



GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

Abstract

Prisons - Recommendation of the Jail Advisory Committee of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Cheemeni - Premature release of prisoners - Orders issued.

HOME (B) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(Ms)No.57/2026/HOME Dated,Thiruvananthapuram, 09-03-2026

Read :- Letter No. WP1-23438/2024/PrHQ, dated 09.10.2024, 24.04.2025, 26.04.2025,04.07.2025 & 18.11.2025 , Letter No.KPCS/1045/2025/WP1 dated 29.09.2025 and Letter No No.KPCS/6503/2025/WP1 dated 10.01.2025 of the Director General, Prisons and Correctional Services.

ORDER

As per rule 462 and 463 of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014 , Advisory Committees are constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, Prison for Women and High Security Prison to make recommendations to the Government for the premature release of prisoners.

2. The Jail Advisory Committee of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Cheemeni, which met on 07.08.2024, recommended 36 prisoners for premature release. The Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services, as per the letters read above, has forwarded the minutes of the meeting of the Advisory Committee, along with all supporting documents in respect of the prisoners who have been recommended for premature release.

3. Government have examined the matter in detail and are pleased to order the premature release of the following 33 (Thirty Three) prisoners of Open Prison & Correctional Home, Cheemeni, excluding C No. 321 Prakasan (already released) and C No.193 Rajan & C No. 319 Sivan, whose probation reports are not favourable, by remitting their remaining period of substantive sentence, in exercise of the powers conferred under Article 161 of the Constitution of India and subject to the conditions laid down in Rule 470 of the Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, and on condition that the release will be effected only on payment of fine, if any, or after undergoing the default sentence;

1. C.No. 35 Viswanathan
2. C.No. 106 Ramachandran
3. C.No. 132 Cholakkal Parangodath Alavi
4. C.No. 180 Kurikkal Alavi
5. C.No. 216 Thomas
6. C.No. 224 Mathai
7. C.No. 239 Paramban Pramod
8. C.No. 265 Ashta
9. C.No. 272 Babu
10. C.No.340 Sivan
- 11.C.No.346 Raju
12. C.No.643 Rajesh
13. C.No. 268 Chandran
14. C.No.369 Samikutty
15. C.No.370 Muhammad
16. C.No.371 Murugan
17. C.No.384 Wilson
18. C.No.469 Muhammad Hassan
19. C.No.598 Jabir
20. C.No.602 Jose
21. C.No.615 Balasubramanian
22. C.No.618 Avuthi
23. C.No.640 Krishnankutty
24. C.No.649 Augustine
25. C.No.651 Krishna
26. C.No.857 Chellaraj
27. C.No.860 Unnikrishnan
28. C.No.887 H. Raghavan
29. C.No.896 K.M.Raju
30. C.No. 899 Dasan
31. C.No.913 Basheer
32. C.No.943 Unnikrishnan
33. C.No.980 Babu

(By order of the Governor)
BISHWANATH SINHA
ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY

Additional Chief Secretary to Hon'ble Governor, Lok Bhavan,
Thiruvananthapuram
The State Police Chief, Thiruvananthapuram
The Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services,

Thiruvananthapuram (with case files)
Superintendent, Open Prison & Correctional Home, Cheemeni
General Administration (SC) Department
Law Department (Vide OPN-E1/615/2024-LAW dated 18.02.2025)
Information Officer, Web & New Media division, I&PRD
Stock file.

Forwarded /By order

Signed by

Amina B

Section Officer

Date: 10-03-2026 11:08:46

175



രഹസ്യം

കേരള സർക്കാർ

41

മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗത്തിന്റെ നടപടിക്കുറിപ്പുകൾ

തീയതി : 11-02-2026

ഫയൽ നം. ബി1/354/2024/ആഭ്യന്തരം.

ഇനം നം: 3655

വിഷയം : ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ് - ജയിലുകൾ - ചീമേനി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ ട് കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 07.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപഭോഗ സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത്.

തീരുമാനം : കുറിപ്പിലെ നിർദ്ദേശം അംഗീകരിച്ചു.

(ഒപ്പ്)
പിണറായി വിജയൻ
മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി

(ശരിപ്പകർപ്പ്)

ഡോ. എ. ജയതിലക്
ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി

അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി, ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ്.

70

കേരള സർക്കാർ
(ശ്രീ. പിണറായി വിജയൻ മന്ത്രിസഭ)
മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പ്

1. ഫയൽ നമ്പർ	ബി1/354/2024/ആഭ്യന്തരം
2. വകുപ്പ്	ആഭ്യന്തര (ബി) വകുപ്പ്
3. വിഷയം	ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ് - ജയിലുകൾ- ചീമേനി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 07.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് സംബന്ധിച്ച്
4. മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിൽ സമർപ്പിക്കാനുള്ള മുഖ്യമന്ത്രിയുടെ ഉത്തരവ് തീയതി	03.06.2025
5. (i) ഇത് സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യതയുള്ളതാണോ ? (ii) ഇത് സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യതയുള്ളതാണെങ്കിൽ ധനവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ ?	അല്ല ബാധകമല്ല
6. മറ്റേതെങ്കിലും വകുപ്പുമായി ആലോചിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ; ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ?	ഉണ്ട്, നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധമായി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്
7. കുറിപ്പ് സമർപ്പിച്ച ജോയിന്റ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീമതി സിന്ധു. റ്റി. എസ്
8. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീ. ബിശ്വനാഥ് സിൻഹ
9. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	03.02.2026
10. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ഡോ. എ. ജയതിലക്
11. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	04.02.2026
12. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച മന്ത്രിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീ. പിണറായി വിജയൻ
13. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് മന്ത്രി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	06.02.2026
14. കുറിപ്പിന്റെ പകർപ്പുകൾ സമർപ്പിച്ച തീയതി	10.02.2026
15. മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗം തീരുമാനമെടുത്ത തീയതി	
16. തീരുമാനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച സർക്കാർ ഉത്തരവ് / കത്തിന്റെ നമ്പരം തീയതിയും	

മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പ്

ചീമേനി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 07.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് സംബന്ധിച്ചുള്ളതാണ് ഈ കുറിപ്പ്.

2. 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസൺകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗ്ഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടത്തിലെ ചട്ടം 462, 463 പ്രകാരം തടവുകാരുടെ അകാല വിടുതൽ സംബന്ധിച്ച ശുപാർശകൾ സർക്കാരിന് സമർപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനായി എല്ലാ സെൻട്രൽ ജയിലുകളിലും തുറന്ന ജയിലുകളിലും വനിതാ ജയിലുകളിലും വനിതാ തുറന്ന ജയിലിലും, അതീവ സുരക്ഷാ ജയിലിലും ഉപദേശക സമിതികൾ രൂപീകരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ചീമേനി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി 07.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ യോഗം ചേർന്ന് 36 അന്തേവാസികൾക്ക് അകാല വിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് ശുപാർശ ചെയ്തു.

3. ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യപ്പെട്ട തടവുകാരുടെ കേസ് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു.:

ക്രമ നം	കൺവിക്ട് നം. & പേര് (വയസ്സ്)	കുറ്റകൃത്യം	ശിക്ഷ	പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ട്	പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട്	31.12.2023 തീയതിയിൽ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയ ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ്	31.12.2023 തീയതിയിൽ ഇളവ് ഉൾപ്പെടെ പൂർത്തിയാക്കിയ ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ്	ഹൈൻ അടച്ചതിന്റെ വിവരം
1	സി.നം. 35 വിശ്വനാഥൻ വയസ്സ്: 63	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 9 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 3 മാസം 24 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
2	സി.നം. 106 രാമചന്ദ്രൻ വയസ്സ് : 60	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 10 മാസം 3 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 8 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	ഹൈൻ ഇല്ല
3	സി.നം.132 ചോലക്കൽ പാങ്ങോടത്ത് അലവി വയസ്സ് : 53	ഒരാളെ സംഘംചേർന്ന് കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 5 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 5 മാസം 8 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
4	സി.നം.180 കരിക്കൾ അലവി വയസ്സ് : 56	സഹോദരിയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 1 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 7 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

5	സി.നം.193 രാജൻ വയസ്സ് : 52	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	19 വർഷം 9 മാസം 8 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 9 മാസം 4 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
6	സി.നം.216 തോമസ് വയസ്സ് : 62	മുൻ വൈരാഗ്യ ത്താലുള്ള കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 11 മാസം 9 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 7 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല
7	സി.നം.224 മത്തായി വയസ്സ് : 61	വൈരാഗ്യ ത്തോടെയു ള്ള കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC, 63 Abkari Act)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 2 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 11 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
8	സി.നം.239 പറമ്പൻ പ്രമോദ് വയസ്സ് : 46	രാഷ്ട്രീയ കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 143, 147, 148 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 9 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 7 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
9	സി.നം. 265 അഷ്ട വയസ്സ് : 54	രാഷ്ട്രീയ കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 326, 324, 449, 143, 147, 148 IPC, 25(1) (a)B(a) of Arms act)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 1 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 4 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
10	സി.നം. 272 ബാബു വയസ്സ്: 47	രാഷ്ട്രീയ കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 , 326, 324, 449, 143, 147, 148 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 4 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 10 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
11	സി.നം.340 ശിവൻ വയസ്സ് : 42	രാഷ്ട്രീയ കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 326, 324, 449, 143, 147, 148 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 1 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 8 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
12	സി.നം.346 രാജു വയസ്സ് : 55	രാഷ്ട്രീയ കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 326, 324, 449, 143, 147, 148 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 1 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 5 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

13	സി.നം.643 രാജേഷ് വയസ്സ് : 46	രാഷ്ട്രീയ കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 326, 324, 449, 143, 147, 148 IPC, 25(1) (a)B(a) of Arms act)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 4 മാസം 24 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 9 മാസം 1 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
14	സി.നം. 268 ചന്ദ്രൻ വയസ്സ് : 64	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	21 വർഷം 4 മാസം 26 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 8 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
15	സി.നം.319 ശിവൻ വയസ്സ് : 55	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 449, 392 IPC, 357(3) CrPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	25 വർഷം 4 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	33 വർഷം 1 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
16	സി.നം.321 പ്രകാശൻ വയസ്സ് : 51	മാതാവിനെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 307 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 3 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	26 വർഷം 2 മാസം 7 ദിവസം	വിടുതൽ ചെയ്തു.
17	സി.നം.369 സാമിക്കുട്ടി വയസ്സ് : 69	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	അനുകൂല ം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 5 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 5 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല
18	സി.നം.370 മുഹമ്മദ് വയസ്സ് : 67	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 307 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 7 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 6 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
19	സി.നം.371 മുരഗൻ വയസ്സ് : 53	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 4 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 1 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല
20	സി.നം. 384 വിൽസൺ വയസ്സ് : 56	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 449, 392 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 8 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 7 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല
21	സി.നം.469 മുഹമ്മദ് ഹസ്സൻ വയസ്സ് : 65	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	അനുകൂല ം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 2 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 4 മാസം 7 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

22	സി.നം.598 ജാബീർ വയസ്സ് : 47	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 1 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 5 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
23	സി.നം.602 ജോസ് വയസ്സ് : 60	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 11 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 8 മാസം 9 ദിവസം	ഹൈൻ ഇല്ല
24	സി.നം.615 ബാലസുബ്രമ ണ്യൻ വയസ്സ് : 68	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 498 A IPC)	അനുകൂല ം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 7 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 6 മാസം 1 ദിവസം	ഹൈൻ ഇല്ല
25	സി.നം.618 അവുതി വയസ്സ് : 39	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 449, 392 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 1 മാസം 2 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 2 മാസം 26 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
26	സി.നം.640 കൃഷ്ണൻകുട്ടി വയസ്സ് : 59	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 5 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 2 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	ഹൈൻ ഇല്ല
27	സി.നം.649 അഗസ്റ്റിൻ വയസ്സ് : 72	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 23 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 6 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	ഹൈൻ ഇല്ല
28	സി.നം.651 കൃഷ്ണ വയസ്സ് : 40	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 394 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 10 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 10 മാസം 8 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
29	സി.നം.857 ചെല്ലരാജ് വയസ്സ് : 58	കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 449 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 5 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 1 മാസം 8 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
30	സി.നം.860 ഉണ്ണികൃഷ്ണൻ വയസ്സ് : 49	രാഷ്ട്രീയ കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 341 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 2 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 2 മാസം 9 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
31	സി.നം.887 എച്ച്. രാഘവൻ വയസ്സ് : 48	മകളെ അതിക്രമമാ യി കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 452 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 4 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	18 വർഷം 6 മാസം 26 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

32	സി.നം.896 കെ.എം.രാജു വയസ്സ് : 51	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 4 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	18 വർഷം 10 മാസം 1 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
33	സി.നം. 899 ദാസൻ വയസ്സ്: 63	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 449, 392 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 7 മാസം 2 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 6 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
34	സി.നം.913 ബഷീർ വയസ്സ്: 60	8 വയസുള്ള കുഞ്ഞിനെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 2 മാസം 5 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 7 മാസം 5 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
35	സി.നം.943 ഉണ്ണികൃഷ്ണൻ വയസ്സ് : 49	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 5 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 5 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
36	സി.നം.980 ബാബു വയസ്സ് : 52	കൊലപാത കം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 449, 458, 447 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 10 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	20 വർഷം 1 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല

4. ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട അന്തേവാസികൾ ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കിയിട്ടുണ്ടോയെന്ന് പരിശോധിച്ചതിന് വിധേയമായി അകാല വിടുതലിന് അർഹരാണെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്. നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധമായി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.

5. അകാലവിടുതലുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട്, കുറ്റകൃത്യത്തിന്റെ സ്വഭാവം മാത്രം പരിഗണിച്ച് തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ നിഷേധിക്കുന്നതിനെതിരായി **ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതിയുടെ WP(Crl) 520/2022 നമ്പർ കേസിൽ Joseph Vs State of Kerala, 21.09.2023 തീയതിയിലെ** വിധിന്യായത്തിൽ നിരീക്ഷണം നടത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്. പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ പ്രസക്തഭാഗം ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു:

".....33. Classifying to use a better word, typecasting convicts, through guidelines which are inflexible, based on their crime committed in the distant past can result in the real danger of overlooking the reformatory potential of each individual convict. Grouping types of convicts, based on the offences they were found to have committed, as a starting point, may be justified. However, the prison laws in India - read with Articles 72 and 161 encapsulate a strong underlying reformatory purpose. The practical impact of a guideline, which bars consideration of a premature release request by a convict who has served over 20 or 25 years, based entirely on the nature of crime committed in the distant past, would be to crush the life force out of such individual, altogether. Thus, for instance, a 19 or 20 year old individual convicted for a crime, which finds place in the list which bars premature release, altogether, would mean that such person would never see freedom, and would die within the prison walls. There is a peculiarity of continuing to imprison one who committed a crime years earlier who might well have changed totally since that time. This is the condition of many people serving very long sentences. They may have killed someone (or done something much less serious, such as commit a narcotic drug related offences or be

...serving a life sentence for other non-violent crimes) as young individuals and remain incarcerated 20 or more years later. Regardless of the morality of continued punishment, one may question its rationality. The question is, what is achieved by continuing to punish a person who recognises the wrongness of what they have done, who no longer identifies with it, and who bears little resemblance to the person they were years earlier? It is tempting to say that they are no longer the same person. Yet, the insistence of guidelines, obdurately, to not look beyond the red lines drawn by it and continue in denial to consider the real impact of prison good behavior, and other relevant factors (to ensure that such individual has been rid of the likelihood of causing harm to society) results in violation of Article 14 of the Constitution. Excluding the relief of premature release to prisoners who have served extremely long periods of incarceration, not only crushes their spirit, and instils despair, but signifies society's resolve to be harsh and unforgiving. The idea of rewarding, a prisoner for good conduct is entirely negated.

34. In the petitioner's case, the 1958 Rules are clear a life sentence, is deemed to be 20 years of incarceration. After this, the prisoner is entitled to premature release. 28 The guidelines issued by the NHRC pointed out to us by the counsel for the petitioner, are also relevant to consider that of mandating release, after serving 25 years as sentence (even in heinous crimes). At this juncture, redirecting the petitioner who has already undergone over 26 years of incarceration (and over 35 years of punishment with remission), before us to undergo, yet again, consideration before the Advisory Board, and thereafter, the state government for premature release - would be a cruel outcome, like being granted only a salve to fight a raging fire, in the name of procedure. The grand vision of the rule of law and the idea of fairness is then swept away, at the altar of procedure which this court has repeatedly held to be a "handmaiden of justice".

35. Rule 376 of the 2014 Rules prescribes that prisoners shall be granted remission for keeping peace and good behaviour in jail. As per the records produced by the State, the petitioner has earned over 8 years of remission, thus demonstrating his good conduct in jail. The discussions in the minutes of the meetings of the Jail Advisory Board are also positive and find that he is hardworking, disciplined, and a reformed inmate. Therefore, in the interest of justice, this court is of the opinion, that it would be appropriate to direct the release of the petitioner, with immediate effect. It is ordered accordingly.

36. The writ petition, thus, stands allowed in the above terms. Pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

6. ബഹു. സുപ്രീം കോടതിയുടെ പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ, ബഹു. കേരളാ ഹൈക്കോടതി മുമ്പാകെ ശ്രീമതി പ്രസന്ന, ശ്രീമതി ബിന്ദു പ്രകാശൻ എന്നിവർ യഥാക്രമം ഫയൽ ചെയ്ത WA Nos.1245/24, WA 2137/24 എന്നിവയിന്മേലും വിയ്യർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസണിലെ അന്വേഷണവുമായിരുന്ന ശ്രീ. ബാലു ഫയൽ ചെയ്ത WP (Crl) No 1215/24 കേസിലും സമാനവിധത്തിലുള്ള വിധിന്യായം ബഹു.ഹൈക്കോടതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും ഈ വിധിന്യായങ്ങൾ കണക്കിലെടുത്തുകൊണ്ട് നെട്ടുകാൽത്തേരി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ അന്വേഷണവും ശ്രീമതി പ്രസന്നയുടെ ഭർത്താവുമായിരുന്ന സി.നം. 2761 രാജൻ, ചീമേനി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ അന്വേഷണവും ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നതുമായ സി.നം. 321 പ്രകാശൻ എന്നിവർക്ക് 15.09.2025 തീയതിയിലെ സ.ഉ(കൈ)നം.171/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം പ്രകാരവും, WP(Crl) No. 1215/24 കേസിലെ പരാതികാരനായ ബാലു

ഉൾപ്പെടെ നാലുപേർക്ക് 31.10.2025 തീയതിയിലെ സ.ഉ(കൈ)നം.206/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം പ്രകാരവും അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

7. കുറ്റവാളികളുടെ മേൽ ചുമത്തുന്ന പിഴ ശിക്ഷ (fine sentence) സംബന്ധിച്ച് Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024 നമ്പർ കേസിലെ ഉത്തരവിൽ ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതിയുടെ നിരീക്ഷണങ്ങളുടെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന അഭിപ്രായം ലഭ്യമാക്കാറുണ്ട്.

" Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs. Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024 എന്ന കേസിൽ അകാല വിടുതലിനായി പരിഗണിക്കുന്ന തടവുകാർക്ക് ശിക്ഷയോടൊപ്പം ഫൈൻ കൂടി വിധിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതാണെങ്കിൽ ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കിയത് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ കൂടി പരിശോധിക്കേണ്ടതാണെന്ന് വിധിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി. ആയത് ഭരണവകുപ്പ് ഉറപ്പ് വരുത്തേണ്ടതാണ്. "

ബിൽക്കിസ് യാക്കൂബ്, കേസിൽ ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച ഉത്തരവിലെ 54-ാം ഖണ്ഡികയിൽ പിഴയ്ക്കു പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ സംബന്ധിച്ച കാര്യങ്ങൾ വിശദീകരിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്. ഖണ്ഡിക 54 -3 ൽ " the sentence of imprisonment awarded to a person for committing an offence is distinct than the imprisonment ordered to be undergone on default of payment of fine. The latter is not a substantive sentence for commission of offence but is in the nature of penalty for default in payment of fine" എന്ന് വ്യക്തമാക്കുന്നു. സമാനമായ മറ്റൊരു കേസിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് തന്ന ഉപദേശത്തിൽ ഇത് വിശദീകരിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്.

8. ഇതിൻ പ്രകാരം ശിക്ഷയിലുവിന്ന് പരിഗണിക്കുന്ന തടവുകാർ ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കുന്നതുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട് വ്യക്തത വരുത്തുവാനും പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്തതിന് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷാകാലാവധി കൂടി കണക്കിലെടുത്താണോ BNSS 475 പ്രകാരം നിഷ്കർഷിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള മിനിമം കാലാവധിയായ 14 വർഷം കണക്കാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതെന്നതിൽ വ്യക്തത വരുത്താനും ജയിൽ മേധാവിയോട് ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്തതിന് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷാ കാലാവധി കൂടി കണക്കിലെടുത്തല്ല ജയിൽ ഉപദേശകസമിതികൾ BNSS 475 (CrPC 433 A) പ്രകാരം നിഷ്കർഷിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള മിനിമം കാലാവധിയായ 14 വർഷം കണക്കാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതെന്നും Set off കാലാവധി ഉൾപ്പെടെയുള്ള യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷ (Substantive Sentence) മാത്രമാണ് ഇതിനായി കണക്കാക്കുന്നതെന്നും ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി ശിപാർശ അനുസരിച്ച് വിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം അന്തേവാസികൾ പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കിയെന്ന് ഉറപ്പുവരുത്തി മാത്രമാണ് വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്നും പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്ത തടവുകാരെ പിഴയ്ക്ക് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷ കൂടി അനുഭവിച്ച ശേഷം മാത്രമാണ് വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്നും ജയിൽ വകുപ്പ് മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

9. ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട 36 അന്തേവാസികളിൽ സി.നം.321 പ്രകാരം സർക്കാർ ഉത്തരവിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ അകാല വിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്. 10 പേർക്ക് ഫൈൻ ചുമത്തിയിട്ടില്ല. ഫൈൻ ചുമത്തപ്പെട്ട 25 പേരിൽ ആരും തന്നെ തുക ഒടുക്കിയിട്ടില്ലായെന്ന് ജയിൽ വകുപ്പ് മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇവർ സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തതെന്നും വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതായും ജയിൽ വകുപ്പ് മേധാവി റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.

10. ചീമേനി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 07.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിലുപദേശക സമിതി ശിപാർശ പ്രകാരം 35 തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാല വിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്ന വിഷയം മന്ത്രിസഭയുടെ പരിഗണനയ്ക്ക് സമർപ്പിക്കുവാൻ ബഹു. മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി ഉത്തരവിട്ടു.

തീരുമാനിക്കേണ്ട വിഷയം

ചീമേനി ഓപ്പൺ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 07.08.2024 ൽ കൂടിയ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശയും നിയമ വകുപ്പിന്റെ ഉപദേശവും പരിഗണിച്ച് ഭരണഘടനയുടെ 161-ാം അനുച്ഛേദം പ്രദാനം ചെയ്യുന്ന അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ചും, 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗ്ഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടങ്ങളിലെ ചട്ടം 470 ലെ നിബന്ധനകൾക്ക് വിധേയമായും ഖണ്ഡിക 6 ൽ പരാമർശിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളത് പ്രകാരം അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും ഈ ശുപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടതുമായ സി.നം.321 പ്രകാശൻ, പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട് അനുസരിച്ചുള്ളതായ സി നം. 193 രാജൻ, സി നം. 319 ശിവൻ എന്നിവരെ ഒഴിവാക്കി താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന 33 അന്തേവാസികളുടെ ശേഷിക്കുന്ന പ്രധാന ശിക്ഷാകാലയളവ് ഇളവ് ചെയ്ത്, ഇവരിൽ പീഴ ശിക്ഷ ചുമത്തപ്പെട്ടവർ പീഴ അടച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ ആയിരിക്കും വിടുതൽ എന്ന വ്യവസ്ഥയിൽ, അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് ബഹു ഗവർണ്ണർക്ക് ഉപദേശം നൽകാമോ ?

1. സി.നം. 35 വിശ്വനാഥൻ
2. സി.നം. 106 രാമചന്ദ്രൻ
3. സി.നം.132 ചോലക്കൽ പറങ്ങോടത്ത് അലവി
4. സി.നം.180 കുരിക്കൾ അലവി
5. സി.നം.216 തോമസ്
6. സി.നം.224 മത്തായി
7. സി.നം.239 പറമ്പൻ പ്രമോദ്
8. സി.നം. 265 അഷ്ട
9. സി.നം. 272 ബാബു
10. സി.നം.340 ശിവൻ
11. സി.നം.346 രാജു
12. സി.നം.643 രാജേഷ്
13. സി.നം. 268 ചന്ദ്രൻ
14. സി.നം.369 സാമിക്കുട്ടി
15. സി.നം.370 മുഹമ്മദ്
- 16.സി.നം.371 മുരഗൻ
17. സി.നം.384 വിൽസൺ
18. സി.നം.469 മുഹമ്മദ് ഹസ്സൻ
- 19 സി.നം.598 ജാബീർ
20. സി.നം.602 ജോസ്
21. സി.നം.615 ബാലസുബ്രമണ്യൻ
22. സി.നം.618 അരുതി
- 23 സി.നം.640 കൃഷ്ണൻകുട്ടി
24. സി.നം.649 അഗസ്റ്റിൻ
25. സി.നം.651 കൃഷ്ണ
26. സി.നം.857 ചെല്ലരാജ്
27. സി.നം.860 ഉണ്ണികൃഷ്ണൻ
28. സി.നം.887 എച്ച്. രാഘവൻ
- 29 സി.നം.896 കെ.എം.രാജു

143

- 30. സി.നം. 899 ദാസൻ
- 31. സി.നം.913 ബഷീർ
- 32. സി.നം.943 ഉണ്ണികൃഷ്ണൻ
- 33. സി.നം.980 ബാബു

ANNEXURE 1

1. As per rule 462 of Kerala Prison and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014 Jail Advisory Committees were constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, High Security Prison and Prison for Women to make recommendation for the premature release of prisoners. The Advisory Committee, Central Prison and Correctional Home, Cheemeni, held on 07.08.2024 recommended 36 prisoners for granting premature release and one prisoner for granting ordinary leave. Section 77 of the THE KERALA PRISONS AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES (MANAGEMENT) ACT, 2010 confers Government the power of granting premature release to prisoners either suo motu or on the recommendation of the Advisory Committee.

2. As per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs. Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024, the Court had stated that the appropriate Government is duty bound to consider the fact of payment of fine while granting remission of sentence to the prisoner who has been sentenced for payment of fine as well with his substantive sentence for imprisonment. As per the judgment, not only the government but the Advisory Board are also duty bound to verify whether the prisoner made the payment of fine before making the recommendation for premature release of prisoner to the Government. As such the AD may be informed to be vigilant with regard to verification details regarding payment of fine by the prisoner before passing order of remission.

3. A/D may be informed also to furnish details regarding payment of fine, the period of Set off, if any, calculated along with the period of incarceration for premature release of a prisoner in each case for perusal of this department.

4. This Department has examined the recommendation of the Jail Advisory Board with all case files thoroughly. On the basis of a thorough examination of the details regarding the prisoners available in the file, the Administrative Department may be informed as follows:

1.C.No. 35 VISWANATHAN S/o UNNURU (63 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to suspicion of his wife's chastity on 01.03.2000, while working at the courtyard, the accused stabbed his wife Lalitha with a chopper and killed her.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.13/2002 of the Addl. Sessions Court, Kozhikode and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs 50000/- i/d Imprisonment for 04 years u/s 302 IPC. It is also directed that the accused shall not be released from the prison unless he had served out at least 20 years of imprisonment including the period of set off</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, has recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. In this case convicted court has directed that the accused shall not be released from the prison unless he had served out at least 20</p>

available to the accused. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.995/2004 dated 31.10.2007.

It is stated in the proposal that the Hon'ble Supreme Court also confirmed the conviction .But copy of the judgment not seen submitted for perusal.

years of imprisonment including the period of set off available to the accused.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - Kozhikode in Sessions Case No. 13/2002 dated 24.07.2003 had directed that the accused shall not be released from the prison unless he had served out at least 20 years of imprisonment including the period of set off available to the accused. Since the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.995/2004 dated 31.10.2007 has confirmed the sentence the prisoner shall be released after imprisonment of 20 years. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 09 months and 28 days as on 31.12.2023.

There are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.

Since, the stipulation in the judgment of the lower court has been fulfilled A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

2.C.No. 106 RAMACHANDRAN S/o KUNJADI (60 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused and his wife used to quarrel with each other on the suspicion that the accused had illicit relationship with his brother 's wife. On the night of 10/03/1998, the accused and his wife quarrelled with each other and later Rathe accused administered 'furan' to her. While she tried to escape, she was smothered by Ramachandran which resulted in her death.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.90/1999 of the Addl. Sessions Court, Fast Track-Adhoc-II Palakkad and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec.475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 10 months and 03 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court Fast Track-Adhoc-II - Palakkad in Sessions Case No.90/1999</p>

142

was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.663/2003 dated 30.11.2004.

The judgment of the Trial Court and Appellate Court is confirmed by the Supreme Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.732/2008 dated 30.10.2012.

dated 31.12.2002 and the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.663/2003 dated 30.11.2004 or in the Judgment of Supreme Court does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

3.C.No. 132 CHOLAKKAL PARANGODATH ALAVI S/o KUNJEEDU (53 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 06.04.1999 due to their enmity Alavi and others struck twice on the head of Ahamed Munshi the victim with a stick at Puthanathani Vengara Road and chased him and inflicted stab injuries repeatedly on the chest with a dagger which resulted in his death.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.185/2001 of the Addl. Sessions Court, (Fast Track-I) (Adhoc) Manjeri and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs.50000/- i/d imprisonment for 03 years u/s 302 IPC. In the event of remission, commutataion and on default to pay fine, he shall undergo additional term of imprisonment for 3 years. Set off not allowed since life imprisonment implies remaining period of life.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed, but modified allowing set off on condition and allowed set off by the Hon'ble High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2180/2004 dated 01.11.2007.</p> <p>The SLP was dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order in Appeal(Crl)No.5981/2008 dated 08.08.2008.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 05 months and 17 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - Fast Track II Manjeri in Sessions Case No. 185/2001 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2180/2004 dated 01.11.2007 and the Supreme Court in order no. Appeal(Crl)No.5981/2008 dated 08.08.2008 contain any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of Sri.Cholakkal Parangodath Alavi.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting</p>

	premature release to the prisoner.
--	------------------------------------

4.C.No.180 KURIKKAL ALAVI S/o KUNHAMMED KURIKKAL (56 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Alavi was staying with his mother Fathima and his sisters Amina and Ameena at their ancestral house. On 14/02/1999 there was a quarrel between them about inheritance of their property. During this Alavi stabbed his sister with a knife which resulted in her death.</p> <p>He was the first accused in SC.No.93/2001 of the Addl. Sessions Court, (Fast Track-II) (Adhoc) Manjeri and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs. 25000/- i/d RI for 01 years u/s 302 IPC.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended for his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where which pibva sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The pridoney has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 01 day as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court - Fast Track II (Adhoc) Manjeri in Sessions Case No. 93/2001, does not contain adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>

5.C.No. 193 RAJAN S/o DASAN (52 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused, on 22.03.2004 attacked his wife with a torch and hit on her head on suspicion of her fidelity. She was taken to the Medical College Hospital but succumbed to the injuries on 30.03.2004.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.322/2004 of the Addl. Sessions Court, (Adhoc-II) Manjeri and was</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer and the police report are adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had</p>

149

convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs.10000/- i/d RI for 02 yeas u/s 302 IPC. Set off not allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1814/2005 (B) dated 25.10.2007.

The SLP was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide order in Diary No. 10801/2017 dated 19.01.2018.

undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 09 months and 8 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. District and Sessions Court -(ADHOC) -II Manjeri in Sessions Case No. 322/2004 and the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1814/2005 dated 25.10.2007 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

6.C.No. 216 THOMAS@ THOMACHAN S/o JOSEPH (62 years

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 13.08.2002 Thomas with a common intention of murdering Sijo cut the deceased below the left shoulder and left wrist and right thigh with small axe as a result the deceased sustained serious injuries and he succumbed to it.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.139/2005 of the Sessions Court, Kasaragod and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.830/2008 dated 06.11.2012.</p> <p>The SLP filed was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide order in CRLMP No.20764/2015 dated 11.12.2015.</p> <p>He is also seen involved in SC. 628/2006 of Addl District & Sessions Court,</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 11 months and 9 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl. District and Sessions Court -Kasaragod in Sessions Case No.139/2005 , the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.830/2008 dated 06.11.2012 and the order of the Supreme Court in CrRLMP No.20764/2015 does not contain any adverse remark regarding the premature release of the</p>

<p>Telicherry under sec. 63 of Abkari Act. <u>Details of the case are not included for perusal. Hence AD may verify the same.</u></p>	<p>prisoner.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
---	---

7.C.No. 224 MATHAYI @ APPACHAN S/o DEVASSYA (61years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 27.11.1999 while the deceased Antony was going to his home with his daughter the accused asked Rs.50/- for playing cards to which the deceased Antony replied that he did not have the money, that annoyed the accused and he caught hold of the pocket of the deceased and stabbed him on his chest and he succumbed to the injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.326/2002 of the Addl. Sessions Court-I, Kozhikode and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and to pay a fine of Rs 30000/- i/d RI for 02 years u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.203/2009 dated 22.08.2012. But the sentence of fine was modified to Rs. 10,000/-,in default of payment of fine for which the accused shall suffer rigorous imprisonment for one year. Set off awa also allowed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.203/2009 dated 22.08.2012.</p> <p>The SLP dismissed by the Supreme Court vide Judgment in SLP(Criminal) Diary No.7631/2020 dated 01.09.2021.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 02 months and 18 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Kozhikode in Sessions Case No.326/2002 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.203/2009 dated 22.8.2012 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>

8.C.No. 239 PARAMBAN PRAMOD S/o NARAYANAN (46 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 17.11.2002 on account of political enmity Pramod and 14 others formed an unlawful</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has</p>

<p>assembly at Paduvilai in Kannur District, attacked and murdered Vattappara Shaji.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 3rd accused in SC.No.04/2004 of the Addl. Sessions Court,(ADHOC-III) Thalassery and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for Life and to pay a fine of Rs 25000/- i/d SI for 01 year u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 06 months u/s 143 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 01 year u/s 147 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 02 years u/s 148 IPC. The sentence shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.920/2009 dated 06.09.2016.</p>	<p>recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner had undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 09 months and 21 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Adhoc -III) Thalassery in Sessions Case No.4/2004 nor in the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.920/2009 dated 06.09.2016 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Paramban Pramod.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the aforementioned circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Rajan.</p>
--	--

9.C.No. 265 ASHTA @ ASHTAKUMAR S/o KRISHNAN (54 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 10.07.2000 the accused along with 7 others formed into unlawful assembly with deadly weapons with a common object to commit murder of deceased Vijayan on account of political enmity by trespassing into his house and bringing him to the courtyard forcibly, attacked and murdered him. His father and his son-in law were also attacked.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 2nd accused in SC.No.94/2004 of the Sessions Court, Palakkad and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs 10000/- i/d Rigoious imprisonment</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 01 months and 20 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Sessions</p>

for 01 year u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC , Imprisonment for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.2000/- i/d RI for 06 months u/s 326 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 01 year u/s 324 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d SI for 06 months u/s 449 r/w 149 IPC. RI for 03 months u/s 143 IPC. RI for 01 year u/s 147 IPC RI for 01 year u/s 148 IPC. RI for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d RI for 03 months u/s 25(1)(a) 1- B(a) of Arms Act.The substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013.

The SLP was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide order in SLP (CrI)No.6368/2016 dated 12.05.2016.

Court -Palakkad in Sessions Case No.94/2004 , the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013 and in the order of Supreme Court in SLP (CrI)No.6368/2016 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Ashta.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Ashta.

10.C.No. 272 BABU @ RAMESH S/o KUTTAPPAN (47 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 10.07.2000 the accused along with 7 others formed into unlawful assembly with deadly weapons with a common object to commit murder of deceased Vijayan on account of political enmity by trespassing into his house and bringing him to the courtyard forcibly, attacked and murdered him. His father and his son-in law were also attacked.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 7th accused in SC.No.94/2004 of the Sessions Court, Palakkad and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs 10000/- i/d Rigorous imprisonment for 01 year u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC , Imprisonment for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.2000/- i/d RI for 06 months u/s 326 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 01 year u/s 324 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d SI for 06 months u/s 449 r/w 149 IPC. RI for 03 months u/s 143 IPC. RI for 01 year u/s 147 IPC RI</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 04 months and 28 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Any of the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court Palakkad in Sessions Case No.94/2004, the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013 and order in SLP (CrI)No.6368/2016 of Hon'ble Supreme Court does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p>

for 01 year u/s 148 IPC. The substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013.

The SLP was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide order in SLP (CrI)No.6368/2016 dated 12.05.2016.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine by the convict. Hence, A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner .

11.C.No. 340 SIVAN S/o MAYAN (42 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 10.07.2000 the accused along with 7 others formed into unlawful assembly with deadly weapons with a common object to commit murder of deceased Vijayan on account of political enmity by trespassing into his house and bringing him to the courtyard forcibly, attacked and murdered him. His father and his son-in law were also attacked.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 6th accused in SC.No.94/2004 of the Sessions Court, Palakkad and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs 10000/- i/d Rigorous imprisonment for 01 year u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC , Imprisonment for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.2000/- i/d RI for 06 months u/s 326 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 01 year u/s 324 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d SI for 06 months u/s 449 r/w 149 IPC. RI for 03 months u/s 143 IPC. RI for 01 year u/s 147 IPC RI for 01 year u/s 148 IPC. The substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 01 months and 22 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Sessions Court , Palakkad in Sessions Case No.94/2004, the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013 and order in SLP (CrI)No.6368/2016 of Hon'ble Supreme Court contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of</p>

<p>Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013.</p> <p>The SLP dismissed by the Supreme Court vide Judgment in SLP (Crl)No.6368/2016 dated 12.08.2016.</p>	<p>payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>
--	---

12.C.No. 346 RAJU @ SABARI S/o KARUPPU SWAMI (55 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 10.07.2000 the accused along with 7 others formed into unlawful assembly with deadly weapons with a common object to commit murder of deceased Vijayan on account of political enmity by trespassing into his house and bringing him to the courtyard forcibly, attacked and murdered him. His father and his son-in law were also attacked.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 8th accused in SC.No.94/2004 of the Sessions Court, Palakkad and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs 10000/- i/d Rigorous imprisonment for 01 year u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC , Imprisonment for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.2000/- i/d RI for 06 months u/s 326 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 01 year u/s 324 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d SI for 06 months u/s 449 r/w 149 IPC. RI for 03 months u/s 143 IPC. RI for 01 year u/s 147 IPC RI for 01 year u/s 148 IPC. The substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013.</p> <p>The SLP dismissed by the Supreme Court vide Judgment in SLP (Crl)No.6368/2016 dated 12.08.2016.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 01 months and 20 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgments of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Adhoc -III) Palakkad in Sessions Case No.94/2004, the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal Court does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Raju</p> <p>However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict submitted by the A/D. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Raju.</p>

13.C.No. 643 RAJESH @ MALA S/o KUTTAPPAN (46 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
-----------------------	----------------------------

The Prosecution case is that on 10.07.2000 the accused along with 7 others formed into unlawful assembly with deadly weapons with a common object to commit murder of deceased Vijayan on account of political enmity by trespassing into his house and bringing him to the courtyard forcibly, attacked and murdered him. His father and his son-in law were also attacked.

The prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.94/2004 of the Sessions Court, Palakkad and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs 10000/- i/d. Imprisonment for 01 year u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC , Imprisonment for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.2000/- i/d RI for 06 months u/s 326 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 01 year u/s 324 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 03 years and pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d SI for 06 months u/s 449 r/w 149 IPC. RI for 03 months u/s 143 IPC. RI for 01 year u/s 147 IPC RI for 01 year u/s 148 IPC. The substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013.

The SLP dismissed by the Supreme Court vide Judgment in SLP (Crl)No.6368/2016 dated 12.08.2016.

The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 04 months and 24 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court - Palakkad in Sessions Case No.94/2004, the judgment of the the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.13/2009 dated 14.03.2013 and order in SLP (Crl)No.6368/2016 of Hon'ble Supreme Court does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Rajesh.

However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict submitted by the A/D. A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Rajesh.

14.C.No. 268 CHANDRAN S/o PACHAR (64 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on suspicion about chastity of his wife, on 30.04.2002, Chandran stabbed his wife Sheela near her house resulting in her death.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.807/2003 of the Addl. Sessions Court, Kozhikode and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine Rs.10000/- i/d Imprisonment</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has</p>

for 01 years u/s 302 IPC .Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1302/2005(B) dated 05.08.2009.

undergone actual imprisonment of 21 years, 04 months and 26 days as on 31.12.2023.

Neither the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Kozhikode in Sessions Case No.807/2003 nor the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1302/2005 dated 14.03.2013 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Chandran.

There are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict submitted by the A/D. A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner .

15.C.No. 319 SIVAN S/o VASU (55 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 09.07.1996 , Sivan trespassed into the house of Annie Varghese, situated at Ammadam, Thrissur, and caused death of Annie by inflicting injuries with a chopper and committed robbery of ornaments.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.59/1997 of the Addl. Sessions Court, Thrissur and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC, RI for 08 years u/s 449 IPC, RI for 10 years u/s 392 IPC & compensation of Rs 25000/- i/d 03 years u/s 357(3) CrpC. It is directed that the sentence provided for the offence u/s 392 of IPC will run consecutively with the sentence provided for the offences u/s 449 and 302 IPC. The sentence provided for the offences u/s 449 and 302 IPC will run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>In the proposal it is seen that the judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, and Police have adverse remarks on his premature release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment.</p> <p>In Sessions Case No.59/1997 the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Thrissur had sentenced the accused to RI for 10 years u/s 392 IPC and directed that the sentence provided for the offence u/s 392 of IPC will run consecutively with the sentence provided for the offences u/s 449 and 302 IPC. The prisoner was sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC, RI for 08 years u/s 449 IPC. The sentence provided for the offences u/s 449 and 302 IPC ordered to run concurrently. On presuming that the punishment given u/s 449 and 302 IPC will start to run only after the punishment u/s 392</p>

<p>by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.540/2001 dated 09.12.2003. But copy of the judgment is not seen submitted with the proposal for perusal.</p>	<p>IPC, i.e.,RI for 10 years , has undergone by the accused.The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 25 years, 04 months and 16 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of compensation amount imposed on the convict may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of compensation amount by the convict, and to verify whether any embargo on premature release contained in the appeal judgment, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Sivan.</p>
---	---

16.C.No. 321 PRAKASHAN S/o NARAYANAN (51 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on the night of 29.07.1999, the accused stabbed his mother to death and injured his father who tried to avert the attack.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.162/2001 of the Addl. Sessions Court, Fast Track-II, (Adhoc) Thrissur and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 05 years u/s 307 IPC. Sentence to run concurrently. Set off allowed against the imprisonment u/s 307 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.890/2003 dated 29.10.2004.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 03 months and 27 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Adhoc -III) Thrissur in Sessions Case No.162/2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.890/2003 dated 29.10.2004 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Prakashan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

17.C.No. 369 SAMIKUTTY S/o MAYAN (69 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 12.01.2003 Samikutty inflicted serious injuries on his wife Sarojini with a chopper which led to her death.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.1065/2004 of the Addl. Sessions Court-I, Kozhikode and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC . Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer and police were recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 05 months and 22 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -I Kozhikode in Sessions Case No.1065/2004 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Samikutty</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

18.C.No. 370 MUHAMMED S/o HASSAN HAJI (67 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 15.08.2003 the accused on account of previous enmity with regard to the dispute in connection with a pathway, stabbed Hussain and his wife Amina with a knife while they were waiting for their examination in the casualty of the Medical College Hospital, Kozhikode for treatment. Amina succumbed to the injuries on the spot</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.637/2005 of the Addl. Sessions Court-I, Kozhikode and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine Rs 50000/- i/d RI for 01 year u/s 302 IPC and RI for 08 years and pay fine of Rs.25000/- i/d RI for 06 months u/s 307 IPC. Substantive sentence of imprisonment shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 07 months and 19 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -I Kozhikode in Sessions Case No.637/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.39/2008 dated 02.01.2013</p>

<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.39/2008 dated 02.01.2013.</p>	<p>contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Muhammed.</p> <p>There are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Muhammed.</p>
--	---

19.C.No. 371 MURUGAN S/o SAMIYAR KRISHNAN (53 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 27.03.2007 at his home in Pulppally, Murugan quarrelled with his wife Neelamma, assaulted her and poured kerosene over her and set fire and she died at Mananthavady Hospital.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.89/2008 of the Addl. Sessions Court- (Adhoc -II), Kalpetta and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and set off was allowed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.515/2009 dated 25.06.2012.</p> <p>The SLP filed was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide orders in CRL.MP No.10994/2016 dated 15.07.2016.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 04 months and 18 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court Adhoc -II) Kalpetta in Sessions Case No.89/2008 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.515/2009 dated 25.06.2012 and the order of the Supreme Court contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Murugan.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

20.C.No. 384 WILSON S/o ANTONY (56 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 04.01.2003, Wilson attacked one Mary@Mami wife of Michael with knife, wooden log etc. which resulted in her death and stole ornaments worn by her.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.361/2004 of the Addl. District and Sessions Court- Kozhikode and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 8 years u/s 449 IPC and RI for 7 years u/s 392 r/w 397 IPC. sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.(copy of the judgement submitted with the case file is incomplete and illegible)</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1621/2005 dated 18.11.2009.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 08 months and 16 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court - Kozhikode in Sessions Case No.361/2004 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1621/2005 dated 18.11.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Wilson.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

21.C.No.469 MUHAMMED HASSAN @ BAVA S/o MARAKKAR KUTTY (65 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on Muhammed Hassan @ Bava, on 25.09.1998 trespassed into the house of his second wife Thahira and inflicted serious injuries on her by stabbing. Thahira succumbed to the said injuries on the way to Beach hospital.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.305/2001 of the Addl. Sessions Court- II, Kozhikode and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine Rs 10000/- i/d RI for 01 year u/s 302 IPC .Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended for his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 02 months and 27 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions</p>

	<p>Court, Koahikode in Sessions Case No.305/2001 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Muhammed Hassan.</p> <p>However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict submitted by the A/D. A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there are no other legal impediments in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Muhammed Hassan.</p>
--	--

22.C.No.598 JABIR S/o MAMUKOYA (47 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that the accused on suspicion regarding the chastity of his wife Sabitha, on 25.02.2004, while she was sleeping inside their home , he poured petrol on her and set fire to her. Sabitha succumbed to the injuries while treatment in Medical College Hospital, Kozhikode.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.340/2006 of the Addl. Sessions Court- II,Kozhikode and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine Rs.10000/- i/d RI for 01 year u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2373/2007 dated 16.03.2012.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is silent on the matter. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 01 months and 11 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court- II,Kozhikode in Sessions Case No.340/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2373/2007 dated 16.03.2012 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Jabir.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there are no</p>

	other legal impediments in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Jabir.
--	--

23.C.No. 602 JOSE S/o VARKEY (60 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 30.04.2002 the accused stabbed his wife Jessy to death with a sharp knife due to suspicions of her chastity</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.584/2003 of the Addl. Sessions Court- Thalassery and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life (RI) u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.732/2006 dated 20.01.2010.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommends his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 11 months and 19 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Thalassery in Sessions Case No.584/2003 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.732/2006 dated 20.01.2010 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

24.C.No. 615 BALASUBRHAMANIAN S/o RANKASWAMY (68 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 26.05.2000 the accused poured kerosene on his wife Parvathy and set her on fire. He was suspicious of her chastity. Parvathy succumbed to the burn injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.17/2003 of the Sessions Court-Thrissur and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 02 years u/s 498 A IPC. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The Probation Officer and police were recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years,</p>

<p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.466/2005(B) dated 14.01.2009.</p>	<p>07 months and 27 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court -Thrissur in Sessions Case No.17/2003 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.466/2005 dated 14.01.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Balasubrahmanian.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	---

25.C.No. 618 AVUTHY @ BABU S/o SUBRHAMANYAN (39 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 19.08.2004 the accused committed house - trespass by entering into the house of Smt. Mariyamma who was residing alone. He committed murder of Smt. Mariyamma by stabbing and he robbed her gold ornaments.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.297/2005 of the Addl. Sessions Court- II,Kozhikode and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life & fine of 10,000/- i/d RI for 01 year u/s 302 IPC and imprisonment for Life & fine of 10000/- i/d RI for 01 year u/s 449 IPC and RI for 10 year and a fine of Rs 7500/- i/d RI for 09 months u/s 392 IPC. The substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.501/2006 dated 11.12.2009.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommends his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 01 months and 02 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court-II, Kozhikode in Sessions Case No.297/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.501/2006 dated 11.12.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Avuthy.</p> <p>However, there are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict submitted by the A/D. A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there are no other legal impediments in granting</p>

premature release to the prisoner Sri.Avuthy.

26.C.No. 640 KRISHNAN KUTTY @ VESUTTAN S/o NARAYANAN NAIR (59 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to previous enmity, on 06.06.2002 Krishnankutty inflicted injuries with a knife on his wife Padmini and she died while undergoing treatment.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.673/2004 of the Addl. Dist and Sessions Court- Fast Track-II (Adhoc) Thrissur and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC .Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1133/2006 dated 11.03.2010.</p> <p>The SLP dismissed by the Supreme Court vide order in SLP (CRL) No.276/2013 dated 07.01.2013.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. e Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years and 05 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither of the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. District & Sessions Court -Fast Track -II(Adhoc),Thrissur in Sessions Case No.673/2004 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1133/2006 dated 11.03.2010 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Krishnan Kutty.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

27.C.No. 649 AUGUSTIAN S/o JAMES (72 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that Augustine used to go to nearby Rajamma's house and used to consume liquor from there which led to a quarell with his wife. Due to this enmity, on 02.12.2003, Augustian murdered his wife Vilasini with a knife..</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.223/2004 of the Addl. Sessions Court- (Adhoc) Fast Track-III Pathanamthitta. He was convicted and</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone</p>

<p>sentenced to imprisonment for Life u/s 302 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.69/2007(C) dated 29-09-2010.</p>	<p>actual imprisonment of 18 years and 23 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -(Adhoc) - Fast Track III-Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No.223/2004 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.69/2007 dated 29.09.2010 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Augustian.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated under section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

28.C.No. 651 KRISHNA @ CHANDRAKANTH S/o GOPINATHAN (40 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case on 04.11.2004 the accused, with the intention of committing theft, entered into the house of one Karthyani through the roof by removing tiles. He hit her on the head with a brick and also strangled and smothered her with his hands while he attempting to snatch her gold chain. She died due to the combined effect of strangulation and smothering and head injury.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.171/2006 of the Addl. Sessions Court- (Adhoc) Fast track I, Thrissur and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for Life and fine of 2000/- i/d SI for 6 months u/s 302 IPC and RI for 05 years and a fine of Rs 1000/- i/d SI for 09 months u/s 394 IPC. Imprisonment shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1346/2007(C) dated 13-04-2012.</p> <p>The SLP filed was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide order in SLP (CRL) No.7388/2016 dated 20.09.2016.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 10 months and 20 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -(Adhoc) Fast track I Thrissur in Sessions Case No.171/2006. nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1346/2007 dated 13.04.2012 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Krishna.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature</p>

	release to the prisoner Sri.Krishna.
--	--------------------------------------

29.C.No. 857 CHELLARAJ @ CHELLAN S/o ISAC (58 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to previous enimity, on 01.02.2003 the accused and others trespassed into the house of the deceased Thankamony and when the deceased manage to escape they chased and hit him with cement bricks, granite pieces and sticks all over the body that caused contusions and abrasions all over the body and he succumbed to the injuries.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 1st accused in SC.No.497/2006 of the Addl. Sessions Court- Fast Track-III Thiruvananthapuram. He was convicted and sentenced to RI for Life and a fine of Rs.25,000/-i/d imprisonment for 2 years u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 3 months u/s 143 IPC and RI for 1 year u/s 147 IPC and RI for 2 years u/s 148 IPC and RI for 5 years and a fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d imprisonment of 1 year u/s 449 r/w 149 IPC. Substantive sentence shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1765/2009 dated 22-11-2013.</p> <p>The SLP filed was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide order in SLP (CRL) No.4117/2002 dated 29.04.2023.</p> <p>The prisoner was also the accused in SC No. 522/2010 u/s 8(2) of the Abkari Act. Details of the case are not included in the file and hence nit verified.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 05 months and 28 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Adhoc -III) Thrissur in Sessions Case No.497/2006 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1765/2009 dated 22.11.2013 and the order of the Supreme Court in order in SLP (CRL) No.4117/2002 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Chellaraj.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Chellaraj.</p>

30.C.No. 860 UNNIKRISHNAN S/o KUTTYAN (49 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
The Prosecution case is that on	The District Probation Officer has

<p>account of political enmity, on 27.01.2001 Unnikrishnan and others made an unlawful assembly armed with deadly weapons and committed rioting and murdered Devadasan by cutting him with sword.</p> <p>The prisoner was the 3rd accused in SC.No.617/2004 of the Addl. Sessions Court- (Adhoc-II) Kasaragod. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs 20,000/- i/d RI for 01 year u/s 302 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 06 months u/s 143 r/w 149 IPC and RI for 02 years and pay fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d SI for 06 months u/s 148 r/w 149 IPC and imprisonment for 01 month u/s 341 r/w 149 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>In the appeal the Hon'ble High Court partly allowed the appeal and found the appellants are not guilty of the offence punishable u/s 302 r/w s. 149,147,148 and 341 r/w S.149 but instead they are found guilty and are convicted u/s 302 r/w S.34 and are sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life and to pay a fine of 20,000/- and in default to undergo RI for 01 year and RI for 01 month u/s 341 of IPC vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2004/2009 dated 30.09.2015.</p>	<p>recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 02 months and 13 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -(Adhoc -II) Kasaragod in Sessions Case No.617/2004 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2004/2009 dated 30.09.2015 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>
--	---

31.C.No. 887 H.RAGHAVAN S/o KAMMADATHI (48 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that while his wife left for her home at the time of delivery of the fourth child, the other three children stayed with him. On 14.08.2007 the accused came home in a drunken state and forcibly took his second child who was sleeping and struck him on the floor and he was rescued by his sister. There after he took Athira, aged four and half years old elder daughter and struck her on the floor several times, which lead to her death</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment.</p> <p>The prisoner has undergone actual</p>

He was the accused in SC.No.183/2008 of the Addl. Sessions Court- (Adhoc-II) Kasaragod and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and to pay fine of 20000/- i/d RI for 03 years u/s 302 IPC and RI for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs 10000/- i/d RI for 02 years u/s 307 IPC and RI for 07 years and to pay fine of Rs 5000/- i/d RI for 02 years u/s 452 IPC. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1600/2010 dated 04.06.2015.

imprisonment of 16 years, 04 months and 19 days as on 31.12.2023.

In Tony@Thomas v. State of Kerala Crl MC No. 6406 of 2022 dated 25/10/2022, it was held that when a remission is granted to a convict on whom life sentence is imposed along with term sentences in the very same trial without any direction to suffer the sentences consecutively or concurrently, then if a life sentence is reduced to 14 years, then necessarily the term sentences imposed in the same trial kicks into operation and in those circumstances, a release would be possible only if the power of remission is exercised by the appropriate Government with respect to all the term sentences. Since the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -(Adhoc -II) Kasaragod in Sessions Case No.183/2008 has not mentioned whether the sentences had to run concurrently or consecutively and the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.1600/2010 dated 04.06.2015 is also silent in the matter, it is necessary that AD shall comply with the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in the aforesaid case when granting premature release.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence, A/D may verify the same.

In the aforesaid circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, AD may take necessary steps in the light of the judgment cited above when granting premature release to the prisoner.

32.C.No. 896 K.M. RAJU S/o KANNAN (51 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case on suspicion of the chastity of his wife, Suma on 23.03.2003 the accused attempted to kill her by strangulation using a towel and stabbed her with a knife and she succumbed to the injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for</p>

SC.No.918/2004 of the Addl. Sessions Court- (Adhoc-II) Kasaragod and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and to pay a fine of Rs 20000/- i/d RI for 01 years u/s 302 IPC.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.2761/2009 (A) dated 04.08.2015.

which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 04 months and 17 days as on 31.12.2023.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -(Adhoc -II) Kasaragod in Sessions Case No.918/2004 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.2761/2009 dated 04.08.2015 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri. K. M Raju.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri. K. M Raju.

33.C.No. 899 DASAN @ VISWAMBARAN S/o KUTTAN (63 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 02.12.1997 Dasan committed house-trespass by entering into the house of Susheela and he murdered her by strangulating and committed theft of gold ornaments.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.141/2002 of the Addl. Sessions Court- (Adhoc-I) Kalpetta and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for Life and fine of Rs 10,000/- i/d imprisonment for 01 year u/s 302 IPC and RI for 07 years and a fine 10000/- i/d RI for 01 year u/s 449 IPC and RI for 07 years and a fine of Rs.10000/- i/d RI for 01 year u/s 392 IPC.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 07 months and 02 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -(Adhoc -I) Kalpetta in Sessions Case No.141/2004 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1877/2006 dated 29.01.2010 and the orders of the Supreme Court in SLP</p>

Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1877/2006 dated 29.01.2010

SLP filed before Supreme Court was dismissed vide order in SLP (CRL) No.8097/2017 dated 11.08.2017

(CRL) No.8097/2017 dated 11.08.2017 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Dasan.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner .

34.C.No. 913 BASHEER @ MANI S/o AVARAN (60 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 21.07.2003 the accused out of prior enmity to Saleena and Beevikutty since they turned down his occasional demands for temporary loan of money, stabbed Muhammed Sanoj , son of Saleena and the grand son of Beevikutty aged 08 years, with a knife and murdered the boy .</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.170/2005 of the Addl. Sessions Court- Fast Track-II, Palakkad and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine of Rs 10000/- i/d imprisonment for 06 months u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2204/2009 dated 19.07.2013.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 02 months and 05 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Fast Track -II, Palakkad in Sessions Case No.170/2005 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2204/2009 dated 19.07.2013 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Basheer.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Basheer.</p>

91

35.C.No. 943 UNNIKRISHNAN S/o KUNCHAN (49 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on account of previous enmity, the accused, with the intention to commit murder of his wife , Bindu, on 23.01.2003 in the outer kitchen of her house, inflicted cut injury on her neck with a chopper and she succumbed to the injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.308/2003 of the Addl. Sessions Court- Fast Track-II Palakkad and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for Life and pay a fine Rs 5000/- i/d imprisonment for 03 months u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2454/2007 dated 16.02.2012.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 05 months and 21 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl.Sessions Court -Fast Track-II) Palakkad in Sessions Case No.308/2003 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2454/2007 dated 16.02.2012 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Unnikrishnan.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sri.Unnikrishnan.</p>

36.C.No. 980 BABU S/o KUTTAPPAN (52 years)

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that due to previous enmity, Babu trespassed into the house of Ayyappan by removing the tiles of the roof in the kitchen and he caused the</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board</p>

death of Ayyappan by inflicting cut injuries on him with a chopper

copy of the judgment of the convicting court is not submitted with the case file. (Hence AD may verify the same) From the contents of the file it is seen that the prisoner was the accused in SC.No.185/2003 of the Addl. District & Sessions Court- North Paravoor, convicted and sentenced to RI for Life u/s 302 IPC. RI for 10 years u/s 449 IPC and RI for 10 year u/s 458 IPC.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2333/2007 dated 09.03.2012. It was also ordered that the substantive sentence shall run concurrently.

recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 10 months and 17 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2333/2007 dated 09.03.2012 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Babu. Since the copy of the judgment not submitted with the case file, it is difficult to ascertain whether there is any fine imposed on the convict by the convicting court and provisions regarding set off.

Subject to the verification of above stated there is no other legal impediment in his premature release.

അനുബന്ധം 2

പിഴ സംബന്ധിച്ച വിശദാംശങ്ങൾ

ക്രമ നം	കൺവിക്ട് നം. & പേര് (വയസ്സ്)	ഫൈൻ സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ	ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കാത്തതിന്റെ കാരണം
1	സി.നം. 35 വിശ്വനാഥൻ വയസ്സ്: 63	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.
2	സി.നം. 106 രാമചന്ദ്രൻ വയസ്സ്: 60	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
3	സി.നം.132 ചോലക്കൽ പറങ്ങോടത്ത് അലവി വയസ്സ്: 53	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.
4	സി.നം.180 കരിക്കൾ അലവി വയസ്സ്: 56	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.
5	സി.നം.193 രാജൻ വയസ്സ്: 52	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.
6	സി.നം.216 തോമസ് വയസ്സ്: 62	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
7	സി.നം.224 മത്തായി വയസ്സ്: 61	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

8	സി.നം.239 പറമ്പൻ പ്രമോദ് വയസ്സ് : 46	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക കാരണമാണ് ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ മുറയ്ക്കു പിഴത്തുക തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	പ്രയാസം പിഴത്തുക ലഭിക്കുന്ന ഒടുക്കാൻ രേഖാമൂലം
9	സി.നം. 265 അഷ്ട വയസ്സ് : 54	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക കാരണമാണ് ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ മുറയ്ക്കു പിഴത്തുക തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	പ്രയാസം പിഴത്തുക ലഭിക്കുന്ന ഒടുക്കാൻ രേഖാമൂലം
10	സി.നം. 272 ബാബു വയസ്സ്: 47	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക കാരണമാണ് ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ മുറയ്ക്കു പിഴത്തുക തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	പ്രയാസം പിഴത്തുക ലഭിക്കുന്ന ഒടുക്കാൻ രേഖാമൂലം
11	സി.നം.340 ശിവൻ വയസ്സ് : 42	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക കാരണമാണ് ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ മുറയ്ക്കു പിഴത്തുക തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	പ്രയാസം പിഴത്തുക ലഭിക്കുന്ന ഒടുക്കാൻ രേഖാമൂലം
12	സി.നം.346 രാജു വയസ്സ് : 55	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക കാരണമാണ് ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ മുറയ്ക്കു പിഴത്തുക തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	പ്രയാസം പിഴത്തുക ലഭിക്കുന്ന ഒടുക്കാൻ രേഖാമൂലം
13	സി.നം.643 രാജേഷ് വയസ്സ് : 46	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക കാരണമാണ് ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ മുറയ്ക്കു പിഴത്തുക തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	പ്രയാസം പിഴത്തുക ലഭിക്കുന്ന ഒടുക്കാൻ രേഖാമൂലം
14	സി.നം. 268 ചന്ദ്രൻ വയസ്സ് : 64	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്കു പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	
15	സി.നം.319 ശിവൻ വയസ്സ് : 55	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്കു പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	

175

16	സി.നം.321 പ്രകാശൻ വയസ്സ് : 51	വിടുതൽ ചെയ്തു	വിടുതൽ ചെയ്തു
17	സി.നം.369 സാമിക്കട്ടി വയസ്സ് : 69	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
18	സി.നം.370 മുഹമ്മദ് വയസ്സ് : 67	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.
19	സി.നം.371 മുരഗൻ വയസ്സ് : 53	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
20	സി.നം. 384 വിൽസൺ വയസ്സ് : 56	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
21	സി.നം.469 മുഹമ്മദ് ഹസ്സൻ വയസ്സ് : 65	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.
22	സി.നം.598 ജാബീർ വയസ്സ് : 47	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.
23	സി.നം.602 ജോസ് വയസ്സ് : 60	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
24	സി.നം.615 ബാലസുബ്രമണ്യൻ വയസ്സ് : 68	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
25	സി.നം.618 അവൂതി വയസ്സ് : 39	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് രേഖാമൂലം അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

26	സി.നം.640 കൃഷ്ണൻകുട്ടി വയസ്സ് : 59	ഹൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
27	സി.നം.649 അഗസ്റ്റിൻ വയസ്സ് : 72	ഹൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
28	സി.നം.651 കൃഷ്ണ വയസ്സ് : 40	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് ഒടുക്കാൻ അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. രേഖാമൂലം
29	സി.നം.857 ചെല്ലരാജ് വയസ്സ് : 58	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് ഒടുക്കാൻ അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. രേഖാമൂലം
30	സി.നം.860 ഉണ്ണികൃഷ്ണൻ വയസ്സ് : 49	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് ഒടുക്കാൻ അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. രേഖാമൂലം
31	സി.നം.887 എച്ച്. രാഘവൻ വയസ്സ് : 48	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് ഒടുക്കാൻ അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. രേഖാമൂലം
32	സി.നം.896 കെ.എം.രാജു വയസ്സ് : 51	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് ഒടുക്കാൻ അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. രേഖാമൂലം
33	സി.നം. 899 ദാസൻ വയസ്സ്: 63	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക പ്രയാസം കാരണമാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ ലഭിക്കുന്ന മുറയ്ക്ക് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാൻ തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് ഒടുക്കാൻ അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. രേഖാമൂലം

34	സി.നം.913 ബഷീർ വയസ്സ്: 60	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക കാരണമാണ് ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ മുറയ്ക്കു് പിഴത്തുക തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	പ്രയാസം പിഴത്തുക ലഭിക്കുന്ന ഒടുക്കാൻ രേഖാമൂലം
35	സി.നം.943 ഉണ്ണികൃഷ്ണൻ വയസ്സ്: 49	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	സാമ്പത്തിക കാരണമാണ് ഒടുക്കാത്തത്. വിടുതൽ മുറയ്ക്കു് പിഴത്തുക തയ്യാറാണെന്ന് അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.	പ്രയാസം പിഴത്തുക ലഭിക്കുന്ന ഒടുക്കാൻ രേഖാമൂലം
36	സി.നം.980 ബാബു വയസ്സ്: 52	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല	