



## GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

### Abstract

Prisons - Recommendation of the Jail Advisory Committee of Central Prison & Correctional Home, Kannur - Premature release of prisoners - Orders issued.

---

### HOME(B) DEPARTMENT

G.O.(Ms)No.56/2026/HOME Dated,Thiruvananthapuram, 09-03-2026

---

Read: Letter No.WP1-23424/2024/PrHQ, dated 09.10.2024, 15.01.2025, 07.05.2025, 19.08.2025 & 19.01.2026 and Letter No.KPCS/1872/2025/WP1, dated 29.09.2025 of the Director General,Prisons & Correctional Services.

### ORDER

As per rule 462 and 463 of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, Advisory Committees are constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, Prison for Women and High Security Prison to make recommendations to the Government for the premature release of prisoners.

2. The Jail Advisory Committee of the Central Prison & Correctional Home, Kannur, which met on 08.08.2024, recommended 38 prisoners for premature release. The Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services, as per the letters read above, has forwarded the minutes of the meeting of the Advisory Committee, along with all supporting documents in respect of the prisoners who have been recommended for premature release.

3. During the period in which the recommendation was being examined, 18 inmates were either released after completing their sentence or expired.

4. Government have examined the matter in detail and are pleased to order the premature release of the following sixteen prisoners of Central Prison & Correctional Home, Kannur, excluding C. No. 8251 Raja, C.No. 1236 Basheer, C.No. 188/15 Dharmarajan and C.No. 123/23 Shiju, whose probation reports are not favour, by remitting their remaining period of substantive sentence, in exercise of the powers conferred under Article 161 of the Constitution of India and subject to the conditions laid down in rule

470 of the Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014, and on the condition that the release will be effected only on payment of fine, if any, or after undergoing the default sentence:

- 1.C.No. 7193 George
- 2.C.No. 704 Baburaj
- 3.C.No. 4765 Sabu
4. C.No.5057 Kunhumon
5. C.No.6691 Sundaran
- 6.C.No. 8083 Kailasan
7. C.No. 680 Padmanabhan
8. C.No. 1127 Balan Nair
9. C.No. 44/21 Vinu
10. C.No. 179/22 Aboobacker
11. C.No. 256/22 Mohanan
12. C.No.614/22 Salam
13. C.No. 88/23 Sadu
14. C.No. 475/23 Pradeep
15. C.No. 594/18 Vasudevan
16. C.No.644/19 Palan

(By order of the Governor)  
BISHWANATH SINHA  
ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY

To:

Additional Chief Secretary to Hon'ble Governor, Lok Bhavan,  
Thiruvananthapuram  
The State Police Chief, Thiruvananthapuram  
The Director General of Prisons and Correctional Services,  
Thiruvananthapuram (with case files)  
Superintendent, Central Prison & Correctional Home, Kannur  
General Administration (SC) Department  
Law Department (Vide OPN-E1/135/2025-LAW dated 05.04.2025)  
Information Officer, Web & New Media division, I&PRD  
Stock file.

Forwarded /By order

Signed by

Amina B

Date: 10-03-2026 11:07:31

Section Officer



193

രഹസ്യം

കേരള സർക്കാർ

112

മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗത്തിന്റെ നടപടിക്കുറിപ്പുകൾ

തീയതി : 18-02-2026

ഫയൽ നം. ബി1/355/2024/ആഭ്യന്തരം.

ഇനം നം: 3687

വിഷയം : ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ് - ജയിലുകൾ - കണ്ണൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 08.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത്.

തീരുമാനം : കുറിപ്പിലെ നിർദ്ദേശം (1) അംഗീകരിച്ചു.

(ഒപ്പ്)  
പിണറായി വിജയൻ  
മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി  
(ശരിപ്പകർപ്പ്)

  
ഡോ. എ. ജയതിലക്  
ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി

അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി, ആഭ്യന്തര വകുപ്പ്.

19/2/26



III

കേരള സർക്കാർ  
 (ശ്രീ. പിണറായി വിജയൻ മന്ത്രിസഭ)  
 മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പ്

1. ഫയൽ നമ്പർ	ബി1/355/2024/ആഭ്യന്തരം
2. വകുപ്പ്	ആഭ്യന്തര (ബി) വകുപ്പ്
3. വിഷയം	ആഭ്യന്തരവകുപ്പ് - ജയിലുകൾ- കണ്ണൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 08.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് - സംബന്ധിച്ച്
4. മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിൽ സമർപ്പിക്കാനുള്ള മുഖ്യമന്ത്രിയുടെ ഉത്തരവ് തീയതി	23.06.2025
5. (i) ഇത് സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യതയുള്ളതാണോ ? (ii) ഇത് സാമ്പത്തിക ബാധ്യതയുള്ളതാണെങ്കിൽ ധനവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ ?	അല്ല  ബാധകമല്ല
6. മറ്റേതെങ്കിലും വകുപ്പുമായി ആലോചിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ, ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അവരുടെ അഭിപ്രായം മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പിൽ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ?	ഉണ്ട്, നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധമായി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്
7. കുറിപ്പ് സമർപ്പിച്ച ജോയിന്റ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീമതി സിന്ധു. റ്റി .എസ്
8. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീ. ബിശ്വനാഥ് സിൻഹ
9. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് അഡീഷണൽ ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	11.02.2026
10. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറിയുടെ പേര്	ഡോ. എ. ജയതിലക്
11. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	12.02.2026
12. കുറിപ്പ് അംഗീകരിച്ച മന്ത്രിയുടെ പേര്	ശ്രീ. പിണറായി വിജയൻ
13. മന്ത്രിസഭയ്ക്കുള്ള കരട് കുറിപ്പ് മന്ത്രി അംഗീകരിച്ച തീയതി	13.02.2026
14. കുറിപ്പിന്റെ പകർപ്പുകൾ സമർപ്പിച്ച തീയതി	16.02.2026
15. മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗം തീരുമാനമെടുത്ത തീയതി	
16. തീരുമാനം പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച സർക്കാർ ഉത്തരവ് / കത്തിന്റെ നമ്പരം തീയതിയും	

**മന്ത്രിസഭാ യോഗത്തിനുള്ള കുറിപ്പ്**

കണ്ണൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 08.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നത് സംബന്ധിച്ചുള്ളതാണ് ഈ കുറിപ്പ്.

2. 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗ്ഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടങ്ങളിലെ ചട്ടം 462 അനുസരിച്ച് തടവുകാരുടെ അകാലവിടുതൽ സംബന്ധിച്ച ശുപാർശകൾ സർക്കാരിന് സമർപ്പിക്കുന്നതിനായി എല്ലാ സെൻട്രൽ ജയിലുകളിലും വനിതാ ജയിലുകളിലും തുറന്ന ജയിലുകളിലും വനിതാ തുറന്ന ജയിലിലും അതീവ സുരക്ഷാ ജയിലിലും ഉപദേശക സമിതികൾ രൂപീകരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. കണ്ണൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി 08.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ യോഗം ചേർന്ന് 38 അന്തേവാസികൾക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിനും ഒരു അന്തേവാസിക്ക് സാധാരണ അവധി അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിനാണ് ശുപാർശ ചെയ്തത്. ഇതിൽ സാധാരണ അവധിക്ക് ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യപ്പെട്ട, സി.നം.584/19 ഇബ്രാഹിമിന് സ.ഉ(സാധാ)നം.2125/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം, തീയതി 25.06.2025 പ്രകാരം സാധാരണ അവധി അനുവദിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

3. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ ചെയ്യപ്പെട്ട 38 അന്തേവാസികളുടെ കേസ് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിശദാംശങ്ങൾ ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു:

ക്രമ നം.	കൺവിക്ട് നം. & പേര് (വയസ്സ്)	കറകൃത്യം	ശിക്ഷ	പോലീസ് റിപ്പോർട്ട്	പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട്	31.12.2023 തീയതിയിൽ പൂർത്തീകരിച്ച യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ്	31.12.2023 തീയതിയിൽ ഇളവ് ഉൾപ്പെടെ പൂർത്തീകരിച്ച ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ്	ഫൈൻലൈംഗ് സമ്പന്നിയിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ
1	7193 ജോർജ്ജ് (58)	19 വയസ്സുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടിയുടെ കൊലപാതകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 10 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 05 മാസം 29 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
2	8251 രാജ (53)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	ലഭ്യമായിട്ടില്ല	പ്രതികൂലം	24 വർഷം 03 മാസം 27 ദിവസം	29 വർഷം 11 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
3	704 ബാബുരാജ് (64)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	21 വർഷം 03 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 04 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല

4	1191 ജോസ് (83)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 307 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 10 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	25 വർഷം 08 ദിവസം	മരണമട ഞ്ഞു
5	4345 രതീഷ്	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 392)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 02 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 09 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	മരണമട ഞ്ഞു
6	1236 ബഷീർ (50)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	19 വർഷം 04 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 09 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
7	4765 സാബു (54)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 05 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 06 മാസം 26 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
8	4911 മണി (52)	ഒരു മാസം പ്രായമുള്ള സ്വന്തം കുഞ്ഞിനെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	17 വർഷം 03 മാസം 05 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 28 ദിവസം	മരണമട ഞ്ഞു
9	5057 കുഞ്ഞുമോ ൻ (62)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 11 മാസം 01 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 4 മാസം 21 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
10	6691 സുന്ദരൻ (53)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 04 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	18 വർഷം 10 മാസം 08 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
11	8083 കൈലാസ ൻ (59)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 11 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 10 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
12	680 പത്മനാഭ ൻ (50)	സ്ത്രീയുടെ കൊലപാ തകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 201 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	23 വർഷം 01 മാസം 29 ദിവസം	28 വർഷം 07 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല

13	1127 ബാലൻ നായർ (74)	ഒരു സ്ത്രീയുടെയും 2 പുരുഷന്റെയും കൊലപാ തകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	20 വർഷം 23 ദിവസം	24 വർഷം 06 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
14	2202 മുയ്യൻ (71)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 08 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 08 മാസം 29 ദിവസം	മരണമട ഞ്ഞു
15	188/15 ധർമ്മരാജ ൻ (66)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	25 വർഷം 07 മാസം 08 ദിവസം	27 വർഷം 03 മാസം 01 ദിവസം	ഇല്ല
16	44/21 വീൻ (39)	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 392, 447 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	19 വർഷം 11 മാസം 05 ദിവസം	23 വർഷം 09 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
17	179/22 അബൂബക്ക ർ (71)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 02 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 07 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
18	256/22 മോഹനൻ (70)	കൊലപാ തകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 324, 452 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	15 വർഷം 01 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	21 വർഷം 02 മാസം 22 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
19	614/22 സലാം (56)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 307, 449 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	18 വർഷം 08 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 10 മാസം 20 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
20	88/23 സാദു (63)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടു ത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 326 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	16 വർഷം 26 ദിവസം	19 വർഷം 10 മാസം 09 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
21	475/23 പ്രദീപ് (42)	കൊലപാ തകം	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 394, 397, 201 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	14 വർഷം 08 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	22 വർഷം 04 മാസം 16 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല

22	594/18 വാസുദേവൻ (73)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (376(2)(i), 376(2)(n) IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	05 വർഷം 08 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	06 വർഷം 10 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
23	644/19 പാലൻ (66)	ഒരു സ്ത്രീയെ ആക്രമിച്ച് ബലാത്സംഗം ചെയ്തു.	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (376(2)(f)(i) (n) IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	06 വർഷം 03 മാസം 14 ദിവസം	07 വർഷം 02 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
24	271/23 മണി (67)	അഞ്ച് വയസ്സുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടിയോടുള്ള ലൈംഗികാതിക്രമം.	2 വർഷം വെറും തടവ് (509 IPC, POCSO)	പ്രതികൂലം	ലഭ്യമായിട്ടില്ല	11 മാസം 5 ദിവസം	01 വർഷം 25 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി.
25	698/16 ശീർവൻ (45)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയോടുള്ള ലൈംഗികാതിക്രമം.	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (376 IPC).	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	07 വർഷം 04 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	08 വർഷം 06 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി. നിലവിൽ പിഴ ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിക്കുന്നു.
26	988/16 അബ്ദുൾ റഹ്മാൻ (60)	പത്തു വയസ്സുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടിയെ ബലാത്സംഗം ചെയ്തു.	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (376 (f), 506(i), 201 IPC).	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	07 വർഷം 04 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	08 വർഷം 07 മാസം 24 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
27	532/17 ബാലൻ (47)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (304 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	07 വർഷം 06 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	08 വർഷം 08 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി നിലവിൽ പിഴ ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിക്കുന്നു
28	943/17 അബ്ദുൾ റഹ്മാൻ (64)	മാനസിക വൈകല്യമുള്ള പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (376 IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	06 വർഷം 01 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	06 വർഷം 10 മാസം 09 ദിവസം	മരണമടഞ്ഞു

		ബലാത്സംഗം ചെയ്തു.						
29	170/18 സുബോദ് ഇക്ക (32)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (363, 376 IPC)	ലഭ്യമായിട്ടില്ല	അനുകൂലം	07 വർഷം 11 മാസം 17 ദിവസം	08 വർഷം 09 മാസം 13 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
30	202/21 സന്തോഷ് (49)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	5 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് 10 r/w 9(n) of POCSO	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	03 വർഷം 02 മാസം 03 ദിവസം	03 വർഷം 07 മാസം 04 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
31	176/22 കൊഴു (48)	കൊലപാതകം	5 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (304 Part I & II IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 11 മാസം 08 ദിവസം	02 വർഷം 02 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
32	177/22 ബാലൻ (53)	കൊലപാതകം	7 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (304 Part I & II IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 11 മാസം 08 ദിവസം	02 വർഷം 02 മാസം 18 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
33	178/22 മുഹമ്മദ് കുഞ്ഞി (45)	കൊലപാതകം	7 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (304 Part I & II IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 11 മാസം 08 ദിവസം	02 വർഷം 02 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
34	539/22 സുകുമാരൻ വെളിച്ചപ്പാട് (63)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത ആൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	3 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (7 r/w 8 of POCSO Act 2012, 323 IPC, 3(2)(Va) of SC/ST Act)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 05 മാസം 02 ദിവസം	01 വർഷം 06 മാസം 11 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
35	542/22 കരുണൻ (54)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത ആൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	3 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (8 r/w 7 of POCSO Act)	ലഭ്യമായിട്ടില്ല	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 06 മാസം 19 ദിവസം	01 വർഷം 10 മാസം 10 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി

36	123/23 ഷിജു (52)	വനിതാ ഹോസ്റ്റലിൽ അതിക്രമിച്ച കയറി	പല വകുപ്പുകളിലായി 3 വർഷവും 8 മാസവും കഠിന തടവ് (457, 354 (c) (d), 427, 294 (b) IPC)	പ്രതികൂലം	പ്രതികൂലം	01 വർഷം 10 മാസം 05 ദിവസം	01 വർഷം 10 മാസം 25 ദിവസം	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല
37	203/23 ബിജു (45)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	5 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (10 r/w 9(m) of POCSO Act)	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	03 വർഷം 05 മാസം 28 ദിവസം	03 വർഷം 11 മാസം 15 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
38	239/23 രാജൻ (60)	വനത്തിൽ അതിക്രമിച്ച കയറി രണ്ട് ചന്ദന മരങ്ങൾ മുറിച്ചുമാറ്റി.	പല വകുപ്പുകളിലായി 3 വർഷം, 8 മാസം കഠിന തടവ് (47 G(1), 27(1) (e)(iii), 27(1) (e)(iv) of Kerala Forest act	പ്രതികൂലം	അനുകൂലം	01 വർഷം 07 മാസം 07 ദിവസം	01 വർഷം 07 മാസം 23 ദിവസം	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി

4. മേൽ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട 37 തടവുകാരുടെ കേസ് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിശദവിവരങ്ങൾ കൂടി ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തിയുള്ള നിയമവകുപ്പിന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം അനുബന്ധം I ആയി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. ക്രമ നം.5, സി നം.4345 രതീഷ് ഇതിനിടയിൽ മരണമടഞ്ഞതിനാൽ ഇദ്ദേഹത്തിന്റെ കേസ് നിയമവകുപ്പ് പരിശോധിച്ചിരുന്നില്ല.

5. ഈ ശിപാർശ വിശദമായി പരിശോധിച്ച് വരുന്ന കാലയളവിൽ ശിപാർശയിലുൾപ്പെട്ട 18 അന്തേവാസികൾ മരണമടയുകയോ ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കുകയോ ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഇവരുടെ വിവരങ്ങൾ അനുബന്ധം 2 ആയി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. അതിനാൽ ഈ 18 അന്തേവാസികളെ അകാലവിടുതലിന് പരിഗണിക്കേണ്ടതില്ല.

6. അകാലവിടുതലുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട്, കുറ്റകൃത്യത്തിന്റെ സ്വഭാവം മാത്രം പരിഗണിച്ച് തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ നിഷേധിക്കുന്നതിനെതിരായി ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതി WP(Crl) 520/22 നമ്പർ കേസിൽ, Joseph Vs State of Kerala, 21.09.2023 തീയതിയിലെ വിധിന്യായത്തിൽ നിരീക്ഷണം നടത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളതാണ്. പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ പ്രസക്തഭാഗങ്ങൾ ചുവടെ ചേർക്കുന്നു.

".....33. Classifying to use a better word, typecasting convicts, through guidelines which are inflexible, based on their crime committed in the distant past can result in the real danger of

overlooking the reformatory potential of each individual convict. Grouping types of convicts, based on the offences they were found to have committed, as a starting point, may be justified. However, the prison laws in India – read with Articles 72 and 161 encapsulate a strong underlying reformatory purpose. The practical impact of a guideline, which bars consideration of a premature release request by a convict who has served over 20 or 25 years, based entirely on the nature of crime committed in the distant past, would be to crush the life force out of such individual, altogether. Thus, for instance, a 19 or 20 year old individual convicted for a crime, which finds place in the list which bars premature release, altogether, would mean that such person would never see freedom, and would die within the prison walls. There is a peculiarity of continuing to imprison one who committed a crime years earlier who might well have changed totally since that time. This is the condition of many people serving very long sentences. They may have killed someone (or done something much less serious, such as commit a narcotic drug related offences or be serving a life sentence for other non-violent crimes) as young individuals and remain incarcerated 20 or more years later. Regardless of the morality of continued punishment, one may question its rationality. The question is, what is achieved by continuing to punish a person who recognises the wrongness of what they have done, who no longer identifies with it, and who bears little resemblance to the person they were years earlier? It is tempting to say that they are no longer the same person. Yet, the insistence of guidelines, obdurately, to not look beyond the red lines drawn by it and continue in denial to consider the real impact of prison good behavior, and other relevant factors (to ensure that such individual has been rid of the likelihood of causing harm to society) results in violation of Article 14 of the Constitution. Excluding the relief of premature release to prisoners who have served extremely long periods of incarceration, not only crushes their spirit, and instils despair, but signifies society's resolve to be harsh and unforgiving. The idea of rewarding, a prisoner for good conduct is entirely negated.

34. In the petitioner's case, the 1958 Rules are clear a life sentence, is deemed to be 20 years of incarceration. After this, the prisoner is entitled to premature release. 28 The guidelines issued by the NHRC pointed out to us by the counsel for the petitioner, are also relevant to consider that of mandating release, after serving 25 years as sentence (even in heinous crimes). At this juncture, redirecting the petitioner who has already undergone over 26 years of incarceration (and over 35 years of punishment with remission), before us to undergo, yet again, consideration before the Advisory Board, and thereafter, the state government for premature release – would be a cruel outcome, like being granted only a salve to fight a raging fire, in the name of procedure. The grand vision of the rule of law and the idea of fairness is then swept away, at the altar of procedure which this court has repeatedly held to be a "handmaiden of justice".

35. Rule 376 of the 2014 Rules prescribes that prisoners shall be granted remission for keeping peace and good behaviour in jail. As per the records produced by the State, the petitioner has earned over 8 years of remission, thus demonstrating his good conduct in jail. The discussions in the minutes of the meetings of the Jail Advisory Board are also positive and find that he is hardworking, disciplined, and a reformed inmate. Therefore, in the interest of justice, this court is of the opinion, that it would be appropriate to direct the release of the petitioner, with immediate effect. It is ordered accordingly.

36. The writ petition, thus, stands allowed in the above terms. Pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

157

7. പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ, ബഹു കേരളാ ഹൈക്കോടതി മുമ്പാകെ ശ്രീമതി പ്രസന്ന, ശ്രീമതി ബിന്ദു പ്രകാശൻ എന്നിവർ യഥാക്രമം ഫയൽ ചെയ്ത WA.No. 1245/24, WA No.2137/24 എന്നിവയിന്മേലും ശ്രീ ബാലു ഫയൽ ചെയ്ത WP(Crl) 1215/24-യും സമാന വിധത്തിലുള്ള വിധിന്യായം ബഹു. കോടതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതും ഈ വിധിന്യായങ്ങൾ കൂടി കണക്കിലെടുത്തുകൊണ്ട് നെട്ടുകാൽത്തേരി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ അന്തേവാസിയും മേൽക്കേസിൽ പരാമർശിക്കപ്പെട്ടതുമായ സി.നം.2761 രാജൻ, ചീമേനി തുറന്ന ജയിലിലെ അന്തേവാസിയായിരുന്ന സി.നം. 321 പ്രകാശൻ എന്നിവർക്ക് 15.09.2025 തീയതിയിലെ സ.ഉ(കൈ)നം.171/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം പ്രകാരവും വിധ്യൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ അന്തേവാസിയായ സി.നം. 6036 ബാലു, ഉൾപ്പെടെ നാലുപേർക്ക് 31.10.2025 തീയതിയിലെ സ.ഉ(കൈ)നം.206/2025/ആഭ്യന്തരം പ്രകാരവും അകാല വിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി.

8. കുറ്റവാളികളുടെ മേൽ ചുമത്തുന്ന പിഴ ശിക്ഷ (fine sentence) സംബന്ധിച്ച് Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024 നമ്പർ കേസിലെ ഉത്തരവിൽ ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതിയുടെ നിരീക്ഷണങ്ങളുടെ അടിസ്ഥാനത്തിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന അഭിപ്രായം ലഭ്യമാക്കിയിട്ടുണ്ട്:

**" Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs. Union of India, MANU/SC/0025/2024** എന്ന കേസിൽ അകാല വിടുതലിനായി പരിഗണിക്കുന്ന തടവുകാർക്ക് ശിക്ഷയോടൊപ്പം ഫൈൻ കൂടി വിധിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതാണെങ്കിൽ ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കിയത് സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ കൂടി പരിശോധിക്കേണ്ടതാണെന്ന് വിധിക്കുകയുണ്ടായി. ആയത് ഭരണവകുപ്പ് ഉറപ്പ് വരുത്തേണ്ടതാണ്.

ബിൽക്കിസ് യാക്കൂബ്, കേസിൽ ബഹു. സുപ്രീംകോടതി പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ച ഉത്തരവിലെ 54ാം ഖണ്ഡികയിൽ പിഴയ്ക്കു പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ സംബന്ധിച്ച കാര്യങ്ങൾ വിശദീകരിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്. ഖണ്ഡിക 54 -3 ൽ ..... " the sentence of imprisonment awarded to a person for committing an offence is distinct than the imprisonment ordered to be undergone on default of payment of fine. The latter is not a substantive sentence for commission of offence but is in the nature of penalty for default in payment of fine" എന്ന് വ്യക്തമാക്കുന്നു. സമാനമായ മറ്റൊരു കേസിൽ നിയമവകുപ്പ് തന്നെ ഉപദേശത്തിൽ ഇത് വിശദീകരിക്കുന്നുണ്ട്

9. ഇതിൻ പ്രകാരം ശിക്ഷാ ഇളവിന് പരിഗണിക്കുന്ന തടവുകാർ ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കുന്നതുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട് വ്യക്തത വരുത്തുവാൻ ജയിൽ മേധാവിയോട് ആവശ്യപ്പെട്ടിരുന്നു. പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്തതിന് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷാ കാലാവധി കൂടി കണക്കിലെടുത്തല്ല ജയിൽ ഉപദേശകസമിതികൾ BNSS 475 (CrPC 433 A) പ്രകാരം നിഷ്കർഷിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള മിനിമം കാലാവധിയായ 14 വർഷം കണക്കാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതെന്നും Set off കാലാവധി ഉൾപ്പെടെയുള്ള യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷ (Substantive Sentence) മാത്രമാണ് ഇതിനായി കണക്കാക്കുന്നതെന്നും ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതി ശുപാർശ അനുസരിച്ച് വിടുതൽ ഉത്തരവാകുന്ന പക്ഷം, അന്തേവാസികൾ പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കിയെന്ന് ഉറപ്പുവരുത്തി മാത്രമാണ് വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്നും പിഴ ഒടുക്കാത്ത തടവുകാരെ പിഴയ്ക്ക് പകരമായി അനുഭവിക്കേണ്ട ശിക്ഷ കൂടി അനുഭവിച്ച ശേഷം മാത്രമാണ് വിടുതൽ ചെയ്യുന്നതെന്നും ജയിൽ മേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. കൂടാതെ ഈ ശുപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട അന്തേവാസികളിൽ പിഴശിക്ഷയ്ക്ക് കൂടി വിധിക്കപ്പെട്ടവരാരും തന്നെ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചിട്ടില്ലായെന്നും അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച് ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളുവെന്നും അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ലായെന്നും അതിനാലാണ് അന്തേവാസികൾ പിഴത്തുക അടയ്ക്കാത്തതെന്നും ജയിൽവകുപ്പ്

മേധാവി റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ പരിഗണിക്കേണ്ട 20 തടവുകാരുടെ പിഴ സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ അനുബന്ധം 3 ആയി ചേർക്കുന്നു.

10. ഈ ശിപാർശയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ട അന്തേവാസികളുടെ കാര്യത്തിൽ താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന വസ്തുതകൾ കൂടി ശ്രദ്ധയിൽപ്പെടുത്തുന്നു.

i. സി.നം. 7193 ജോർജിന്, CrI.A.No 340/88 ന് മേലുള്ള വിധിന്യായത്തിൽ അകാലവിടുതൽ സംബന്ധിച്ച് എതിരഭിപ്രായമൊന്നും രേഖപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടില്ലാത്ത പക്ഷം ടിയാന്റെ അകാലവിടുതലിന് മറ്റ് നിയമ തടസ്സങ്ങൾ ഒന്നുമില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്. പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ പകർപ്പ് ഹൈക്കോടതിയിൽ നിന്നും ലഭ്യമാകില്ലായെന്ന് ജയിൽമേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. അതിനാൽ വിധിന്യായം പരിശോധിക്കാൻ നിർവാഹമില്ലാത്തതാണ്.

ii. സി നം. 1236 ബഷീറിന് ശിക്ഷാ കോടതി വിധിച്ച വധശിക്ഷ ബഹു ഹൈക്കോടതി ജീവപര്യന്തമായി കുറച്ച് ഉത്തരവായിട്ടുണ്ടെങ്കിലും പ്രസ്തുത വിധിന്യായത്തിന്റെ പകർപ്പ് ലഭ്യമാകാത്തതിനാൽ ഇയാളുടെ അകാലവിടുതലുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട് വ്യക്തമായ നിയമോപദേശം നൽകാൻ കഴിയില്ലായെന്ന് നിയമവകുപ്പ് അഭിപ്രായപ്പെട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്. പ്രസ്തുത ഹൈക്കോടതി ഉത്തരവിന്റെ പകർപ്പ് ലഭ്യമായിട്ടില്ലായെന്നും ലഭ്യമാക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള നടപടികൾ വീണ്ടും സ്വീകരിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടെന്നും ജയിൽമേധാവി അറിയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട് വിധിന്യായം ലഭ്യമാക്കിയാൽ മാത്രമേ ഇക്കാര്യം പരിശോധിക്കാൻ കഴിയുകയുള്ളൂ.

iii. സി.നം. 8251 രാജ @ രവി എന്ന അന്തേവാസിയെ പുനരധിവാസ/വയോജന കേന്ദ്രത്തിലേക്ക് മാറ്റിപ്പാർപ്പിക്കണമെന്ന വ്യവസ്ഥയിലാണ് സമിതി അകാലവിടുതലിന് ശിപാർശ ചെയ്തത്. സാമൂഹ്യനീതി വകുപ്പുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട് സൈക്കോ സോഷ്യൽ റീഹാബിലിറ്റേഷൻ സെന്ററുകളിൽ അന്വേഷിച്ചതിൽ, ഉൾപ്പെടുത്താവുന്നതിലധികം അന്തേവാസികളെ പാർപ്പിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതിനാലും ഈ അന്തേവാസിക്ക് നൽകുന്ന സൈക്യാട്രി മരുന്നുകളുടെ തീവ്രത കണക്കിലെടുത്തും ഏറ്റെടുക്കാൻ സാധിക്കില്ലായെന്ന് സെന്ററുകളിൽ നിന്നും അറിയിച്ചതായും ജയിൽവകുപ്പ് മേധാവി റിപ്പോർട്ട് ചെയ്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.

11. കണ്ണൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിൽ 08.08.2024 തീയതിയിൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശിപാർശ പ്രകാരം തടവുകാർക്ക് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്ന വിഷയം മന്ത്രിസഭായോഗത്തിന്റെ പരിഗണനയ്ക്ക് സമർപ്പിക്കാൻ ബഹു. മുഖ്യമന്ത്രി ഉത്തരവായി.

**തീരുമാനിക്കേണ്ട വിഷയം.**

1. കണ്ണൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 08.08.2024 ൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശിപാർശയും നിയമ വകുപ്പിന്റെ ഉപദേശവും പരിഗണിച്ച് ഭരണഘടനയുടെ അനുച്ഛേദം 161 പ്രദാനം ചെയ്യുന്ന അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ചും, 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടങ്ങളിലെ ചട്ടം 470 ലെ നിബന്ധനകൾക്ക് വിധേയമായും ശിക്ഷിക്കപ്പെട്ട പിഴ അടച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ പിഴയ്ക്ക് പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ ആയിരിക്കും വിടുതൽ എന്ന് വ്യക്തമാക്കിക്കൊണ്ടും, പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട് അനുക്രമമല്ലാത്ത സി.നം. 8251 രാജ, സി നം. 1236 ബഷീർ, സി.നം 188/15 ധർമ്മരാജൻ, സി.നം 123/23 ഷിജു എന്നിവരെ ഒഴിവാക്കി താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന 16 തടവുകാരുടെ ശേഷിക്കുന്ന പ്രധാന ശിക്ഷാകാലയളവ്

(period of substantive sentence) ഇളവ് ചെയ്ത് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് ബഹു. ഗവർണ്ണർക്ക് ഉപദേശം നൽകാമോ?

- 1. സി.നം. 7193 ജോർജ്ജ്
- 2. സി.നം. 704 ബാബുരാജ്
- 3. സി.നം. 4765 സാബു
- 4. സി.നം. 5057 കണ്ണുമോൻ
- 5. സി.നം. 6691 സുന്ദരൻ
- 6. സി.നം. 8083 കൈലാസൻ
- 7. സി.നം. 680 പത്മനാഭൻ
- 8. സി.നം. 1127 ബാലൻ നായർ
- 9. സി.നം. 44/21 വിനു
- 10. സി.നം. 179/22 അബൂബക്കർ
- 11. സി.നം. 256/22 മോഹനൻ
- 12. സി.നം. 614/22 സലാം
- 13. സി.നം. 88/23 സാദു
- 14. സി.നം. 475/23 പ്രദീപ്
- 15. സി.നം. 594/18 വാസുദേവൻ
- 16. സി.നം. 644/19 പാലൻ

അല്ലെങ്കിൽ

2. കണ്ണൂർ സെൻട്രൽ പ്രിസൺ & കറക്ഷണൽ ഹോമിലെ 08.08.2024 ൽ കൂടിയ ജയിൽ ഉപദേശക സമിതിയുടെ ശുപാർശയും നിയമ വകുപ്പിന്റെ ഉപദേശവും പരിഗണിച്ച് ഭരണഘടനയുടെ അനുച്ഛേദം 161 പ്രദാനം ചെയ്യുന്ന അധികാരങ്ങൾ വിനിയോഗിച്ചും, 2014 ലെ കേരള പ്രിസണുകളും സംശുദ്ധീകരണ സാമ്പാർഗ്ഗീകരണ സേവനങ്ങളും (നിർവ്വഹണം) ചട്ടങ്ങളിലെ ചട്ടം 470 ലെ നിബന്ധനകൾക്ക് വിധേയമായും പിഴ ശിക്ഷ വിധിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടെങ്കിൽ പിഴ അടച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ അല്ലെങ്കിൽ പിഴയ്ക്ക് പകരമുള്ള ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു മാത്രമോ ആയിരിക്കും വിടുതൽ എന്ന് വ്യക്തമാക്കിക്കൊണ്ടും, ഖണ്ഡിക 10 - ൽ പരാമർശിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള വസ്തുതകൾ കൂടി കണക്കിലെടുത്ത്, പ്രസ്തുത അന്തേവാസികളെയും പ്രൊബേഷൻ റിപ്പോർട്ട് അനുസരിച്ചുവെച്ച സി.നം. 188/15 ധർമ്മരാജൻ, സി.നം. 123/23 ഷിജു എന്നിവരെയും ഒഴിവാക്കി താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന 15 അന്തേവാസികളുടെ ശേഷിക്കുന്ന പ്രധാന ശിക്ഷാ കാലയളവ് (period of substantive punishment) ഇളവ് ചെയ്ത് അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിക്കുന്നതിന് ബഹു. ഗവർണ്ണർക്ക് ഉപദേശം നൽകാമോ ?

- 1. സി.നം. 704 ബാബുരാജ്
- 2. സി.നം. 4765 സാബു
- 3. സി.നം. 5057 കണ്ണുമോൻ
- 4. സി.നം. 6691 സുന്ദരൻ
- 5. സി.നം. 8083 കൈലാസൻ
- 6. സി.നം. 680 പത്മനാഭൻ
- 7. സി.നം. 1127 ബാലൻ നായർ

152

- 8. സി.നം 44/21 വിൻ
- 9. സി.നം 179/22 അബൂബക്കർ
- 10. സി.നം 256/22 മോഹനൻ
- 11. സി.നം 614/22 സലാം
- 12. സി.നം 88/23 സാദു
- 13. സി.നം 475/23 പ്രദീപ്
- 14. സി.നം 594/18 വാസുദേവൻ
- 15. സി.നം 644/19 പാലൻ

## ANNEXURE - I

1. Section 77 of the THE KERALA PRISONS AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES (MANAGEMENT) ACT, 2010 confers Government the power of granting premature release to prisoners either suo moto or on the recommendation of the Advisory Committee. As per rule 462 of Kerala Prison and Correctional Services (Management) Rules, 2014 Jail Advisory Committees are constituted in each Central Prison, Open Prison, High Security Prison and Prison for Women to make recommendation for the premature release of prisoners. The Advisory Committee, Central Prison and Correctional Home, Kannur held on 08.08.2024 recommended 39 prisoners for granting premature release. C No.4345 Ratheesh died on 16.10.2024.

2. As per the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Bilkis Yakub Rasool Vs. Union of India*, MANU/SC/0025/2024, the Court held that the appropriate Government is duty bound to consider the fact of payment of fine while granting remission of sentence to the prisoner who has been sentenced for payment of fine as well with his substantive sentence for imprisonment. As per the judgment, not only the government but the Advisory Board are also duty bound to verify whether the prisoner made the payment of fine before making the recommendation for premature release of prisoner to the Government. As such the AD may be informed to be vigilant with regard to verification of details regarding payment of fine by the prisoner before passing order of remission. A/D may also be informed to furnish details regarding payment of fine, the period of set off, if any, calculated along with the period of incarceration for premature release of a prisoner in each case for perusal of this department. A/D may also be informed that while considering the cases that involves sexual assault and rape against minor and mentally challenged girls and POCSO related offences, A/D may ensure that it is fit case to be considered for Premature release or ordinary leave.

3. This Department has examined the recommendation of the Jail Advisory Board with all case files thoroughly. On the basis of a thorough examination of the details regarding the prisoners available in the file, the Administrative Department may be informed as follows:

**1.C.No. 7193 George S/o Kunjumon , Age 58**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 27.08.1987, the accused murdered a young girl of 19 years old at the courtyard of her house by stabbing with a knife. The incident was witnessed by her deaf and dumb sister.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No. 09/1988, dated 15.06.1988 of the Sessions Court,</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which</p>

Thalassery and was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life - u/s 302 IPC.

From the contents of the file it is seen that the judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI.A.No.310/88. (Copy of the judgment not submitted)

death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 10 months and 03 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgments of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thalassery in SC No.09/1988, dated 15.06.1988, does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner. A/D should verify whether any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner contained in the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in CRLA 310/88.

However, there are no records in the file regarding payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner contained in the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in CRLA 310/88, there are no other legal impediments in granting premature release to the prisoner.

## 2. C.No. 8251 Raja @ Ravi S/o Ramaswami ,Age 53

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 03-09-1999 Raja picked up quarell with one Manohari, a co-worker near Thekkinkadu maidanam and pushed her to the side of well and from there he picked her up and dropped her into the well resulting in death.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.332/2001, dated 28.11.2001 of the Additional District &amp; Sessions Court Thrissur. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life - u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.(Front page of the judgment is missing)</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI.A.No.32 of 2002 dated 12.02.2004.</p>	<p>"The District Probation Officer, Dindigul has not recommended his release. Police Report is not received. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release on the condition that he maybe shifted to a rehabilitation centre after release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment.</p> <p>The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 24 years, 03 months and 27 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the of the</p>

	<p>Hon'ble Additional District &amp; Sessions Court Thrissur in SC.No.332/2001, dated 28.11.2001 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CRL.A.No.32 of 2002 dated, 12.02.2004 contain any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of Raja @ Ravi.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>
--	--

### 3. C.No. 704 Baburaj S/o Velappan, Age 64

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 01.02.2002 at small hours Baburaj strangled his wife Geetha to death.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.415/2003 of the Sessions Court Thrissur. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life - u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.794/2004(B) dated 30.11.2006.</p>	<p>The additional District Probation Officer Thrissur recommended his release, with the condition of rehabilitating the offender in an institution which can provide psychiatric treatment or post psychiatric treatment care and support. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 of BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The convict has undergone actual imprisonment of 21 years, 03 months and 14 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thrissur in Sessions Case No.415/2003 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.794/2004(B) dated 30.11.2006 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Baburaj.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**4.C.No. 1191 Jose S/o Thomas, Age 83**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 23.11.2002 , he poured petrol over his wife Kochuthresia and set fire due to suspicion in her chastity and she succumbed to burn injuries.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.169/2004 of the III - Addl.Sessions Judge (Adhoc) Fast Track Court No.I, Thrissur and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 3 years u/s 307 IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.280/2005-A dated 17.09.2008.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Thrissur recommended his release with a condition that, he shall not be sent home but only to an institution outside Thrissur if he is granted release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release on a condition he may be shifted to an old age home as there are no relatives to accept him.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years, 10 months and 10 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>There are no adverse remarks on the premature release of Sri.Jose in the judgment of the Hon'ble III - Addl.Sessions Judge (Adhoc) Fast Track Court No.I, Thrissur in Sessions Case No.169/2004 and in the judgment of Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.280/2005-A dated 17.09.2008.</p> <p>Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 Of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.</p>

**5. C.No 1236 Basheer@Kuttaippu S/o Abu, Age 50**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that as Basheer was doubtful in his wife's chastity, on 11.04.2003 he stabbed her to death.</p> <p>The convict was the accused in SC.No.443/2003 of the Additional District &amp; Sessions Court( Fast Track Court-I) Manjeri and was convicted and sentenced to death u/s 302 IPC. (Front page of the judgment is missing)</p> <p>On perusal of the A/D's file it is seen stated that, the judgment of the convicting Court was modified as life imprisonment by the High Court</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Malappuram has not recommended his release. And the Police Report is also adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 04 months</p>

vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1705/2004 dated 05.07.2005. But the copy of the judgement of the High Court is not submitted for perusal. Since the prisoner was convicted for death sentence as per the Sessions Court judgement, it is unable for this department to offer remarks on the premature release of the prisoner without verifying the High Court judgment which modified the death sentence into life imprisonment.

and 20 days as on 31.12.2023.

Since the prisoner was convicted for death sentence as per the Sessions Court judgement, it is unable for this department to offer remarks on the premature release of the prisoner without verifying the High Court judgment which modified the death sentence into life imprisonment.

**6.C.No. 4765 Sabu S/o Divakaran, Age 54**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 08/07/2004 Sabu strangulated his wife Rajani by putting a towel across her neck on suspicion on her chastity.

He was the accused in SC.No.1638/2005 of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court (Adhoc-III) Thalassery. He was convicted and sentenced to Rigorous Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 25000/- i/d SI for 1year u/s 302 IPC. Entitled to get set off.

As per details furnished by the Superintendent, Central Prison & Correctional Home, Kannur, the sentence of the lower court was confirmed in Appeal. But no appeal judgments are enclosed in the case file. Hence AD may verify the same.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Thalassery recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 05 months and 25 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court( Adhoc-III) Thalassery in Sessions Case No.1638/2005 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sabu.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that on verification of payment of fines by the convict and the judgment of the appellate court, if any, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sabu.

**7.C.No. 4911 Mani S/o Chokran , Age 52**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 20.09.2006 Mani murderd his one month old newborn baby

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Wayanad has recommended the release and Police report

due to suspicion about the chastity of his wife and the parentage of the child.

He was the accused in SC.No.03/2007 of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court (Adhoc-II) Kalpetta. He was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC. Entitled to get set off.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1945/07(C) dated 02.01.2012.

is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 17 years, 03 months and 05 days as on 31.12.2023.

There are no adverse remarks on the premature release of Mani in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District & Sessions Court (Adhoc-II) Kalpetta in Sessions Case No.03/2007 and in the judgement of Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1945/07(C) dated 02.01.2012.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 Of BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

#### **8. C.No 5057 Kunhumon Elias S/o Chako , Age 62**

##### **Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 22-01-2003 Kunhumon beheaded his wife with a chopper due to suspicion in her chastity.

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.35/2007 of the Sessions Court, Kalpetta and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in CrI. Appeal No.1595/2007 dated 25.01.2012.

The judgment of the Convicting Court and Appellate Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the Supreme Court vide Judgment in SLP (CrI) No.4056/2013 dated 29/04/2013.

##### **Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Kalpetta has recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 11 months and 01 days as on 31.12.2023.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Kalpetta in Sessions Case No.35/2007 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in CrI. Appeal No.1595/2007 dated 25.01.2012, nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (CrI) No.4056/2013 dated 29/04/2013 contain any adverse remarks on the

premature release of Kunhumon.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

**9. C.No. 6691 Sundaran S/o Basavan , Age 53**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 23.08.2004 Sundaran stabbed his wife to death due to suspicion in her chastity.

He was the 1st accused in SC.No.40/2007 of the Additional Sessions Court (ADHOC) -II, Kalpetta and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 25, 000/- i/d RI for 1 years u/s 302 IPC.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.514/09 dated 12.02.2014.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Mysore has submitted a favourable report regarding his release. Police report is not received. Jail Advisory Board also recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 04 months and 25 days as on 31.12.2023.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court (ADHOC) -II, Kalpetta in Sessions Case No.40/2007 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No..514/09 dated 12.02.2014 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sundaran.

However, there are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner Sundaran.

**10. C.No 8083 Kailasan S/o Karunakaran Age 59**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 18.10.1999 Kailasan caused the death of his wife due to suspicion in her chastity.

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.126/2003 of the Additional District &

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Ernakulam recommended his release. The Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of

Sessions Court, North Paravur vide Judgment dated 29.09.2007 was convicted and sentenced to rigorous Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC. Set off not allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1852/07 dated 13.03.2012.

imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 11 months and 23 days as on 31.12.2023.(A/D may verify whether the period undergone by the prisoner before the conviction as undertrial prisoner has been added to the actual imprisonment which he had undergone.)

Neither in the Judgement of The Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, North Paravur in Sessions Case No.126/2003 and nor in the Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No. 1852/07 dated 13.03.2012 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Kailasan.

Subject to the verification of whether the period undergone by the prisoner before the conviction as under trial prisoner has been added to the actual imprisonment which he had undergone, there is no other legal impediment in his premature release.

### **11.C.No. 680 Padamnabhan @ Padma S/o Perumal, Age 50**

#### **Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that due to previous enmity on 09.10.2000 Padmanabhan along with Sivanesan committed murder of Pushparani by hitting on the head with an iron rod and chopping her neck with a knife and stabbing on her chest and abdomen.

The prisoner was the second accused in SC.No.81/2002 of the Additional Sessions Court, North Paravur. He was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 1 year u/s 201 IPC. Sentences shall run concurrently. Entitled to get set off.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.168/2003 dated 08.04.2005.

The prisoner was the second accused in another case no. SC.No.358/2003 of the

#### **Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Dindigul recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 23 years, 01 months and 29 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, North Paravur in Sessions Case No.81/2002, and the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.168/2003 dated 08.04.2005 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the

Additional Sessions Court, North Paravur. In this case he was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 1 year u/s 201 IPC. Sentences shall run concurrently.

prisoner.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS in SC.No.81/2002 of the Additional Sessions Court, North Paravur, there is no legal impediment in his premature release in SC.No.81/2002 of the Additional Sessions Court, North Paravur.

**12. C.No. 1127 Balan Nair S/o Gopalan Nair, Age 74**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that due to previous enmity, Balan Nair attacked his neighbour Narayanan Nair, his wife and another neighbour Sajeesh and murdered them.

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.218/2002 of the Additional District and Sessions Judge-I, Kozhikode. He was convicted and sentenced to death u/s 302 IPC. Copy of the judgment is not submitted for perusal and hence the same could not be verified.

The judgment of the convicting Court was modified as Life Imprisonment by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.16/2004 dated 15.09.2004.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer Kozhikode has recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 20 years and 23 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.16/2004 dated 15.09.2004 does not contain any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of Sri. Balan Nair.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release. However AD may consider the nature and gravity of the offence committed by the accused before granting premature release.

**13. C.No. 2202 Muiyyan S/o Basavan, Age 71**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 14.02.2004, Muiyyan stabbed his wife Vellachi doubting her chastity.

The prisoner is the 1st accused in SC.No.97/2005 of the Sessions Court, Kalpetta and convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC. Set off is allowed.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Wayanad recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.90/2007 dated 20.12.2011.

of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 08 months and 14 days as on 31.12.2023.

In the judgments of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Kalpetta in Sessions Case 97/2005 dated 18.02.2006, and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.90/2007 dated 20.12.2011, there are no adverse remarks regarding the premature release of Muiyyan.

Since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

#### **14.C.No. 188/15 Dharmarajan @ Dharman S/o Krishnan Nadar, Age 66**

##### **Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that consequent on a family dispute, with a view to vindicate his grudge towards his wife Chandrika, on 19.05.1998 Dharmarajan hacked her to death with a chopper

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.794/2013 of the Sessions Court - V, Palakkad. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life for life u/s 302 IPC.

From the contents of the file it is seen that the judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court and the details thereof appeal is not known. Copy of the appellate court judgment is also not submitted.

##### **Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, and Police have not recommended for his release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 25 years, 07 months, 08 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court - V, Palakkad in Sessions Case 794/2013 dated 09.03.2015, does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Dharmarajan. AD may also verify if any appeal was filed and whether there is any adverse remarks in the judgment, if any, of the High court.

Subject to the above, since the prisoner has completed the minimum period stipulated by section 475 BNSS, there is no legal impediment in his premature release.

**15. C.No. 44/21 Vinu@Ammachi Vinu S/o Rajappan , Age 39**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 28.01.2004 Vinu trespassed into a 55 year old lady's house who is his neighbour, killed her with a chopper and robbed her gold ornaments.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.494/2005 of the Addl. District &amp; Sessions Judge (Adhoc) Fast Track-III, Pathanamthitta. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life u/s 302 IPC and RI for 7 years &amp; fine of Rs. 5000 i/d SI for 3 months u/s 392 IPC and RI for 3 months u/s 447 IPC. Set off allowed. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.817/2022 dated 27.06.2023.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kollam recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 19 years, 11 months and 05 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. District &amp; Sessions Judge (Adhoc) Fast Track-III, Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No.494/2005, and the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.817/2022 dated 27.06.2023 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Vinu.</p> <p>However, there are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>

**16.C.No. 179/22 Aboobaker S/o Ahammed, Age 71**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 11.10.2005 Aboobaker stabbed his wife with a knife and she succumbed to injuries.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.494/2006 of Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc) -I, Manjeri and was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and pay fine of Rs.10000/- i/d RI 2 years u/s 302 IPC. Set off allowed.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting Court was</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Malappuram recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of</p>

confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.2242/2007(A) dated 20.12.2011.

18 years, 02 months and 20 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court (Adhoc) -I, Manjeri in Sessions Case No. 494/2006 and the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.2242/07A dated 20.12.2011 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Aboobacker.

However, there are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fine by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**17.C.No. 256/22 Mohanan@kattil Mohanan S/o Kelu , Age 70**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that, due to the previous enmity, on 07.02.2001 with an intention to kill Babu, the accused Mohanan him stabbed on his chest and other body parts resulting in death and also stabbed the deceased Babu's father and injured him.

He was the accused in SC.No.466/03 of Sessions Court Thalassery convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 25,000/- i/d SI for 2 years u/s 302 IPC and RI for 2 years and a fine of Rs 5000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 452 IPC and RI for 6 months u/s 324 IPC. Substantive sentences shall run concurrently. Set off is allowed.(page number 25 of the judgment is seen missing. Hence cannot verify whether any adverse remarks on the premature release contained in the judgment)

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.750/2008 dated 12.10.2012.

It is seen from the contents of the file that the Supreme Court has confirmed the sentence, but

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Thalassery recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The Prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 15 years, 01 months and 11 days as on 31.12.2023.

No adverse remarks on the premature release of Mohanan is seen in the Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court Crl. Appeal No.750/2008 dated 12.10.2012. Since page number 25 of the judgment of the convicting court is missing A/D may verify the same. A/D may also verify whether there are adverse remarks in the Supreme Court judgment, if any.

There are no records as to the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may

no copy of the judgment is enclosed hence A/D may verify the same.

verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D subject to the verification of payment of fines and the circumstances stated above there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**18.C.No. 614/22 Salam @ Salavudeen S/o Sulaiman Ravuthar, Age: 56**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that, on 02/09/2002 Salam brandishing a knife, chased his wife Prasanna and stabbed her to death. He stabbed his father in law also.

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.312/2005 of Addl. District & Sessions Judge (Adhoc) Fast Track II Pathanamthitta. He was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- i/d rigorous imprisonment for 1 year u/s 302 IPC and RI for 7 years u/s 307 IPC and RI for 3 years and pay a fine of Rs. 3,000/- i/d imprisonment for 6 months u/s 449 IPC to be suffered consecutively .It is stated in the judgment that the accused has been in judicial custody from 20.04.2005 till the date of judgment. The Court has stated that appropriate government may consider that aspect while considering the question of remission of life imprisonment.

The judgment of the convicting court was confirmed and the order of the lower court regarding substantive sentence was modified to run consecutively along with life imprisonment vide Judgment of the High Court in Crl. Appeal No.68/2006 dated 04.11.2009.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Pathanamthitta recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 18 years, 08 months and 15 days as on 31.12.2023.

Neither in the judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. District & Sessions Judge (Adhoc) Fast Track II Pathanamthitta in Sessions Case No.312/05 nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.68/2006 dated 04.11.2009 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**19.C.No. 88/23 Sadu @ Sadanandan S/o Vasu, Age: 63**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 18.01.2005 Sadu committed the murder of his wife using a chopper due to the suspicion of her chastity.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Thrissur recommended for his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board have

He was the accused in SC.No.95/2006 of the Additional Sessions (Fast Track Court-II Adhoc) Thrissur and the court convicted and sentenced to RI for Life and to pay fine of Rs.100000/- each to the two children of the deceased within six months from this day and in default, he shall undergo further rigorous imprisonment for a period of 3 years u/s 302 IPC. set off allowed on substantive sentences only when passing orders under Sec.433 CrPC.

He was the accused in CC.No.69/03 of JFCM I Kodungallur convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs.1,000/- i/d Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 326 IPC vide judgement dated 14.06.2005. (copy of the judgment not available for perusal.)

recommended his release.

As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 16 years, 26 days as on 31.12.2023.

Judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions (Fast Track Court-II Adhoc) Thrissur in SC.No.95/06 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sadanandan.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

When the accused was convicted in SC.No.95/2006 by the Additional Sessions (Fast Track Court-II Adhoc) Thrissur, he was already undergoing sentence in CC.No.69/03 of JFCM I Kodungallur in which he was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs.1,000/- i/d Imprisonment for 6 months u/s 326 IPC vide judgement dated 14.06.2005. Hence, the RI imposed on him in SC.No.95/2006 of the Additional Sessions (Fast Track Court-II Adhoc) Thrissur. There are no positive remarks in the subsequent judgment to get over the rigour under sub section (1) of section 467 of BNSS. Hence his sentence for life imprisonment in SC.No.95/2006 of the Additional Sessions (Fast Track Court-II Adhoc) Thrissur will only be commence after the completion of sentence period in the previous conviction i.e., in CC.No.69/03 of JFCM I Kodungallur. More over there is specific direction as to default sentence in the above sessions case on default of payment of fine.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed to verify time period covered by the subsequent sentence is also undergone before granting order to release the prisoner.

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 06-01-2007 Pradeep took away a gold merchant Ganesh Sait to Coimbatore on the pretense that they have to meet some persons in connection with the gold business and he killed him and abandoned his dead body in the water channel under a bridge in the Sathyamanghalam forest in Tamil nadu.</p> <p>He was the 1st accused in SC.No.1013/2007 of Sessions Court Thrissur and was convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs 5,00,000/- i/d RI for 5 more years u/s 302 IPC and Imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs. 4,00,000/- i/d RI for 4 more months u/s 394 r/w 397 IPC and RI for 7 years and to pay fine of 1,00,000/- i/d RI for 2 years u/s 201 IPC. Set off allowed and substantive sentences shall run concurrently.</p> <p>The judgment of the convicting court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed and set off again allowed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1811/2009 &amp; Crl.A. 2083/2009 dated 07.09.2016.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Palakkad recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board have recommended his release.</p> <p>As per sec. 475 BNSS where a sentence of imprisonment for life is imposed on conviction of a person for an offence for which death is one of the punishments provided by law, such person shall not be released from prison unless he had undergone atleast 14 years of imprisonment. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 14 years, 08 months and 19 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Neither in the Judgment of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Thrissur in Sessions Case No.103/2007 nor in the Judgement of Hon'ble High Court in Crl.A.No.1811/2009 dated 07.09.2016 contain no adverse remarks on the premature release of Pradeep.</p> <p>There are no records regarding payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>

**21.C.No 594/18 Vasudevan S/o Pazhaniyappan , Age: 73**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 19.02.2014 and before that for a period of about four years, Vasudevan sexually assaulted a minor girl, aged 13 years of age continuously from the age of 5.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.109/2015 of Additional Sessions Court, Palakkad. He was convicted and sentenced to Rigorous Imprisonment for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs.1,00,000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 376(2)(i) IPC and RI for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs.1,00,000/- i/d RI for 1 years u/s 376(2)(n) IPC. The substantive sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Palakkad has recommended for his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board have recommended his release.</p> <p>As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that</p>

they shall be in jail for at least one year. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 05 years, 08 months and 03 days as on 31.12.2023 and aged 73 years.

Judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court, Palakkad in Sessions Case No.109/2015 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Vasudevan.

There are no records regarding payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**22.C.No 644/19 Palan S/o Thadiyan Palan , Age: 66**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that the accused Palan, along with the victim and her parents had gone to Mangalore for job purpose. They stayed about one month in Mangalore in a shed in connection with the job purpose. During this period, the accused sexually assaulted and raped the victim repeatedly and She conceived and also had premature delivery.

Prisoner was the accused in SC.No.105/2018 of The Special Court for the trial of offences against Children (Additional Sessions Court - I, Manjeri.) The prisoner was convicted and sentenced to RI for 10 years and to pay a fine of Rs.50,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 376(2)(f)(n)(i) IPC and section 6 r/w 5(1)(n) of the POCSO Act. Set off allowed.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Malappuram has recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.

As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 06 years, 03 months and 14 days as on 31.12.2023 and he is aged 66 years.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court-I, Manjeri in SC.No.105/2018 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Palan.

There are no records regarding payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may

195

	<p>verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner</p>
--	--

**23.C.No. 271/23 Mani S/o Appu , Age: 67**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 09.10.2020 during noon, the accused in the court yard of his house with the sexual intent had lifted his dhoti and showed his sexual organ to a 8 year old girl while she was standing in the house compound.

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.07/2021 of Fast Track Special Court, Kalpetta and was convicted and sentenced Imprisonment for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs.20,000/- i/d SI for 2 months u/s 509 IPC and Imprisonment for 2 years and to pay fine of Rs.20,000/- i/d SI for 2 months u/s 12 r/w 11(i) of POCSO Act. Substantive sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

Probation Officer's report is not available. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.

As per Rule 464 (1)(viii) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014 provide that male prisoners above 65 years and female prisoners above 55 years and infirm offenders, who do not come within the purview of section 433A of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and who are sentenced for one year or more, on completion of one third of the substantive sentence including remission, shall be considered the Board on the condition that they shall be in jail for at least one year. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 11 months and 25 days as on 31.12.2023 and he is aged 67 years.

Judgment of the Hon'ble Fast Track Special Court, Kalpetta in Sessions Case No.07/2021 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Mani.

There are no records regarding payment of fine imposed on the convict . Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that the fact of the non availability of probation report may be noted and subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**24.C.No. 698/16 Sivan S/o Velayudhan , Age: 45**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 25.07.2003 Sivan sexually assaulted a 16 year old mentally

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Palakkad recommended his release. Police report is

retarded minor girl.

The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.757/2005 of Additonal Assistant Sessions Court Palakkad. Copy of the judgment not submitted for perusal. From the contents of the file it is seen that the prisoner was convicted and sentenced to RI for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs.1,00,000/- i/d imprisonment for 2 months u/s 376 IPC.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.1406/2008 dated 31.05.2016. The Appellate Court has stated that the accused does not deserve any sympathy or lenient consideration in the matter of sentence.

adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 07 years, 04 months and 25 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Additional Assistant Sessions Court Palakkad in Sessions Case No.757/2005 is not submitted for perusal.Hence the same is not perused. The appellate Court has stated that the accused does not deserve any sympathy or lenient consideration in the matter of sentence. However there are no adverse remarks regarding premature release of the prisoner.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict and whether any adverse remarks regarding the premature release of the prisoner in SC.No.757/2005 of Additional Assistant Sessions Court Palakkad , there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**25.C.No. 988/16 Abdul Rahman S/o Abdulla , Age: 60**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 09.08.2009 Abdul Rahman raped a 10 year old minor girl.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.472/2011 of Additional Sessions Court-I, Palakkad and was convicted and sentenced RI</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Palakkad has recommended his release. Police report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and</p>

for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs. 2,00,000/- i/d RI for 2 years u/s 376(2)(f) IPC and RI for 2 years more u/s 506(i) IPC and RI for 2 years more u/s 201 IPC. The sentences shall run concurrently. Set off is allowed as per Sec. 428 from 17.08.2009 to till 22.10.2009 against the substantive sentence of imprisonment imposed. The convicting Court has also stated that the accused does not deserve any sympathy or lenient consideration in the matter of sentence.

The judgment of the convicting Court was confirmed and the appeal was dismissed by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.718/2017 dated 07.08.2020.

Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 07 years, 04 months and 17 days as on 31.12.2023.

The judgments of the Hon'ble Additional Sessions Court-I, Palakkad in SC No.472/2011 and the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.718/2017 dated 07.08.2020 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner. However the convicting Court has stated that the accused does not deserve any sympathy or lenient consideration in the matter of sentence.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**26.C.No. 532/17 Balan S/o Pulayan , Age: 47**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that the accused on 12.06.2016 committed murder of his wife Janu.

The was the accused in SC.No.343/2016 of the Addl. Dist & Sessions Court-I, Kalpetta and was convicted and sentenced to RI for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs. 300000/-i/d SI for 2 years u/s 304 IPC. Set off allowed.

The convicting court has also stated that the accused is the owner of the house/shed bearing No. TP-XIII/353 of Thondarnadu Grama Panchayat and hence the entire fine amount shall be realised from the assets of the accused

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Wayanad has not recommended his release. Police Report is also adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.

Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is

<p>under Sec. 421 Cr.PC.</p>	<p>completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 07 years, 06 months and 17 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgments of the Addl. Dist &amp; Sessions Court-I, Kalpetta in SC No.343/2016 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>
------------------------------	---

**27. C.No. 943/17 Abdul Rahman S/o Aboobacker , Age: 64**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Abdul Rahman committed rape on his brother's mentally retarded 16 year old girl from his house and as a result girl became pregnant.</p> <p>The prisoner was the accused in SC.No.20/2007 of the Assistant Sessions Court, Koyilandy and convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs. 25,000/-i/d SI for 6 months u/s 376 IPC.</p> <p>It is seen from the contents of the file that the High Court has confirmed the sentence but the copy of the judgment has not enclosed for purusal. Hence A/D may verify the same, if any.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Kozhikode recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. He has undergone actual imprisonment of 06 years, 01 month and 10 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgment of the Assistant Sessions Court, Koyilandy in SC No..20/2007 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.It is seen from the contents of the file that the High Court has confirmed the sentence but the copy of the judgment has not enclosed for purusal. Hence A/D may verify the same, if any.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may</p>

128

	<p>verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict and verification of judgment, if any as afore mentioned there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>
--	--

**28.C.No. 170/18 Subodh Ekka S/o Fabiyanos Ekka , Age: 32**

<b>Details of conviction</b>	<b>Reasons for Recommendation</b>
<p>The Prosecution case is that Subodh Ekka sexually assaulted a minor girl aged 14 promising to marry her and brought her to his own native place and continued the assault there also. The prisoner was reciprocally transferred to Birza Munda Central Jail, Jharkhand.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.395/2016 of the Addl. Dist &amp; Sessions Court-I, Palakkad and convicted and sentenced to RI for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs.50000/- i/d RI for 1 year u/s 363 IPC and RI for 10 years and to pay fine of Rs.100000/- i/d RI for 2 years u/s 376(2)(i) IPC. Substantive sentence shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Gumla, Jharkhand informed that the family members of the prisoner are ready to adopt the prisoner as well as the local villagers. Police Report is not available. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 06 years, 01 month and 10 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>The judgment of the Addl. Dist &amp; Sessions Court-I, Palakkad in SC No.395/2016 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that it may noted that the police report is not received and subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>

**29.C.No 202/21 Santhosh S/o Soman , Age: 49**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that during the month of May 2018, the accused who is the uncle of the minor victim assaulted her while she was sleeping.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.331/2019 of the Fast Track Special Court Kalpetta and convicted and sentenced to simple imprisonment for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs. 5,000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 10 r/w 9(n) of POCSO Act. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Wayanad, recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 03 years, 02 months and 03 days as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Fast Track Special Court Kalpetta in Sessions Case No.331/2019 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>

**30.C.No. 176/22 Koggu S/o Sundharan , Age: 48**

Details of conviction	Reasons for Recommendation
<p>The Prosecution case is that, due to political enmity, 09.10.1998 he and three others assaulted one santhosh and caused his death.</p> <p>Copy of the judgment not submitted for perusal. From the contents of the file it is seen that the prisoner was the second accused in SC.No.199/2003 of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Kasargod wherein he was convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment RI for 7 years u/s 304 Part I IPC. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer, Kasargod, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those</p>

The judgment of the convicting Court was modified to RI for 4 years u/s 304 Part II IPC by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.678/2006 dated 20.12.2021.

sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 01 year, 11 months and 08 days as on 31.12.2023 and undergone imprisonment of 02 year, 02 months and 15 days with all kinds of remission as on 31.12.2023.

The judgments of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.7678/2006 dated 20.12.2021 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.

since the prisoner has completed the minimum sentence prescribed under Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**31. C.No. 177/22 Balan@Balakrishnan S/o Ramankutty, Age:53**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on due to political enmity, on 09.10.1998 he and three others assaulted one santhosh and caused his death.

He was the 1st accused in SC.No.199/2003 of the Sessions Court, Kasargod and convicted and sentenced to RI for 7 years u/s 304 Part I IPC. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was modified to RI for 4 years u/s 304 Part I IPC by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.678/2006 dated 20.12.2021.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Kasargod recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 01 year, 11 months and 08 days as on 31.12.2023 and undergone imprisonment of 02 year, 02 months and 18 days with all kinds of remission as on 31.12.2023.

Neither in the judgment in SC.No.199/2003 of the Sessions Court, Kasargod nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.678/2006 dated 20.12.2021 contain any adverse remarks on the premature

release of the prisoner.

The prisoner has completed the minimum sentence prescribed under Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, hence there is no legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**32.C.No. 178/22 Muhammed Kunhi S/o Sayyid , Age: 45**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that, due to political enmity, 09.10.1998 he and three others assaulted one santhosh and caused his death.

The prisoner was the third accused in SC.No.199/2003 of the Hon'ble Sessions Court, Kasargod convicted and sentenced to Imprisonment RI for 7 years u/s 304 Part I IPC. Set off allowed.

The judgment of the convicting Court was modified to RI for 4 years u/s 304 Part I IPC by the High Court vide Judgment in Crl. Appeal No.678/2006 dated 20.12.2021.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer, Kasargod recommended his release. But the Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 01 year, 11 months and 08 days as on 31.12.2023 and undergone imprisonment of 02 year, 02 months and 15 days with all kinds of remission as on 31.12.2023.

Neither in the judgment in SC.No.199/2003 of the Sessions Court, Kasargod nor in the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Appeal No.678/2006 dated 20.12.2021 contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of the prisoner.

The prisoner has completed the minimum sentence prescribed under Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**33.C.No. 539/22 Sukumaran Vellichapad S/o Kutti vellichapad, Age: 63**

**Details of conviction**

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The Prosecution case is that, on 14.08.2017 Sukumaran Vellichapad assaulted and sexually abused a 13 year aged old boy who belongs to scheduled caste.

He was the accused in SC.No.116/2018 of the Addl. Sessions Court I (Special Court for Atorocities against Women and Children), Kasargod and convicted and sentenced to RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs. 50,000/- i/d RI for 8 months u/s 7 r/w 8 of POCSO Act 2012 and RI for 6 months and to pay a fine of Rs.1000/- i/d RI for 2 months u/s 323 IPC and RI for 6 months and to pay a fine of Rs.1000/- i/d RI for 2 months u/s 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (PA) Act. The substantive sentences run concurrently. Set off allowed.

The District Probation Officer, Kasargod recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board has recommended his release.

Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 01 year, 05 months and 02 days as on 31.12.2023 and undergone imprisonment of 01 year, 06 months and 11 days with all kinds of remission as on 31.12.2023.

Judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Sessions Court I (Special Court for Atorocities against Women and Children), Kasargod in Sessions Case No.116/2018 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Sukumaran Velichappad.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**34.C.No. 542/22 Karunan S/o Kunhiraman , Age: 54**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 08.12.2017 Karunan sexually assaulted a minor boy aged 15 years having speaking and learning disability and repeated the sexual assault.

He was the accused in SC.No.260/2018 of the Addl. District and Sessions Court (For The

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer Kozhikode, recommended his release. Police Report is not available. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-

Trial of Cases Relating To Atrocities Against Women and Children), Kozhikode and convicted and sentenced to RI for 3 years and to pay fine of Rs. 15,000/- i/d RI for 6 months u/s 8 r/w 7 of POCSO Act 2012. Set off allowed.

habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 01 year, 06 months and 19 days as on 31.12.2023 and undergone imprisonment of 01 year, 10 months and 10 days with all kinds of remission as on 31.12.2023.

The Judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. District and Sessions Court (For The Trial of Cases Relating To Atrocities Against Women and Children), Kozhikkod in SC No.260/2018 does not contains any adverse remarks on the premature release of Karunan.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

### **35.C.No. 123/23 Shiju S/o Agustin, Age: 52**

#### **Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that on 25.02.2022 Shiju trespassed into a Women's Hostel and also peeped into their rooms while they were changing their dresses and also caused damages worth Rs 10,000/-

He was the accused in CC.No.273/2022 of the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate (EO) Ernakulam and was convicted and sentenced to RI for 1 year and to pay fine of Rs.1,000/- i/d SI for 10 days u/s 457 IPC and RI for 1 year and to pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d SI for 10 days u/s 354 C IPC and RI for 1 year and to pay fine of Rs. 1000/- i/d SI for 10 days u/s 354 D IPC and SI for 6 months u/s 427 IPC and SI for 2 months u/s 294(b) IPC. Set off allowed.

#### **Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer and Police do not recommended his release. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 1 years, 10 months and 05 days as on 31.12.2023. and

<p>Sentence shall run consecutively.</p>	<p>undergone imprisonment of 01 year, 10 months and 25 days with all kinds of remission as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Addl. Chief Judicial Majistrare (EO) Ernakulam in Sessions Case No.273/2022 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Shiju.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.</p> <p>In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.</p>
--	---

**36.C.No. 203/23 Biju S/o Gafoor , Age: 45**

<p><b>Details of conviction</b></p>	<p><b>Reasons for Recommendation</b></p>
<p>The Prosecution case is that on 07.05.2017 Biju sexually assaulted a minor girl.</p> <p>He was the accused in SC.No.958/2017 of the Additional District and Sessions Court, Ernakulam and convicted and sentenced to Simple Imprisonment for 5 years and to pay fine of Rs. 10,000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 10 r/w 9(m) of POCSO Act. Set off allowed.</p>	<p>The District Probation Officer Ernakulam, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.</p> <p>Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 03 years, 05 months and 28 days as on 31.12.2023 and undergone imprisonment of 3 year, 11 months and 15 days with all kinds of remission as on 31.12.2023.</p> <p>Judgment of the Hon'ble Additional District and Sessions Court, Ernakulam in Sessions Case No.958/2017 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Biju.</p> <p>There are no records regarding the</p>

payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

**37.C.No. 239/23 Rajan @Palakadan Rajan S/o Velayudhan , Age: 60**

**Details of conviction**

The Prosecution case is that Rajan trespassed into the forest within the limits of Kuppadi Reserve of Kurichiat Range in Wayanad Wildlife Sanctuary. He cut and removed two standing sandalwood trees.

The prisoner was the second accused in CC.No.240/2018 of the Judicial First Class Magistrate-I, Sulthan Bathery and was convicted and sentenced to Simple Imprisonment for 3 years and to pay fine of 10000/- i/d SI for 3 months u/s 47G(1) of the Kerala Forest Act 1961 and SI for 1 year and to pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d SI for 10 days u/s 27(1)(e)(iii) of Kerala Forest Act, 1961 and SI for 1 year and to pay fine of Rs.1000/- i/d SI for 10 days u/s 27(1)(e)(iv). The sentences shall run concurrently. Set off allowed.

**Reasons for Recommendation**

The District Probation Officer Palakkad, recommended his release. Police Report is adverse. Jail Advisory Board recommended his release.

Rule 464((1)(v) of Kerala Prisons and Correctional Services Management Rules, 2014, stipulates that with regard to Non-habitual male and young offenders, in cases other than those sentenced to imprisonment for life but sentenced to a term of imprisonment for more than one year on completion of half of their substantive sentence including remission but for those sentenced for one year only shall be considered, subject to the condition that one year including remission is completed. The prisoner has undergone actual imprisonment of 01 year, 07 months and 07 days as on 31.12.2023 and undergone imprisonment of 3 year, 07 months and 23 days with all kinds of remission as on 31.12.2023.

The judgment of the Hon'ble Judicial First Class Magistrate-I, Sulthan Bathery in CC No.240/2018 does not contain any adverse remarks on the premature release of Rajan.

There are no records regarding the payment of fine imposed on the convict. Hence A/D may verify the same.

In the above circumstances, A/D may be informed that subject to the verification of payment of fines by the convict, there is no other legal impediment in granting premature release to the prisoner.

152

**Annexure II**

ക്രമ നം	കൺവിക്ട് നം. & പേര് (വയസ്സ്)	കുറ്റകൃത്യം	ശിക്ഷ	റിമാർക്സ്
1	1191 ജോസ് (83)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 307 IPC)	മരണമടഞ്ഞു
2	4345 രതീഷ്	സ്ത്രീയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302, 392)	മരണമടഞ്ഞു
3	4911 മണി (52)	ഒരു മാസം പ്രായമുള്ള സ്വന്തം കുഞ്ഞിനെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	മരണമടഞ്ഞു
4	2202 മുയ്യൻ (71)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	ജീവപര്യന്തം കഠിന തടവ് (302 IPC)	മരണമടഞ്ഞു
5	271/23 മണി (67)	അഞ്ച് വയസ്സുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടിയോടുള്ള ലൈംഗികാതിക്രമം.	2 വർഷം വെറും തടവ് (509 IPC, POCSO)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി.
6	698/16 ശിവൻ (45)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയോടുള്ള ലൈംഗികാതിക്രമം.	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (376 IPC).	യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി. നിലവിൽ പിഴ ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിക്കുന്നു.
7	988/16 അബ്ദുൾ റഹ്മാൻ (60)	പത്ത് വയസ്സുള്ള പെൺകുട്ടിയെ ബലാത്സംഗം ചെയ്തു.	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (376 (f), 506(i), 201 IPC).	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
8	532/17 ബാലൻ (47)	ഭാര്യയെ കൊലപ്പെടുത്തി	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (304 IPC)	യഥാർത്ഥ ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി നിലവിൽ പിഴ ശിക്ഷ അനുഭവിക്കുന്നു
9	943/17 അബ്ദുൾ റഹ്മാൻ (64)	മാനസിക വൈകല്യമുള്ള പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ ബലാത്സംഗം ചെയ്തു.	10 വർഷം കഠിന തടവ് (376 IPC)	മരണമടഞ്ഞു

10	170/18 സുബോദ് ഇക്ക (32)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	10 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് (363, 376 IPC)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
11	202/21 സന്തോഷ് (49)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	5 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് 10 r/w 9(n) of POCSO	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
12	176/22 കൊല്ലം (48)	കൊലപാതകം	5 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് (304 Part I & II IPC)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
13	177/22 ബാലൻ (53)	കൊലപാതകം	7 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് (304 Part I & II IPC)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
14	178/22 മുഹമ്മദ് കഞ്ഞി (45)	കൊലപാതകം	7 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് (304 Part I & II IPC)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
15	539/22 സുകുമാരൻ വെളിച്ചപ്പാട് (63)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത ആൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	3 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് (7 r/w 8 of POCSO Act 2012, 323 IPC, 3(2)(Va) of SC/ST Act)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
16	542/22 കരുണൻ (54)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത ആൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	3 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് (8 r/w 7 of POCSO Act)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
17	203/23 ബിജു (45)	പ്രായപൂർത്തിയാകാത്ത പെൺകുട്ടിയെ പീഡിപ്പിച്ചു.	5 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് (10 r/w 9(m) of POCSO Act)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി
18	239/23 രാജൻ (60)	വനത്തിൽ അതിക്രമിച്ചു കയറി രണ്ട് ചന്ദന മരങ്ങൾ മുറിച്ചുമാറ്റി.	3 വർഷം കുറിയ തടവ് (47 G(1), 27(1)(e)(iii), 27(1)(e) (iv) of Kerala Forest act)	ശിക്ഷ പൂർത്തിയാക്കി ജയിൽ മോചിതനായി

Annexure III

189

പിഴ സംബന്ധിച്ച വിശദാംശങ്ങൾ

ക്രമ നം	കൺവിക്ട് നം. & പേര് (വയസ്സ്)	ഫൈൻ സംബന്ധിച്ച വിവരങ്ങൾ	ഫൈൻ ഒടുക്കാത്തതിന്റെ കാരണം
1	7193 ജോർജ്ജ് (58)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
2	8251 രാജ (53)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
3	704 ബാബുരാജ് (64)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
4	1236 ബഷീർ (50)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
5	4765 സാബു (54)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
6	5057 കണ്ണമോൻ (62)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
7	6691 സുന്ദരൻ (53)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
8	8083 കൈലാസൻ (59)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
9	680 പത്മനാഭൻ (50)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല

10	1127 ബാലൻ നായർ (74)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
11	188/15 ധർമ്മരാജൻ (66)	ഫൈൻ ഇല്ല	ബാധകമല്ല
12	44/21 വിനു (39)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച് ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
13	179/22 അബൂബക്കർ (71)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച് ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
14	256/22 മോഹനൻ (70)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച് ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
15	614/22 സലാം (56)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച് ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
16	88/23 സാദു (63)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച് ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
17	475/23 പ്രദീപ് (42)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച് ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
18	594/18 വാസുദേവൻ (73)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ച് ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശുപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്

19	644/19 പാലൻ (66)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ചു ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്
20	123/23 ഷിജ (52)	അടച്ചിട്ടില്ല	അകാലവിടുതൽ അനുവദിച്ചു ഉത്തരവായാൽ മാത്രമേ പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതുകൊണ്ട് പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കുകയുള്ളൂ. അകാലവിടുതൽ ശിപാർശ സർക്കാർ നിരസിക്കുന്ന പക്ഷം പിഴത്തുക അടച്ചതിന്റെ പ്രയോജനം ലഭിക്കില്ല. അതിനാലാണ് പിഴത്തുക ഒടുക്കാത്തത്