fine of Rs 50,000/- i/d Imprisonment for 1 year u/s 302 IPC and RI 3 months u/s 447 IPC . sentences shall run concurrently. set off allowed.</p> <p><u>He was the accused in SC.No.346/2008 of the Additional Sessions Court I, Ernakulam and convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for a period of 3 years U/s 195A &amp; 498 IPC.</u></p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court in SC.No.322/2007 was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.207 of 2010 dated 25.05.2015.</p>	<p>31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court II, Ernakulam in Sessions Case No 322/2007 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.207 of 2010 dated 25.05.2015 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Vijayan.</p> <p>The prisoner is also convicted in SC 346/2008 of the Addl, Sessions Court I, Eranakulam and sentenced to imprisonment for a period of 3 yearsu/s 498A and 195A IPC. As the prisoner has completed only 15 years, 08 month and 04 days as on 31.05.2024, AD may examine whether the period of imprisonment in the aforesaid case has also been included therein.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	---

**57. C.No. 3793 SASI S/o KOLAPPAN (Age: 61)**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 08.01.2008, accused's wife Sarala questioned him for consuming alcohol in the house and he beat his wife on her face and kicked her on the abdomen and poured kerosene on her body and set her on fire after pushing her on the floor.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.894/2010 of the Additional Sessions Court V, Thiruvananthapuram and convicted and sentenced imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal</p>	<p><u>The District Probation Officer and Police has not recommended his release.</u> Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 07 month and 20 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of</p>

was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.482 of 2014 dated 12.10.2017.

the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court V, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No. 894/2010 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.482 of 2014 dated 12.10.2017 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

683 ✓

## ANNEXURE II

1. As per file no. E1/614/2024/Law, this Department had offered legal opinion on the recommendations of the Advisory Committee, Open Prison and Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri held on 16.08.2024 that recommended premature release of 57 prisoners. Out of the aforementioned 57 cases, this Department had offered remarks for examining cases of 14 prisoners before granting premature release. Now AD has requested to examine the above mentioned cases and to offer specific remarks in this regard.

2. This Department has examined files of the 14 convicts recommended by the Jail Advisory Board and with all case files thoroughly. On the basis of a thorough examination of the details regarding the prisoners available in the file and additional documents, Administrative Department may be informed as follows:

Name of the Prisoner	Previous Recommendation	Present Recommendation
No. 3736 VINU @ VINOJ S/o Sasi (Serial No. 34)	<i>The report of District Probation Officer and report of Police authorities did not recommend his premature release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release. As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 03 month and 11 days as on 31.05.2024. Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court Kottayam in Sessions Case No.145/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.86 of 2006 dated 10.12.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Vinu. Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release. But A/D may</i>	District Probation Officer recommends release of the prisoner. But the police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.  The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 03 month and 11 days as on 31.05.2024. There are no adverse remarks against his premature release neither in the judgment of the Sessions Court nor of the High Court. Since he has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

	<i>consider the adverse reports of probation officer and police authorities before taking further action on his premature release.</i>	
C.No.2346 JOSEPH @ JAMES S/o VARGHESE (Serial No. 36)	<p>The District Probation Officer Malappuram did not recommend his premature release. Police report is not seen made available with the case file. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 07 month and 19 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.99/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1996 of 2003 dated 23.06.2006 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Joseph.</p> <p>The report of the police authority is not available. Hence it is not clear whether they recommend or not. A/D may verify the same. Subject to this there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>	<p>The report of the District Probation Officer and Police report are adverse. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 07 month and 19 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>There are no adverse remarks against his premature release neither in the judgment of the Sessions Court or of the High Court. Since he has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, AD may be informed that there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
C. No.3063 KARTHIKEYAN S/o PADMANABHAN (Serial No. 41)	<p><i>The District Probation Officer Alappuzha did not recommend his release. The Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release. As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from</i></p>	<p>The report of the District Probation Officer and Police report are adverse. However the prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 29 years, 05 month and 23 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p><i>There are no adverse remarks against his premature release, neither in the judgment of the Sessions Court nor of the High Court. Since he has completed the</i></p>

68

	<p>prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 29 years, 05 month and 23 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court-I Mavelikkara in Sessions Case No. 43/1994 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.343 of 1995 dated 07.08.1997 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Karthikeyan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>	<p>minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, AD may be informed that there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
<p>C.No. 3111 SUBRAMANI YAN S/o VELU (Serial No. 43)</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer not recommend his release. The Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release. As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 09 month and 23 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>No adverse remarks on the premature release of Subramaniyan is seen in the judgment of the convicting Court.</p> <p>The last pages of the copy of the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.780 of 2006 dated 24.02.2006 submitted with the case file are seen missing. Hence it is not clear whether there any embargo in his premature release in appeal judgement. Subject to this there is no</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer has not recommend his release. The Police report is also adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 09 month and 23 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Additional District &amp; Sessions Court- (Adhoc) Fast Track Court-I, Thrissur in SC.No.153/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.780 of 2006 dated 24.02.2010 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Subramaniyan.</p>

	<i>legal impediment in his premature release.</i>	Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS there is no legal impediment in his premature release.
C.No. 3768 SURESH KUMAR S/o SURENDRAN (Serial No. 46)	<p>The District Probation Officer and Police had not recommend his premature release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 23 years, 06 month and 03 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>It is seen that the para 30 of the judgment of convicting court in SC.No.33/2002 contain a specific direction to the State prison review committee that the release of prisoner even for parole shall not be considered by the committee without making sure that the accused has fully reformed himself and no harm is likely to occur to any member of the society if he is released. But no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Sureshkumar is seen in the Appeal judgment.</p> <p>A/D may be informed that subject to the satisfaction of the direction in the convicting court judgment there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Kollam has recommended his premature release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 23 years, 06 month and 03 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>It is seen that para 30 of the judgment of convicting court in SC.No.33/2002 contain a specific direction to the State Prison Review Committee that the release of prisoner even for parole shall not be considered by the committee without making sure that the accused has fully reformed himself and that no harm is likely to occur to any member of the society if he is released. On appeal, the High Court has not modified or annuled the directions contained in the convicting judgment.</p> <p><i>Hence, A/D may informed that the direction contained in the convicting court judgment may be considered before issuing orders in this regard. Subject to the above, AD may be informed that there are no legal impediments in his</i></p>

		<i>premature release.</i>
C.No. 3793 SASI S/o KOLAPPAN (Serial No. 57)	<p>The District Probation Officer and Police had not recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 07 month and 20 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court V, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No. 174/2007 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl.Appeal No.482 of 2014 dated 12.10.2017 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Sasi. Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer and Police had not recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court V, Thiruvananthapuram in Sessions Case No. 174/2007 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.482 of 2014 dated 12.10.2017 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Sasi. Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
C No. 2277 BALAN S/o VAMAN (Serial No. 1)	<p><i>The District Probation Officer, Kollam recommended for his release. Police Report is not available for perusal. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</i></p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 23 years, 01months and 12 days as on 31.05.2024.</i></p> <p><i>Neither in the judgment of h e</i></p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam recommended his release. The Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 23 years, 01 months and 12 days as</i></p>

	<p><i>Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam in Sessions Case No.458/2001 dated nor the judgment of the High Court in Crl. Appeal No.958/2003 dated 07.11.2005 contain any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of the prisoner.</i></p> <p><i>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</i></p>	<p><i>on 31.05.2024.</i></p> <p><i>In the judgment of the Hon. Additional Sessions Court – I, Kollam in S.C.No. 458/2001, it is stated that the State Prison Review Committee shall be extremely cautious whenever his release after serving the mandatory term is taken up for consideration and at no circumstance he shall be released without making themselves sure that the accused has fully reformed himself and no harm is likely to occur to any member of the society if he is released.</i></p> <p><i>Subject to the above, since the prisoner has undergone the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, AD may be informed that there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</i></p>
<p>C. No.2672 VIMALRAJ S/o VISWASAM(Serial No. 6)</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thoothukudi and police have recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 08 months as on 31.05.2024.</i></p> <p><i>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court -I, Kollam in Sessions Case No..29/2006 dated 16.11.2006 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.80/2007 dated 10.10.2011 does not contain any adverse remarks on the</i></p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thoothukudi and police have recommended his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 08 months as on 31.05.2024.</i></p> <p><i>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam in Sessions Case No. .29/2006 dated 16.11.2006 and the Hon'ble High</i></p>

	<p><i>premature release of Sri.VimalRaj. Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</i></p>	<p><i>Court in Crl. Appeal No.80/2007 dated 10.10.2011 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. VimalRaj.</i></p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
<p>C No.3075 AJAY JOHNS S/o NESAMANI (Serial No. 7)</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 07 months and 27 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court -I, Kollam in Sessions Case No.29/2006 dated 16.11.2006 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.80/2007 dated 10.10.2011 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Ajay Johns.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thoothukudi and police have recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 07 months as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - I, Kollam in Sessions Case No.29/2006 dated 16.11.2006 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No. 80/2007 dated 10.10.2011 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Vimal Raj.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
<p>C No.2825 CHENNIYAPPA N S/o ARUNACHALA</p>	<p><i>The District Probation Officer recommended for his release. But Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended</i></p>	<p><i>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. But Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his</i></p>

<p>GOUNDER( Serial No. 10)</p>	<p>his release.</p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 02 months and 11 days as on 31.05.2024.</i></p> <p><i>Neither in the judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court (FastTrack-II), Palakkad in Sessions Case No. 430/2002 nor of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1977/2005 dated 15.10.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Chenniyappan.</i></p> <p><i>The prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release. But he is also seen convicted in Abkari offence SC case No.545/2006 by Hon'ble Addl.Asst. Sessions Court, Palakkad. Hence AD may consider the aforesaid sentence too before issuing orders in his case.</i></p>	<p>release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone at least 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 02 months and 11 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court (Fast Track-II), Palakkad in Sessions Case No. 430/2002 nor of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1977/2005 dated 15.10.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Chenniyappan.</p> <p>The prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p> <p>The prisoner is seen convicted in Abkari offence SC case No.545/2006 by Hon'ble Addl. Asst. Sessions Court, Palakkad. Since the sentence was inflicted while the prisoner was undergoing life imprisonment and the sentence of the Abkari Court does not contain any specific direction regarding undergoing of the sentence, the prisoner is eligible for provisions contained in Sec. 467(2) of BNSS. In the light of the above AD may be informed that there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--------------------------------	--	--

<p>C No.3629 AJITH KUMAR @ AJI S/o GOPI(Serial No. 32)</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Ernakulam recommended for his release. It is to be noted that the Police report submitted for consideration by the Review Committee is with regard to their recommendation on granting ordinary leave to the prisoner and not on his premature release. More over the report submitted with the physical case file does not contain any date of issue of it. However, Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 05 month and 17 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court II, Ernakulam in Sessions Case No.802/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl.Appeal No. 2296 of 2006 dated 21.01.2011. contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, subject to the verification of police report there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Ernakulam recommended for his release. But Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p><i>The judgments of the Hon'ble Sessions Court II, Ernakulam in Sessions Case No.802/2005 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No..2296 of 2006 dated 21.01.2011 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</i></p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
<p>C. No.3773 HARIKRISHN AN S/o MADHAVAN (Serial No. 35)</p>	<p><i>The District Probation Officer Alappuzha recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</i></p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person</i></p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Alappuzha recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life</i></p>

	<p>for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 22 years, 05 month and 05 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additonal Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Alappuzha in Sessions Case No.525/2002 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.781 of 2004 dated 18.09.2007 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Harikrishnan.</p> <p>The convicting judgment does not mention about substantive sentence. Since the judgment is silent on the same, it may be presumed to have been rejected. The period stipulated u/s 475 BNSS and the period specified in the substantive sentence shall also be considered by the AD.</p>	<p>is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 22 years, 05 month and 05 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additonal Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Alappuzha in Sessions Case No.525/2002 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.781 of 2004 dated 18.09.2007 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Harikrishnan.</p> <p>The convicting judgment does not mention about substantive sentence. Since the judgment is silent on the same, it shall be presumed to have been rejected and as per Sec 25 BNSS (Sec. 31 CrPC), the sentences have to be undergone consecutively. The Director General of Prison have reported that the prisoner has completed the period stipulated u/s 475 BNSS and the prisoner has undergone imprisonment of 23 years, 08 month as on 26.08.2025 ie, after completing the term sentence for seven years the prisoner has completed more than 16 years.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, and other substantive sentence there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
C. No.235	The District Probation Officer	The District Probation Officer

<p>MUHAMMAD HANEEFA A S/o MEERAN PILLAI (Serial No. 38)</p>	<p><i>recommended for his release. Police report is not seen made available with the case file.. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</i></p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 21 years, 04 month as on 31.05.2024.</i></p> <p><i>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.99/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1996 of 2003 dated 23.06.2006 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Muhammad Haneefa.</i></p> <p><i>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</i></p>	<p><i>recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</i></p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 21 years, 04 month as on 31.05.2024.</i></p> <p><i>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court Fast Track (Adhoc-I) Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No.99/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1996 of 2003 dated 23.06.2006 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Muhammad Haneefa.</i></p> <p><i>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</i></p>
<p>C.No. 2899 JOSE MATHEW (Serial No. 47)</p>	<p><i>The District Probation Officer, Idukki recommended for his release. But the Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.</i></p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual</i></p>	<p><i>The District Probation Officer, Idukki recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</i></p> <p><i>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years</i></p>

<p>imprisonment of 14 years, 01 month and 22 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 131/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.276 of 2010 dated 04.12.2015 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Jose. However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict, to avoid the additional punishment that he would have to incur. Hence it is not clear whether the convict had remitted the fines to avoid the additional punishment. A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>The name of the accused recorded in the judgments is seen as 'Jose', but in the police &amp; Probation reports his name is seen to be recorded as 'Joseph". A/D may verify the disparity in name on different reports. In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine.</p>	<p>of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 01 month and 22 days as on 31.05.2024.</p> <p>Neither the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thodupuzha in Sessions Case No. 131/2006 nor the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.276 of 2010 dated 04.12.2015 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. Jose.</p> <p>The Director General of Prison has reported that his sentence warrant lists him as Jose and his identification documents list him as Joseph and he has not paid the fine imposed on the convict, to avoid the additional punishment that he would have to incur. Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, he is eligible for remission on substantive sentence imposed on him.</p>
---	---

NOTES FOR CIRCULATION

70) This file deals with the recommendation of Jail Advisory Committee of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri held on 16.08.2024 for the premature release of prisoners.

71) As per Rule 462 of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014 Jail Advisory committees are constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, Prison for Women, Women Open Prison and High Security Prison to make recommendation for the premature release of prisoners. The Jail Advisory committee of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri held on 16.08.2024 recommended 57 prisoners for being considered for premature release.

72) The Council of Ministers considered the matter on 28.01.2026 and decided vide item no.3585 to advise the Hon'ble Governor to grant premature release to 53 prisoners mentioned below, except C No.3063 Karthikeyan, C No. 3793 Sasi, whose Probation reports are adverse to release, C No. 2825 Chenniyappan (Expired) and C No. 2761 Rajan (already released) after remitting their remaining period of substantive sentence in exercise of powers conferred under Article 161 of the Constitution of India, subject to the conditions laid down in Rule 470 of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, the recommendation of Jail Advisory Committee of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Nettukaltheri held on 16.08.2024 and the remarks of Law Department:

1. C No. 2277 Balan S/o Vaman
2. C No. 2510 Murugan S/o Subayya
3. C No.2521 Shafi S/o Maidheen
4. C No.2583 Gopakumar S/o Chellappan Assari
5. C No.2601 Linu Jacob S/o Ponnachan
6. C No.2672 Vimalraj S/o Viswasam
7. C No.3075 Ajay Johns S/o Nesamani
8. C No.2790 George Chacko S/o Varghese Chacko
9. C No.2789 Joseph Chacko S/o Varghese Chacko

10. C No.2829 Shiburaj S/o Balakrishnan
11. C No.2837 Prakasan S/o Chakrapani
12. C No.3772 Anson S/o Thomas
13. C No.3065 Anpurajan S/o Jayaraj
14. C No.3269 Anand Sabariraj S/o Irudhayaraj
15. C No.3078 Joy S/o Kuttappan
16. C No.3081 Soman S/o Narayanan
17. C No.3177 Babu S/o Bahuleyan
18. C No.3179 Manikkuttan S/o Parameswaran
19. C No.3184 Varghese S/o Chacko
20. C No.3188 Antony S/o Devasya
21. C No.3206 Kunjumon S/o Varkey
22. C No.3231 Rajan S/o Appukuttan
23. C No.3286 Ramachandran S/o Bhaskaran
24. C No.3323 Suresh S/o Krishnan
25. C No.3381 Raju S/o Anthonis
26. C No.3558 Rajan S/o Chellayya
27. C No.3574 Biju S/o Soman
28. C No.3590 Joy S/o Varghese
29. C No. 3609 Krishnankutty S/o Janardhanan Pillai
30. C No.3623 Nagaraj S/o Chellapandy
31. C No.3629 Ajith Kumar S/o Gopi
32. C No.3654 Manoj Kumar S/o Chandan Malgi
33. C No.3736 Vinu S/o Sasi
34. C No.3773 Harikrishnan S/o Madhavan
35. C No.2346 Joseph S/o Varghees
36. C No.3755 Shamsuddin S/o Aliyarkutty
37. C No.2351 Muhammad Haneefa S/o Meeran Pillai
38. C No.2838 Viswakumar S/o Raghavan
39. C.No 3074 Thankappan Kani S/o Rajan Kani
40. C.No 3111 Subramaniyan S/o Velu

699

No.B1/338/2024-Home

Home(B)Department

- 41. C.No. 3178 Jose S/o Chacko
- 42. C.No. 3221 Abdul Karim S/o Maideen Kannu
- 43. C.No. 3768 Suresh Kumar S/o Surendran
- 44. C.No. 2899 Jose S/o Mathew
- 45. C.No.3135 Baby S/o Kuriakose
- 46. C.No. 3220 Thomas S/o Devassya
- 47. C.No. 3428 Ayyappadas S/o Velayudhan
- 48. C.No. 3523 Joy S/o Thomas
- 49. C.No. 2787 Jomon S/o Kuttappan
- 50. C.No. 2810 Suresh S/o Raju
- 51. C No. 2811 Rajesh S/o Raju
- 52. C.No. 3348 Suresh S/o Ashokan
- 53. C.No. 3684 Vijayan S/o Pappu

73) Hence, file may be circulated to the Hon'ble Governor to grant premature release to the above 53 prisoners, after remitting their remaining period of substantive sentence in exercise of powers conferred under Article 161 of the Constitution of India.

Sh  
31/1/26

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
2/2/26

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
2/2/26  
**SINDHU T. S**  
Joint Secretary to Govt.  
Home Department  
Govt. Secretariat  
Thiruvananthapuram-1  
Phone : 0471 - 2518268

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
2/2/26  
**BIJEESH B. GOPAN**  
Under Secretary to Government  
~~Forest & Wildlife~~ Department  
Secretariat, Government of Kerala  
Thiruvananthapuram

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
2/2/26

**BISHWANATH SINHA IAS**  
Additional Chief Secretary  
Home & Vigilance Department  
Government of Kerala  
Thiruvananthapuram

JS  
ACS

Hon'ble CM

Hon'ble Governor



*[Handwritten Signature]*  
2/3/26  
**GOVERNOR**

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
4/2/26  
പിണറായി വിജയൻ  
മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി